

TP-00974

TP-00974

NOAA FORM 76-35 (3-76)	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION NATIONAL OCEAN SURVEY	
DESCRIPTIVE REPORT	
Map No. TP-00974	Edition No. 1
Job No. CM-7715	
Map Classification Final Field Edited	
Type of Survey Shoreline	
LOCALITY	
State Florida	
General Locality Tampa	
Locality Tampa Bay	
1977 TO 1978	
REGISTRY IN ARCHIVES	
DATE	

NOAA FORM 76-36A (3-72)		U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMIN.		TYPE OF SURVEY		SURVEY TP-00974	
DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ORIGINAL		MAP EDITION NO. (1)	
				<input type="checkbox"/> RESURVEY		MAP CLASS Final Field	
<input type="checkbox"/> REVISED				JOB PH-CM-7715			
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE				LAST PRECEDING MAP EDITION			
Rockville, Md.				TYPE OF SURVEY		JOB PH-	
OFFICER-IN-CHARGE				<input type="checkbox"/> ORIGINAL		MAP CLASS	
Cmdr. James Collins				<input type="checkbox"/> RESURVEY		SURVEY DATES:	
				<input type="checkbox"/> REVISED		19__ TO 19__	
I. INSTRUCTIONS DATED							
1. OFFICE				2. FIELD			
General Instructions-Office-NOS Cooperative Coastal Boundary Mapping-Job PH-7000 9 December 1975 Office 18 August 1977 Amendment I 3 January 1978 Amendment II 7 March 1978				Field Instructions 27 December 1976 Field Instructions 11 August 1977 Amendment-Field edit procedures 30 January 1978			
II. DATUMS							
1. HORIZONTAL: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1927 NORTH AMERICAN				OTHER (Specify)			
2. VERTICAL: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MEAN HIGH-WATER <input type="checkbox"/> MEAN LOW-WATER <input type="checkbox"/> MEAN LOWER LOW-WATER <input type="checkbox"/> MEAN SEA LEVEL				OTHER (Specify)			
3. MAP PROJECTION				4. GRID(S)			
Lambert Conformal Conic				STATE		ZONE	
				Florida		West	
5. SCALE				STATE		ZONE	
1:10,000							
III. HISTORY OF OFFICE OPERATIONS							
OPERATIONS				NAME		DATE	
1. AEROTRIANGULATION BY				S. Solbeck		Apr 1 1978	
METHOD: Analytic LANDMARKS AND AIDS BY				N/A			
2. CONTROL AND BRIDGE POINTS PLOTTED BY				J. Taylor		May 1978	
METHOD: Coradomat CHECKED BY				N/A			
3. STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT PLANIMETRY BY				J. Schad		July 1978	
COMPILATION CHECKED BY				J. Battley		July 1978	
INSTRUMENT: Wild B-8				CONTOURS BY		N/A	
SCALE: 1:10,000				CHECKED BY			
4. MANUSCRIPT DELINEATION PLANIMETRY BY				W. Maynard		Aug 1978	
CHECKED BY				J. Battley		Aug 1978	
METHOD: Graphic				CONTOURS BY		N/A	
CHECKED BY							
SCALE: 1:10,000 HYDRO SUPPORT DATA BY				N/A			
CHECKED BY							
5. OFFICE INSPECTION PRIOR TO FIELD EDIT BY				P. Dempsey		Aug 1978	
6. APPLICATION OF FIELD EDIT DATA BY				J. Battley		Oct 1978	
CHECKED BY				D. Brant		Oct 1978	
7. COMPILATION SECTION REVIEW BY				J. Schad		Jan 1979	
8. FINAL REVIEW BY				P. Dempsey		Feb 1984	
9. DATA FORWARDED TO PHOTOGRAMMETRIC BRANCH BY							
10. DATA EXAMINED IN PHOTOGRAMMETRIC BRANCH BY				P. Dempsey		Feb 1984	
11. MAP REGISTERED - COASTAL SURVEY SECTION BY				E. DAUGHERTY		Nov 1984	

