NOAA	FORM	76-35
	12-761	

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION
NATIONAL OCEAN SURVEY

# DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Map No.	Edition No.
T-13168	1
Job No.	
Рн-6709	
Map Classification FINAL FIELD EDITED MAP	
Type of Survey	
SHORELINE	
LOCALIT	Υ
State	
Alaska	
General Locality	
Shelikof S	trait
Locality Kuliak Bay, He	ad_of
1967 TO 19	75
REGISTRY IN AR	CHIVES
DATE	

\*U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE:1976-669-248

NOAA FORM 76-36A U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (3-72) NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMIN.	TYPE OF SURVEY	SURVEY T	р. <u>Т-13168</u>
100 F THE COUNTY AND A LINCOLLINE COUNTY	S ORIGINAL	MAP EDITIO	N NO. (1)
THE PERSONS DATA DECORD	RESURVEY		Final Fiold
DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD	-	MAP CLASS	Edited Map
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE	REVISED		н. 6709
	LAST PRECEED		<del></del>
Coastal Mapping Division, AMC,	TYPE OF SURVEY		H
Norfolk, Virginia officer-in-Charge	ORIGINAL  RESURVEY	MAP CLASS SURVEY DA	
1	RESURVEY	19TO 19	•
Jeffrey G. Carlen	, G METINES		·
I. INSTRUCTIONS DATED			
1. OFFICE	2.	FIELD	<del></del>
Aerotriangulation 09/26/67 Compilation 05/06/68 Compilation 11/06/70	Premarking Feb	<b>1</b> 0, 1967	
II. DATUMS	OTHER (Specify)		
I. HORIZONTAL: 📉 1927 NORTH AMERICAN	(0,2,)		
	OTHER (Specify)		
3. MAP PROJECTION	4.	GRID(S)	
Polyconic	STATE Alaska	ZONE	5
5. SCALE	STATE	ZONE	
1:10,000			
III. HISTORY OF OFFICE OPERATIONS			
OPERATIONS	NAME		DATE
I. AEROTRIANGULATION BY METHOD: Analytic Landmarks and aids by	I. Saperstein		Apr 1968
	None		- 1000
2. CONTROL AND BRIDGE POINTS PLOTTED BY METHOD: Calcomp CHECKED BY	A. Rethea	<del></del>	Jun 1968 Jun 1968
<u> </u>	R. Glaser		Jun 1968 Mar 1971
3. STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT PLANIMETRY BY COMPILATION CHECKED BY	R. White A. Shands		Mar 1971 Mar 1971
INSTRUMENT: Wild B-8 CONTOURS BY	NA Shands		rial 17,1
SCALE: 1:15,000 CHECKED BY	NA NA		
4. MANUSCRIPT DELINEATION PLANIMETRY BY	R. White		Mar 1971
CHECKED BY	R. Pate		Mar 1971
METHOD: Smooth drafted CONTOURS BY	NA .		
CHECKED BY	NA		
scale: 1:10,000 HYDRO SUPPORT DATA BY	R. White		Mar 1971
CHECKED BY	R. Pate		Mar 1971
5. OFFICE INSPECTION PRIOR TO FIELD EDIT BY	R. Pate	-	Mar 1971
6. APPLICATION OF FIELD EDIT DATA  CHECKED BY	C. Parker A. Shands	·-	May 1976 Jun 1976
7. COMPILATION SECTION REVIEW BY	A. Shands		Jun 1976
8. FINAL REVIEW BY	C: Blood		Feb 1987
9. DATA FORWARDED TO PHOTOGRAMMETRIC BRANCH BY	J. Byrd		Apr 1987
			A
10. DATA EXAMINED IN PHOTOGRAMMETRIC BRANCH BY	P. Dempsey	Ì	Aug 1987

