# 

Diag . C. N. No. 1213 - 2								
Form 504								
U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY								
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE .								
DESCRIPTIVE DEDODT								
DESCRIPTIVE REPORT								
Tune of Survey Lopomaphie								
Type of Survey opopaphie								
14697								
Field No. Office No. 469								
LOCALITY								
State omection								
General locality Rowalls								
Localit Kwa to Long								
norke Pt								
19# >								
CHIEF OF PARTY								
CR T no								
S.B. Frenell								
LIBRARY & ARCHIVES								
/								
D 33 1833								
DATE December 23,1932								

revision of rocks, reefs + low water detail only
5/6/49 202

# 4697

U.S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVEY S LIBEARY AND ARCRIVES

JTS 80 1932

Acc. Ha.

1
(1)
O
TH

`	
Form 504 Ed. June, 1928	
DEPARTMENT OF CO	MMERCE
U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC	
R.S. Patton Dire	ctor
	<u> </u>
-	
State: Conn.	
DESCRIPTIVE I	REPORT
Topographie	
Topographie   Sheet No. "	<sup>'B''</sup> 4697
,	
LOCALITY	•
Horwalk River to Lon	ia Me <b>c</b> k Pt
Long Island Co	und
1932	
CHIEF OF PAR	RTY
S. B. Grenell, Jr. H.	• 0 =
Harrier Control of the Control of th	01_Vt-12a

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1930

### U. S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVEY LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES

DEC 23 1932

### TOPOGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

Aluminum mounted

Acc. Ne

The Topographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field NoB .
REGISTER NO. 4697
State Connecticut
General locality SASSAXXXXXXXXXXX Long Island Sound
Locality Ram Island to Long Neck Point
Scale 1:10,000 Date of survey July , 19232
Vessel Project HT-103
Chief of Party S. B. Grenell
Surveyed by J. C. Partington
Inked by
Heights in feet aboveto ground to tops of trees
Contour, Approximate contour, Form line intervalfeet
Instructions dated April 18, 1932
Remarks:

4697

REG. NO.

## DESCRIPTIVE REPORT to accompany

# TOPOGRAPHIC SHEET "B" NORWA LK RIVER TO LONG NECK POINT LONG ISLAND SOUND

#### INSTRUCTIONS:

This work was done in accordance with the Director's Instructions dated April 18, 1932.

#### CONTROL:

The third order triangulation stations determined in 1932 and recovered stations
Afrom previous surveys were used as controls for this survey.

In addition to the triangulation stations shown by the conventional symbol, the following aids to navigation were determined by triangulation and used as control:

#### JUNCTIONS:

This sheet joins Topographic Sheet "A" on the eastward and Topograph-Sheet "C" on the westward.

#### SURVEY METHODS:

This work was done by the usual planetable methods using three point fixes wherever practicable. Traverses were run from Long Neck<sub>2</sub>,1931, to Fish,1932, and from Fish,1932, to Bell I.,1932. Traverses were also run in Scott Cove, Five Mile River, and to the northward of Cedar Hammock,1932.

All traverses checked well within the allowable error and all closures were adjusted.

All signals were located by three or more cuts or by two cuts and a rod reading. Rocks awash and buoys were located by three or more cuts. The amount which the varbous rocks and reefs bare was determined by noting the time the rocks were bare and reducing to mean low water by means of the tide marigrams on the gage at South Norwalk.

Low water was located in some places and this was done by rodding in the shoreline at the time of low water as taken from the predicted tide tables for South Norwalk.

The range of tide at South Norwalk is approximately 7 ft. For convenience the following designations may be used on rocks awash:

Bare 1 ft. and 2 ft. at L. W......Bare at low water

Bare 3,4 and 5 ft. " .....Bare at half tide

Bare 6 ft. and 7 ft. " .....Bare at high water.

#### DESCRIPTION:

The shoreline from triangulation MR RSH,1932, to Cedar Rammock,1932, is low and marshy. From Cedar Hammock to Wilson Pt. the shore is more steep and there is a moderate growth of trees back of the shore. The east shore of the cove north of Wilson Pt. is low but the west shore is more abrupt and partially covered with trees.

From Noroton Pt. to Fish Is. the shore is mostly rocky with occasional stretches of sand beach. From Fish Is. to Long Neck Pt. the shore is sandy except for the cove lying to the northwestward of topographic station ZIP which is steep and rocky.

The shoreline is of Scott Cove is marshy with occasional sand beaches.

The west shore of Five Mile River is low and partially covered with trees. There are a number of small docks and piers along the east shore and the river is used as an anchorage for small craft.

The Fish Is, are low and marshy except for the outer island which is rocky and covered with trees. Sheffield I. is low and sandy andthere is a boat landing on the north shore.