NOAA FORM 76-36B (3-72)		U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION NATIONAL OCEAN SURVEY			
COMPILATION SOURCES					TP-00974
1. COMPILATION PHOTOGRAPHY					
CAMERA(S) RC-8-E, RC-10-B		TYPES OF PHOTOGRAPHY LEGEND (C) <u>COLOR</u> (P) <u>PANCHROMATIC</u> RM <u>INFRARED</u>		TIME REFERENCE ZONE Eastern MERIDIAN 75th	
TIDE STAGE REFERENCE <input type="checkbox"/> PREDICTED TIDES <input type="checkbox"/> REFERENCE STATION RECORDS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TIDE CONTROLLED PHOTOGRAPHY				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STANDARD <input type="checkbox"/> DAYLIGHT	
NUMBER AND TYPE	DATE	TIME	SCALE	STAGE OF TIDE	
77EC 4151-4153	10/13/77	1015	1:30,000	The stage of tide is in- applicable for color photography Refer to 76-36B(1) for tide information	
77EC 4201-4203	10/13/77	1110	1:30,000		
77BR 0268-0271	11/8/77	1203	1:30,000		
77BR 0198-0201	11/8/77	1059	1:30,000		
REMARKS The rectified photography is B&W from the color photographs listed above.					
2. SOURCE OF MEAN HIGH-WATER LINE: The source of the MHW line was the tide-coordinated black and white infrared photography listed in item 1. Where the MHW line was obscured by vegetation, such as mangrove, the apparent shoreline was delineated.					
3. SOURCE OF MEAN LOW-WATER OR MEAN LOWER LOW-WATER LINE: GCLW photography was not available at the time of compilation within accuracy standards.					
4. CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS (List only those surveys that are sources for photogrammetric survey information.)					
SURVEY NUMBER	DATE(S)	SURVEY COPY USED	SURVEY NUMBER	DATE(S)	SURVEY COPY USED
Inapplicable					
5. FINAL JUNCTIONS					
NORTH	EAST	SOUTH	WEST		
N/A	TP-00975	TP-00977	TP-00973		
REMARKS Final junctions will be made in Coastal Mapping Section.					

TIDE - COORDINATED PHOTOGRAPHY

TP - 00974

LOCATION AND PHOTOGRAPHY	TIDE STATIONS (In operation at time of photography)	STAGE OF TIDE	MEAN RANGE
77BR 0198-0201 77BR 0268-0271	Ballast Point Port Tampa	-0.22 MHW +0.40 MHW	
REMARKS:			

HISTORY OF FIELD OPERATIONS.

TP-00974

I. ☐ FIELD INSPECTION OPERATION☒ FIELD EDIT OPERATION.Under ltr. dtd. 1/30/78 fr
Chief, Coastal Mapping

OPERATION	NAME	DATE
1. CHIEF OF FIELD PARTY	R. R. Wagner	Sept 1978
2. HORIZONTAL CONTROL	RECOVERED BY J. D. DiMare	Sept 1978
	ESTABLISHED BY N/A	
	PRE-MARKED OR IDENTIFIED BY N/A	
3. VERTICAL CONTROL	RECOVERED BY N/A	
	ESTABLISHED BY N/A	
	PRE-MARKED OR IDENTIFIED BY N/A	
4. LANDMARKS AND AIDS TO NAVIGATION	RECOVERED (Triangulation Stations) BY J. D. Di Mare	Sept 1978
	LOCATED (Field Methods) BY J. D. Di Mare	Sept 1978
	IDENTIFIED BY N/A	
5. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES INVESTIGATION	TYPE OF INVESTIGATION <input type="checkbox"/> COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/> SPECIFIC NAMES ONLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO INVESTIGATION	
6. PHOTO INSPECTION	CLARIFICATION OF DETAILS BY J. D. Di Mare	Sept 1978
7. BOUNDARIES AND LIMITS	SURVEYED OR IDENTIFIED BY N/A	