NOAA FORM 76-36B		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NATIONAL OCE		THENT OF COMMERCE
(3–72)	Т-	13168	NATIONAL OCE		IERIC ADMINISTRATION IONAL OCEAN SURVEY
	CO	MPILATION S	OURCES		
I. COMPILATION PHOTOGRAP	HY				
CAMERA(S) Wild RC 8"L"		TYPES OF	BUOTOSSABUY	<del>- 1</del>	
Wild RC 9"M" FL=88.20mm			PHOTOGRAPHY EGEND	TIME	REFERENCE
TIDE STAGE REFERENCE		1		ZÖNE	
X PREDICTED TIDES		(C) COLOR		Alaska	X)STANDARI
REFERENCE STATION REC	QRDS	(P) PANCHROMATIC (I) INFRARED		MERIDIAN	DAYLIGHT
TIDE CONTROLLED PHOTO	GRAPHY	(I) INFRAF	ED	150th	LJDATEIGH
NUMBER AND TYPE	DATE	TIME	SCALE	STA	GE OF TIDE
67L(C)4529-4532	7/27/67	10:40	1:30,000	1.6 ft a	above MLLW
67M(P) 934-937	7/11/67		1:60,000	Not app	=
	1 ,,			not upp.	
				1	
				1	
			<b>\</b>	1	
EMARKS					
	=======================================		<del></del>		
2. SOURCE OF MEAN HIGH-WA	TER LINE:				
The mean high wate	er line was comp	iled from	the above li	sted photogra	aphs.
COURCE OF WARYING WARRING	ROWAD MEAN FAWER I	OWWATEDIN			
3. SOURCE OF MICHAINA TO BE SOURCE OF MICHAIN	MENON MEAN LUNER L	OH-HAICK LINI	<b>:</b>		
The mean lower low	water line was	compiled	from the abo	ve listed pho	otographs.
4. CONTEMPORARY HYDROGI	RAPHIC SURVEYS (List	only those survey	rs that ere sources f	or photogrammetric s	urvey information.)
SURVEY NUMBER DATE(S	SURVEY CO	PY USED SU	RVEY NUMBER	DATE(S)	SURVEY COPY USED
1		l			
				٠	
5. FINAL JUNCTIONS					
5. FINAL JUNCTIONS	EAST	so	uтн	WEST	

REMARKS

NDAA FORM 76—36C (3—72)	т-13168	NATIONAL OCEANIC		ENT OF COM C Administr Al Ocean S	RATIO
	HISTORY OF FIELD	OPERATIONS			
I. X FIELD <del>-INSPECTION</del> OPI	ERATION premarking FIELD	EDIT OPERATION			
0	PERATION	NAMI	É	DAT	E
. CHIEF OF FIELD PARTY				١,,	1067
<u> </u>	RECOVERED BY	G. Short		July July	
2. HORIZONTAL CONTROL	ESTABLISHED BY	R. Melby	<del></del>	July _July	
I TOMEON ME TON THE	PRE-MARKED OR IDENTIFIED BY	G. Short R. Melby		July	
	RECOVERED BY	NA NA		<u> </u>	1,0.
3. VERTICAL CONTROL	ESTABLISHED BY	NA			
•	PRE-MARKED OR IDENTIFIED BY	NA			
	RECOVERED (Triangulation Stations) BY	None			
4. LANDMARKS AND	LOCATED (Field Methods) BY	None			
AIDS TO NAVIGATION	IDENTIFIED BY	None	,		
	TYPE OF INVESTIGATION				
5. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES	COMPLETE BY		•		
INVESTIGATION	SPECIFIC NAMES ONLY				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NO INVESTIGATION				
6. PHOTO INSPECTION	CLARIFICATION OF DETAILS BY	None			
7. BOUNDARIES AND LIMITS	SURVEYED OR IDENTIFIED BY	NA			
II. SOURCE DATA 1. HORIZONTAL CONTROL IE	CENTIFIED	2. VERTICAL CONTRO	OL IDENTIFIED		
I. HURIZONI KE CONTROL IL	JEN I IF IED		SE IDENTIFIED		
		NA			
PHOTO NUMBER	STATION NAME	PHOTO NUMBER	STATION DE	SIGNATION	
67M 1002 HEAI	0, 1967				
07H-1002 HEAL	5, 1907	]			
		<u> </u>			
3. PHOTO NUMBERS (Clarifica	ation of details)				
None					
4. LANDMARKS AND AIDS TO	NAVIGATION IDENTIFIED				
4. EARDMARKS AND AIDS TO	MAYIGATION IDENTIFIED		-		
M					
None PHOTO NUMBER	OBJECT NAME	PHOTO NUMBER	OBJECT	NAME	
	332071111112	THOU NOW BER			
				37	
5. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES:	REPORT X NONE	6. BOUNDARY AND L	IMITS: REPO	RT X NO	NE
7. SUPPLEMENTAL MAPS AN	D PLANS				
M	,		1		
None 8. OTHER FIELD RECORDS (	Sketch books, etc. DO NOT list data submit	ited to the Geodesv Divisi	 ion)		
1 Form 152			•		
I LOIM IN	•				
		<del></del>			