Tavern I. is rocky and there are a number of houses on the island.

About midway between Tavern I. and Wilson Pt. is a rock bare at low water . This rock is marked by a red spindle as also os the rock lying about 200 meters north by east of Little Tavern I. A sunken rock with a least depth of 2 ft. lies about 700 meters N.E. of triangulation station FISH. This rock is marked is marked by a black spindle. These three spindles are privately maintained. NAMES:

The only new name suggested on the sheet is the island 1/4 mile north of Cedar Hammock. It is locally known as-Smith-I .. Hoyt I.

Other islands which have local names are Sheffield I., locally known as Smith I., and Tavern I., locally known as Pilot I.

#### CHANGES:

Changes have occured north of Hoyt I., at Bell I., Five Wile River, Butler I., and Scott Cove. These changes were made by local companies reclaiming land. LANDMARKS:

List of landmarks are shown on Form 567, "Landmarks for Charts." CMISSIGNS:

The rock shown on chart 221 known as Old Pelt in Lat. 41°02'1282 meters, Long. 73°25'1152 meters, was seen and is known to exist. The position of this rock was not checked and it was not possible at the time the survey for this locality was made.

Approved: Schmill

Jr. H.& G.E.

### RECOVERABLE TOPOGRAPHIC STATIONS

## SHEET B. T4697

	Name		tude	D.M. Longitude		D.P.	Remarks	
	Man	0 <b>41</b>	04	542	73 24		811	White pole.
	Yel	41	03	1781	73	25	682	Seaward chy on stone house.
	High	41	03	1203	73	25	488	High flagpole-Tavern Id.
	Low	41	03	731	73	24	513	White pole - Wood Id.
	-Dock	41	03	450	<b>7</b> 3	25	47	White flagpole.
	Last	41	04	72	23	25	1363	White flagpole.
	Star	41	03	1690	73	26	35	White flagpole.
	Club	41	03	1468	73	26	63	Cupola - Yacht club.
	Tin	41	03	1043	73	26	61	White flagpole.
	Cup	41	03	678	73	26	38	Red cupola.
	Mid	41	03	571	73	26	425	Short pole on dock.
	Nay				73	26	1210	Prominent flagpole.
	Fag	41	03	1316	73	26	1087	Flagpole.
	Cap	41	04	2	° 73	26	979	Flagpole.
	Но	41	03	852	73	27	288	Flagpole.
	Ben	41	03	543	73	27	390	Flagpole.
	Black	41	03	200	. 73	27	100	Black spindle.
	Son	41	03	310	73	27	812	Flagpole.
	Tank	41	03	733	73	27	581	Green water tank.
	How	41	03	256	73	28	97	Cupola on small pavilion.
	Axe	41	03	103	73	28	257	Cupola on small pavilion.
	Zip	41	02	1612	73	28	216	Black spindle.
Win		41	02	969	73	28	810	Cupola on small pavilion.
	Ex	41	02	409	73	28	949	Prominent white circular bldg.

	T 4697	
	Remarks	Decisions
1		
2		
4		
5		
6		
7	721 has "Fish /5/44d"	pluse
8_	T1737 hus "Scutts"	Jaluar Scott
9		
10_	724 has "134 tlers"	Butler
11		
	USGS has "Five Mile" TIT37 has "Fire Mile"	Fivemile
13	Tzu has "Five Miles"	
14		
15		
16	TIGhas Worveton"	Norotug
17		
18	,	
19_	*	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	TI537 (1884?) had "L" for "I" was this andereng undid "L" actually stand for a word now lost?	Little I. Hammout
25	did La cetually stand for a word now 105t?	
26	T 1737 has "try ser"	Kaysan
27 M 234	( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Keyser
171 234	l · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l

·	GEOGRAPHIC NAMES Survey No. 746	97	//	// ot.)			3 district	Se la	15 xx 25 2	O Gide of	A CO	S. S	\$ /p
=	Name on Survey /	K		Cho.	K B	C C	) D	r rott	8 F	° G	grid .	S.S. K	5/.
	Norwalk River	الد	<u> </u>				~	٠		<u></u>			
•	Long Neck Pt.	*					~			-			2
	Long Island Sound	+ ;	/			/	V	~		~		_	3
	Great Reef	*	ن					v			-	_	4
•	Old Baldy	X						~					5
	Hiding RKs	*						/		-		-	6
	Greens Ledge	*	<u>ــــ</u>		-	<del></del>					_	_	7
	Fish Is.	*			_	~	V						8
	S'cott Cove	*			-	V	_					اسب	9
	Tokeneke Park	*											10
	Butler I.	X					4			·			11
	Tokeneke Cr.	*									<u></u> ,		12
	Fivemile R.	*	سه		~		<u></u>						13
	Roton Pt.	*											14
	Pine Pt.	*									,		15
	Bell I.	*			سن	<u> </u>							16
	Noroton Pt.	*	-	_	سن	س	-					0	17
	Wilson Pt.	*	-		سا		-			4		~	18
	SheffieldI	*	-	-		س	-	س				<u></u>	19
	Sheffield I. Harbor	*											20
	Tavern I.	*	-	~	-	<i>س</i>		~					21
	Little Tavern I.	*			-		-					ست	22
-	DogI	*						-			100	Cheq	23
	Little Hammock	*						~		inderlined	to teg an	36	24
=	Little I. Hammook	*	<del>!                                    </del>						20,3	inder line	on 0	Ĺ	25
	Wood I.	*					C 44	~	Wall				26
	Keyser I		ļ			4-	G.N. 121		101				27
	Keyser Pt	<del>/</del>	,•	l	1		İ	Į	ŀ	ļ	l	ļ	M 234