II. SOURCE DATA

1. HORIZONTAL CONTROL IDENTIFIED

2. VERTICAL CONTROL IDENTIFIED

PHOTO NUMBER	STATION NAME	PHOTO NUMBER	STATION DESIGNATION

3. PHOTO NUMBERS (Clarification of details)

77E-4118, 4200, 4201, 4202, 4203, 4151 & 4153
77BR-0311

4. LANDMARKS AND AIDS TO NAVIGATION IDENTIFIED

PHOTO NUMBER	OBJECT NAME	PHOTO NUMBER	OBJECT NAME

5. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES: ☐ REPORT ☒ NONE6. BOUNDARY AND LIMITS: ☐ REPORT ☒ NONE

7. SUPPLEMENTAL MAPS AND PLANS

8. OTHER FIELD RECORDS (Sketch books, etc. DO NOT list data submitted to the Geodesy Division)

NOAA FORM 76-36D
(3-72)U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

RECORD OF SURVEY USE

TP-00974

I. MANUSCRIPT COPIES

COMPILATION STAGES			DATE MANUSCRIPT FORWARDED	
DATA COMPILED	DATE	REMARKS	MARINE CHARTS	HYDRO SUPPORT
Class III	8/29/78			
Final	10/14/78			

II. LANDMARKS AND AIDS TO NAVIGATION

1. REPORTS TO MARINE CHART DIVISION, NAUTICAL DATA BRANCH

NUMBER pages	CHART LETTER NUMBER ASSIGNED	DATE FORWARDED	REMARKS
4		6/26/79	Digitized forms (76-40) submitted

2. ☐ REPORT TO MARINE CHART DIVISION, COAST PILOT BRANCH. DATE FORWARDED: _____3. ☐ REPORT TO AERONAUTICAL CHART DIVISION, AERONAUTICAL DATA SECTION. DATE FORWARDED: _____

III. FEDERAL RECORDS CENTER DATA

1. ☒ BRIDGING PHOTOGRAPHS; ☒ DUPLICATE BRIDGING REPORT; ☒ COMPUTER READOUTS.
 2. ☒ CONTROL STATION IDENTIFICATION CARDS; ☐ FORM NOS 567 SUBMITTED BY FIELD PARTIES.
 3. ☒ SOURCE DATA (except for Geographic Names Report) AS LISTED IN SECTION II, NOAA FORM 76-36C.
 ACCOUNT FOR EXCEPTIONS:


4. ☒ DATA TO FEDERAL RECORDS CENTER. DATE FORWARDED: _____

IV. SURVEY EDITIONS (This section shall be completed each time a new map edition is registered)

SECOND EDITION	SURVEY NUMBER TP - _____ (2)	JOB NUMBER PH - _____	TYPE OF SURVEY <input type="checkbox"/> REVISED <input type="checkbox"/> RESURVEY MAP CLASS <input type="checkbox"/> II. <input type="checkbox"/> III. <input type="checkbox"/> IV. <input type="checkbox"/> V. <input type="checkbox"/> FINAL
	DATE OF PHOTOGRAPHY	DATE OF FIELD EDIT	
THIRD EDITION	SURVEY NUMBER TP - _____ (3)	JOB NUMBER PH - _____	TYPE OF SURVEY <input type="checkbox"/> REVISED <input type="checkbox"/> RESURVEY MAP CLASS <input type="checkbox"/> II. <input type="checkbox"/> III. <input type="checkbox"/> IV. <input type="checkbox"/> V. <input type="checkbox"/> FINAL
	DATE OF PHOTOGRAPHY	DATE OF FIELD EDIT	
FOURTH EDITION	SURVEY NUMBER TP - _____ (4)	JOB NUMBER PH - _____	TYPE OF SURVEY <input type="checkbox"/> REVISED <input type="checkbox"/> RESURVEY MAP CLASS <input type="checkbox"/> II. <input type="checkbox"/> III. <input type="checkbox"/> IV. <input type="checkbox"/> V. <input type="checkbox"/> FINAL
	DATE OF PHOTOGRAPHY	DATE OF FIELD EDIT	

NOAA FORM 76-36D

★U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1973--778075/1077 REGION NO. 6



JOB CM-7715
TAMPA BAY, FLORIDA
SHORELINE MAPPING
SCALE 1:10,000 & 1:20,000
REV. 7-20-78

Joins Job CM-7612

Joins Job CM-7717

SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY
DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Coastal Zone Map TP-00974 is one of fourteen 1:10,000 scale and one 1:20,000 scale shoreline maps in Project CM-7715. These maps are intended for planning purposes for the state of Florida and for the construction and maintenance of NOS Nautical Charts.

The layout for CM-7715 will show the location of the individual maps from Rattlesnake Key to Oldsmar, Florida. A copy of the layout is included in this Descriptive Report.

Field operations consisted of premarking horizontal control and photographing the area, establishing tidal datums and performing the field edit.

Color compilation photography was taken with the RC-8-E camera at 1:30,000 scale in October, 1977 and used in clarifying detail and compiling landmarks and aids to navigation. The shoreline was compiled using 1:30,000 scale infrared MEW photography taken with the RC-10-B & K cameras in November, 1977.

The Aerotriangulation Unit in Rockville, Maryland bridged five strips of 1:60,000 scale black and white photography using analytic aerotriangulation methods.

Compilation was completed in the Coastal Mapping Unit, Rockville, Maryland, using graphic methods.

Field edit was completed in September, 1978. Recovery and location of landmarks, fixed aids to navigation, piling, etc., were omitted from the field edit procedures as per memo dated January 30, 1978, from chief, Coastal Mapping Branch. These items were compiled, to the extent possible, by office photogrammetric methods. The editor was required to only visually verify their existence at the time of edit. Their locations were not field checked. Field edit requirements in the foreshore and adjacent areas remain unchanged.

Application of field edit was performed in the Coastal Mapping Unit, Rockville, Maryland.

Final Review was performed in the Quality Control Unit, Rockville, Maryland, in February, 1984. This map meets the requirements for National Standards of Map Accuracy.

The context of this Descriptive Report contains all pertinent reports and listings of data used to compile this final map.

FIELD REPORT FOR CM-7715 & CM-7717

1. GENERAL

This report covers pre-marking, photo identification of control points, high and low water photographs. The project instructions were changed by Chief, Planning Branch in the range of tide for tidal photographs due to weather conditions.

Due to the size of pre-mark targets and the congestion of the area and targets being destroyed it was necessary to photo identify control points. This part of the field work was delayed due to receiving of the necessary photographs.

There were a number of tide gages in operation at the time of photography that could be used to supplement tidal data.

2. HORIZONTAL CONTROL

The following control stations were pre-marked or identified.

Control Point No. 1 DUNEDIN MUN N TANK 1972, Sub-point marked with array No. 1 with one wing. The data for this station was submitted with CM-7612 target No. 8. This station was not marked again because the grass on the golf course is still dead from when it was paneled a year ago. This panel should be transferred from CM-7612 photos.

Control Point No. 2 BOOTH 1926, Marked direct with array No. 1 and two wings.

Control Point No. 3 CYPRESS 2 1960 1975, Sub-point marked with array No. 1 and no wings. No room for wings.

Control Point No. 4 PETER 1946, Station marked direct with array No. 1 and no wings.

Control Point No. 5 TAMPA PENINSULAR TELEPHONE CO. MOBILE MAST 1955, Station marked direct on old base for tower without wings at request of owner.

Control Point No. 6 COL 1957. No target used. Station is a good point in center of bay in sea wall.

Control Point No. 7 PORT TAMPA, BLACK MUN TANK 1945, Station marked with array No. 1 on remains of standpipe. The tank has been removed. The four tank footings should be used as wings.

Control Point No. 8 GADSDEN 2 1908, Station marked direct with two wings.

Control Point No. 9 Y6 (FGS) 1934, Station marked direct with two wings.

Control Point No. 10 GANDY 1973, Station marked direct with one wing.

Control Point No. 11 BRIGHTWATER B 1973, Sub-point is center of approx. 12X12 foot dock. No target used, see photo 77C7488.

Control Point No. 12 FEDERAL 1973, Station marked direct on top of building. No wings used.

Control Point No. 13 TAMP 1954, Sub-point marked with array No. 1 and one wing.

Control Point No. 14 DESOTO 1973, Sub-point with no target used.

Control Point No. 15 STUMP 1957, Sub-point. Panel destroyed and not replaced. Rockville office stated not needed because other target appears on this line.

Control Point No. 16 SUN CITY POWER CO SILVER WATER TANK 1934, Marked direct in center of four footings with array No. 1 without wings. Tank has been removed.