Section of Field Records
Review of Topographic Survey No. 4697 (1937)
Ram J. to Long North Pt., Long Island Round, Connecticut.
Instructions dated: April 18, 1932 (GRENELL)

Plane Jable Survey - Aluminum Mounted
Chief of Party: A. B. Grenell
Durvey ed by: J. C. Partington

It records conform to the requirements of the Topographic Manual, with the following exceptions:

a. Notes regarding rocks awash are in vertical atters whereas clanting letterging is required for all objects which cours at high teds

b. Recoverable Topographic Stations although listed in the Description Report, were not submitted on Form 524.

2. Compliance with Instructions for the Project

The survey complies with the instructions
for the project.

3. Junctions with Contemporary Surveys Satisfactory junctions were made with T-4696 (1932) and T-4698 (1932) 4 Comparison with Prior Surveys

The shoreline agreement is good except where land reclamation has been responsible for changes. all rocks have been verified on the present survey with the exception of three reefs in the vicinity with the exception of three reefs in the vicinity of Dog J. lat. 41-03.5, long. 73-24.6 which are being carried forward

Sheffield Island formity of this survey was in fair agreement with the present survey. The difference in shareline is minar and is probably due to a different interpretation of M. H. W. I've rather than & changes as the shareline in this vicinity appears to be quite permanent. Three neefs — Lat. 41-03.5, long 73-24.6 originating with T-19 (1835) and verified by the 1884 survey are being carried forward in red. In addition a rock award in lat. 41-03.6 long 73-24.6 is being carried forward in accordance with accepted methods of handling such features

C.T- 1737 (1885-86)

There is good agreement in the high water live of this revery with the present survey except where changes have accurred due to land reclamation (see D. R. jog 2 3). A rock awash in Lat 41-033 Long 73-76.6 is being carried forward in red. a rock in Lat 41-03.35 long 73-76.1 was not carried forward on

because of evidence that old topographic Reviewer because of evidence that old topographic location is incorrect. An island about 50 w. in diameter formerly shown inside of the high water line northeast forthe Keyser Pt. let. 41-04.55 long. 73-24.5 is not shown on the present survey In view of the failure to locate the L.W. Line leither by topography as by hydrography they is some doubt as to the non-existence of the island.

Jher are revision surveys are Charlo No. 267
and No. 268 respectively. The areas actually
reveryed were compared with the present
revery and found to be substantially the
same and no disagreement was found.

To self Drafting

The feels whing if the survey is setisfactory.

6. additional Field work becommend

of the island in a lat. 41-04.55 long. 73-24.5 should be
werefied 1500 when additional work in done in the
vicinity

7. Superseding ald Surveys

Reviewed by the Jackworki March 1935

REVIEW OF GRAPHIC CONTROL SURVEY T- 4697, SCALE,

## Date of Review May 12 (937

- 1. This survey has been reviewed in connection with Air Photo Compilation Nos. T-5260, with particular attention to the following details:
  - (a) Projection has been checked in the Field.
  - (b) Accuracy of location of plane table control points.

    no common points located by plant plat.
  - (c) Discrepancies between detail on this survey and the air photo compilations listed above.
  - (d) Discrepancies found in descriptions submitted on Form 524 when compared with the air photo compilations listed above. NO form 524 Submitted
- 2. Refer to the reviews and descriptive reports of air photo compilations Nos. T- 5260, for a more complete discussion of any errors or discrepancies found.

Any material errors found on this survey are noted in subsequent paragraphs of this review, and these have been reported to the Field Records Section and the Cartographic Section.

Notes and corrections resulting from the review are shown on this survey in green.

Because of municipalities and additions and something to their survey, which are phonon completely on phonon completely on phonon completely on phonon completely of some phonon completely of their phonon completely of the comple