Control Point No. 17 GILLETTE 1934, Sub-point is the center of three concrete slabs in cemetery. No target used.

Control Point No. 18 MCNIEL 2 1958, Sub-point panel was marked with array No. 1 without wings. This panel was not in place at time of photography. Other sub-points A & B were identified on photo 77C7504.

Control Point No. 19 PALM 3 1924, Sub-point marked with array No. 1 without wings. Wings were not used at request of owner.

Control Point No. 20 MANATEE SILVER MUN WATER TANK 1925 (Cor of 10th St. and 9th Ave), Sub-point marked with array No. 1 and no wings.

Control Point No. 21 CONNER 1954, Station marked direct with array No. 1 without wings. No room for wings.

Control Point No. 22 SCHROEDER 1934, Station marked direct with array No. 1 and two wings.

Control Point No. 23 AMBER TR 27 (USE) 1953, Sub-point marked with array No. 1 and two wings.

Control Point No. 24 WHITFIELD ESTATES TANK 1934, Marked direct with array No. 1 and no wings. Tank is destroyed and target placed in center of tank footings.

Control Point No. 25 SARASOTA, RADIO STATION WSPR MAST 1953, Concrete base identified direct on 77C7516. The mast has been removed and a new mast was built west of old base in the last part of 1970.

Control Point No. 26 NORTHWEST 1878, Two sub-points were identified on photo 77C7518

Control Point No. 27 TT 41 JA 1952, Two sub-points were identified on photo 77C7523

3. PHOTOGRAPHS

Bridging - All bridging photography was flown on October 5, 1977.

Low Water - Flown on October 13 and 14, 1977

High Water - Flown on October 14 and November 8, 1977


4. TIDAL DATA

Leveling for tide station 872 6621, Port Tampa was done by this party and is submitted in one NOAA Form 76-77 for prior and after photography. All other tide stations used were leveled by Photo Party 65 when gages were removed. This data is in Tides Branch, Rockville, Maryland.

The following twelve tidal stations were used:

872-6520 (St Petersburg) in two volumes, 872-5943 (Blackburn Point) and 872-5889 (Venice, Roberts Bay) in one volume, 872-6621 (Port Tampa), 872-6247 (Bradenton), 872-6348 (Two Brothers Island), 872-6243 (Anna Maria), 872-6278 (Redfish Point), 872-6537 (Apollo Beach), 872-6159 (Whitfield Estates), 872-6738 (Safety Harbor) and 972-6639 (Ballast Point)

Submitted 1/31/78


Robert R. Wagner
Chief, Photo Party 66

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT
CM-7715
Tampa Bay, Florida
April 1978

21. Area Covered

The area covered by this report is the immediate shoreline surrounding Tampa Bay, Florida.

Fourteen 1:10,000 scale manuscripts (TP-00970 thru TP-00982 and TP-00984) and one 1:20,000 scale manuscript (TP-00983) are submitted.

22. Method

Five strips of 1:60,000 scale black-and-white photography were bridged by analytic aerotriangulation methods. Control was field identified. Office identified control was used as a check.

Tie points were used to insure adequate junctioning during the strip adjustments. Tie points were also used to ensure adequate junctioning between project CM-7612 and this project. These latter tie points provided the initial control for strip 77-C 7393 to 7401.

Common points were located on the bridging photography and the tide-coordinated infrared being used for ratio purposes. Additional common points were located between the bridging photography and the 1:30,000 scale color photography for compilation purposes. These latter points were located by the compilation section.

The manuscripts will be plotted by the compilation section.

23. Adequacy of Control

The majority of control proved adequate according to National Map Accuracy standards.

The position for Tampa Peninsular Telephone Company Mobile Mast, 1955 (401 100) would not fit into the adjustment by 310 feet in X and 998 feet in Y. The panel was apparently not located correctly by the field party. The correct image was located and measured accurately. The paneled location was measured on two separate strips and used to tie the strips together.

24. Supplemental Data

USGS quads were used to provide vertical control for the strip adjustments. Nautical charts 11413 and 11414 were used to locate aids and landmarks.

25. Photography

The coverage, overlap, and quality of the photography were adequate for the job.


26. Comments on Strip Adjustment

Preliminary strip adjustments of strips 2 and 4 indicate that discrepancies exist that are not normally expected. In strip 2 three points were used to form the second degree adjustment curve, and two control points were "floated" - to be used as check points. One fit within 2 feet and the other was off about 10 feet. These same two points were also "floated" in strip 3, both fit within less than 3 feet.

A similar phenomenon exists on strip 4 where again three points are used for the adjustment and a seemingly good check point is off about 12 feet.


The cause of this "lack of fit" can not be satisfactorily explained, however, the discrepancies in the vicinity of these control points can be reduced by using them in the adjustment. By doing this, they fit to within 6 feet.


Submitted by,


Steve Solbeck

Approved and forwarded:


Acting Chief, Aerotriangulation Section





TAMPA BAY, FLORIDA CM-7715

Accruacy of Control

		X	Y
STRIP #1	258830	- .075	+ .558
	352820	+ .407	- .915
	396100	+ .728	+ .686
	398101	+ .318	+ .045
	400100	+ .064	- .938
	401141	+ .020	+ .559
STRIP #2	487100	-1.574	+ 2.163
	488101	- .563	- 5.231
	489101	-1.510	+ 2.273
	490100	+4.496	+ .554
	203801	- .851	+ .243
	262830	+ .222	+ 1.876
STRIP #3	423101	+1.262	+ 1.806
	425101	-1.726	- 2.149
	427100	-1.276	- 1.487
	488101	+1.998	- .753
	487100	+2.260	+ 1.868
	489101	+2.764	- 2.448
	478100	-3.540	+ 2.008
	398101	+3.021	- 2.046
STRIP #4	398101	-1.366	- 3.579
	400100	+5.121	- 1.143
	478100	-3.185	+ 3.309
	487100	-2.260	+ 1.533
	480100	+1.085	+ .731
	478801	+ .605	- .851
STRIP #6	528101	-4.052	+ 1.220
	528102	-4.149	- .277
	530101	-1.116	- 2.404
	532100	-1.592	+ 4.189
	480100	+4.226	- 2.684
	401141	+4.864	- 2.402
	401100	- .248	+ .134
	401111	-1.335	+ 1.275

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT CONTROL RECORD

MAP NO.	STATION NAME	JOB NO.	SOURCE OF INFORMATION (Index)	AEROTRIANGULATION POINT NUMBER	GEODEIC DATUM		ORIGINATING ACTIVITY	
					STATE	ZONE	COORDINATES IN FEET	GEOGRAPHIC POSITION φ LATITUDE λ LONGITUDE
TP-00974		CM-7715			N A 1927		Rockville, Md.	
	Tampa Radio Station WFLA South Tower, 1972	Geod. List. Pg 7		398143	x= 321,820.467 y= 1,320,012.683	φ 27° 57' 50.895" λ 82° 33' 07.098"		
	Cypress 2, 1960 - 1975	P C Pg 3		398100	x= 327,731.298 y= 1,315,652.501	φ λ		
	Tampa Cuesta Ray Cigar Co. Silver W. T., 1934	Geod. List. Pg 1		207	x= 343,941.307 y= 1,319,292.167	φ 27° 57' 44.689 λ 82° 29' 00.377"		
	Tampa Regenburb Cigar Fact- ory, 59 Red Brick Clock Tower 1934	Geod. List. Pg 1		209	x= 344,889.461 y= 1,318,930.596	φ 27° 57' 41.145" λ 82° 28' 49.738"		
	Tampa Morgan Tabacco Co. Silver W. T., 1934	"		211	x= 344,224.855 y= 1,316,517.453	φ 27° 57' 17.224" λ 82° 28' 57.093"		
	Tampa Gradiatz Annis Cigar Factory No 1 Silver W T, 1934	"		221	x= 343,885.659 y= 1,315,996.784	φ 27° 57' 12.055" λ 82° 29' 00.852"		
	Palma Ceia Checkered Tank, 1946	P C Pg 71		399144	x= 337,376.57 y= 1,305,751.74	φ λ		
	Col, 1957	Vol II P C Pg 76		478100	x= 341,349.16 y= 1,301,152.97	φ λ		
					x= y=	φ λ		
					x= y=	φ λ		
					x= y=	φ λ		
COMPUTED BY				DATE	COMPUTATION CHECKED BY		DATE	
LISTED BY	V. McNeel			DATE	LISTING CHECKED BY K. Baker		DATE	2/15/78
HAND PLOTTING BY				DATE	HAND PLOTTING CHECKED BY		DATE	

Compilation Report

TP-00974

August 1978

31. Delineation

A combination of instrument and graphic compilation methods was used to compile the sheet. Due to varied relief displacement on the compilation photography, an exact rectification could not be realized for all prints. A work sheet was compiled on the B-8 stereoplotter. This sheet was placed under the manuscript and adjusted to the control points. Details compiled on the B-8 were inked on the manuscript and compared with the rectified prints. Any omissions or questionable areas were interpreted from stereo pairs of the rectified prints and compiled graphically.

The MHW line was compiled from the office interpretation of the ratioed and semi-rectified, tide-coordinated, infrared photography which was controlled by common detail compiled from the B-8 work sheet and rectified photos as mentioned above.

A field edit will be made to validate interpretation and symbolization of features.

32. Control

A. Horizontal - Horizontal Control was adequate (See Photogrammetric Plot Report).

B. Vertical - Vertical Control was adequate as dropped on the B-8.

33. Supplemental Data - None34. Drainage

Drainage was compiled from the office interpretation of the ratio, tide-coordinated, black-and-white infrared photography.

35. Shoreline and Alongshore Detail

Office interpretation of the ratio, tide-coordinated, black-and-white, infrared photography was adequate for delineating the MHW line and alongshore detail. GCLW photography did not meet the vertical accuracy standards at the time of compilation.

36. Offshore Details

No unusual problems were encountered.

37. Landmarks and Aids

Refer to Form 76-40.

38. Control for Future Surveys = None39. Junctions

Refer to Data Record 76-36B

40. Horizontal and Vertical Accuracy

This map complies with the accuracy requirements for the Florida Coastal Zone Mapping Program as outlined by project instructions, PH-7000.

41. thru 45. Inapplicable

46. Comparison with Existing Maps

Comparison was made with the following USGS 7 1/2 minute topographic quadrangles:

Gandy Bridge - Florida -- 1956 - Photorevised 1969
Tampa - Florida - 1956 - Photorevised 1969

No significant differences were noted.

47. Comparison with Nautical Charts

Comparison was made with the following nautical charts:

11413 April 16, 1977 1:40,000
11412 May 6, 1978 1:80,000

Items to be Applied to Nautical Charts: None

Items to be carried forward: None

Submitted by,

William M. Maynard

William M. Maynard

Approved and Forwarded:

J.P. Battley, Jr.

J.P. Battley, Jr.
Chief, Coastal Mapping Section

FIELD EDIT REPORT TP-00974, JOB CM-771551. METHODS

Field edit was performed under instructions dated 1/30/78 from Chief, Coastal Mapping Division, Rockville, Maryland.

The shoreline was inspected from a small boat while cruising just off shore and by truck.

Field edit notes will be found on the photographs and discrepancy print.

52. ADEQUACY OF COMPILATION

Adequate after application of field edit.

53. MAP ACCURACY

No test required.

54. RECOMMENDATIONS

None.

55. EXAMINATION OF PROOF COPY

Not required.

Submitted: 9/19/78

Joseph D. Di Mare
Joseph D. Di Mare
Surveying Technician

REVIEW REPORT
TP-00974
February 1984

61. General Statement

Refer to the summary bound with this Descriptive Report.

62. Comparison With Registered Topographic Surveys - None

63. Comparison With Maps of Other Agencies

Refer to the Compilation Report, paragraph 46, bound with this Descriptive Report.

64. Comparison With Contemporary Hydrographic Surveys - None


65. Comparison With Nautical Charts

Refer to the Compilation Report, paragraph 47, bound with this Descriptive Report.


66. Adequacy of Results and Future Surveys

This map complies with the Project Instructions and meets the requirements for National Standards of Map Accuracy.

Submitted by,


Patrick J. Dempsey
Cartographer

Approved and Forwarded,


George M. Ball
Chief, Photogrammetric Section


Ronald K. Brewer
Chief, Photogrammetry Branch

October 12, 1977

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

FINAL NAME SHEET

CM-7715 (Tampa Bay, Florida)

TP-00974

Ballast Point

Culbreath Bayou

Fish Creek

Gandy Bridge

Gun Branch

Hillsborough Bay

Hillsborough River

Interbay Peninsula

John Branch

Old Tampa Bay

Palma Ceia

Rattlesnake


Seaboard Coast Line (RR)

Tampa

Tampa International Airport

West Tampa

Approved by:


Charles E. Harrington
Chief Geographer C3x5

DISSEMINATION OF PROJECT MATERIAL
CM-7715

National Archives/Federal Records Center

Red Jacket:

Field Notebooks - NOAA Forms 77-53
NOAA Form 76-77
Bridging photographs
Tidal bench mark descriptions
Sketches and computations
Field edit discrepancy print
Field photographs
CSI cards

Bureau Archives

Registered copy of each map
Descriptive Report of each map

Reproduction Division

8x Reduction negative of each map

Office of Staff Geographer

Geographic Names Standard

LIST:

SVY TP00974
JOB CM7715
PRJ 833205
DTM NA1927

OBJECTS INSPECTED FROM SEAWARD
* * *
POSITIONS DETERMINED
* * *
AND/OR VERIFIED BY
* * *
FIELD AND OFFICE
* * *
ACTIVITIES

JOSEPH DI MARE
JOSEPH DI MARE
JETER P. BATTLE
ALFRED BETHEA
JAMES H. TAYLOR

* PHOTO FIELD PARTY
* FIELD REPRESENTATIVE
* OFFICE COMPILER
* DIGITIZER
* DATA PROCESSOR

KEY FOR ENTRIES UNDER METHOD AND DATE OF LOCATION

OFFICE

FIELD (CONT. D)

1. OFFICE IDENTIFIED AND LOCATED OBJECTS.
THE NUMBER AND DATE (INCLUDING MONTH, DAY
AND YEAR) OF THE PHOTOGRAPH USED TO
IDENTIFY AND LOCATE THE OBJECT ARE SHOWN.
EXAMPLE 75E(C)6042

B. PHOTOGRAMMETRIC FIELD POSITIONS** SHOW THE METHOD OF LOCATION OR VERIFICATION, DATE OF FIELD WORK AND NUMBER OF PHOTOGRAPH USED TO LOCATE AND IDENTIFY THE OBJECT.

FIELD

1. NEW POSITION DETERMINED OR VERIFIED

KEY TO SYMBOLS

F-FIELD P-PHOTOGRAMMETRIC

L-LOCATED VIS-VISUALLY

V-VERIFIED

1-TRIANGULATION 5-FIELD IDENTIFIED

2-TRAVERSE

3-INTERSECTION

4-RESECTION

A. FIELD POSITIONS* SHOW THE METHOD OF LOCATION AND DATE OF FIELD WORK.

2. TRIANGULATION STATION RECOVERED

WHEN A LANDMARK OR AID WHICH IS ALSO A TRI-
ANGULATION STATION IS RECOVERED, A TRIANG.
REC. WITH DATE OF RECOVERY IS SHOWN.
EXAMPLE TRIANG. REC.

3. POSITION VERIFIED VISUALLY ON PHOTOGRAPH SHOWN BY V-VIS AND DATE.

*FIELD POSITIONS ARE DETERMINED BY FIELD OBSERVATIONS BASED ENTIRELY UPON GROUND SURVEY METHODS

\$ **PHOTOGRAMMETRIC FIELD POSITIONS ARE
* DEPENDENT ENTIRELY,OR IN PART,UPON CONTROL
* ESTABLISHED BY PHOTOGRAMMETRIC METHODS.
*

* NOTE: WHERE THE NAME OF AN AID INCLUDES THE IMMEDIATE GEOGRAPHIC HEADING UNDER WHICH IT IS LISTED. *
* A DASH (-) IS USED TO INDICATE THE GEOGRAPHIC HEADING WHICH IS PART OF THE OFFICIAL NAME. *