NOAA FORM 76-36C (3-72)		T-13 <b>1</b> 68	NATIONAL OCEA	U. S. I TA DNA DIN,		OF COMMERCE MINISTRATION CEAN SURVEY
		ORY OF FIELD (	DPERATIONS .			
.i. FIELD INSPECT		X FIELD	DEDIT OPERATION			
	OPERATION	<u> </u>	<del> </del>	NAME	·	DATE
1. CHIEF OF FIELD P	PARTY		R. Alderma	an		Jun 1975
		RECOVERED BY	None			
2. HORIZONTAL CON	TROL	ESTABLISHED BY	None			
<u>_</u>	PRE-MARKED C	OR IDENTIFIED BY	None			
		RECOVERED BY	NA			
3. VERTICAL CONTRO		ESTABLISHED BY	NA			
	PRE-MARKED C	OR IDENTIFIED BY	NA			
1	RECOVERED (Triange	ulation Stations) BY	None			
4. LANDMARKS AND	LOCATED	(Field Methods) BY	None			
AIDS TO NAVIGATI		IDENTIFIED BY	None			
 I		VESTIGATION		_		
5. GEOGRAPHIC NAME		BY				
INVESTIGATION	_	IC NAMES ONLY				
_		STIGATION				1095
6. PHOTO INSPECTIO	N CLARIFICATIO	ON OF DETAILS BY	Gulley	<u> </u>		Jun 1975
7. BOUNDARIES AND	LIMITS SURVEYED (	OR IDENTIFIED BY	NA			
II. SOURCE DATA		<u> </u>				
None	TROL IDENTIFIED		2. VERTICAL CO	NTROL IDEN	TIFIED	<u> </u>
PHOTO NUMBER	STATION NAM	/E	PHOTO NUMBER	ST	TATION DESIGNA	A TION"
						- <u>.</u>
3. PHOTO NUMBERS	(Clarification of details)					
67L-4529						
4. LANDMARKS AND	AIDS TO NAVIGATION IDENT	'IFIED			<del> </del>	
None						
PHOTO NUMBER	OBJECT NAM	iE	PHOTO NUMBER		OBJECT NAM	E
5. GEOGRAPHIC NAM	IES: REPORT	None	6. BOUNDARY AN	ID LIMITS:	REPORT	X NONE
7. SUPPLEMENTAL M	IAPS AND PLANS					
None		NAT.				
1 Field edi		NOT list data submitt	ted to the Geodesy D	livision)		

NOAA FORM 76-36D (3-72)

T-13168

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

			RECOR	RD OF SURVE	Y USE			
I. MANUSC	RIPT COPIES							
	Co	MPILA	TION STAGE	ş .			DATE MANUSCRI	PT FORWARDED
	DATA COMPILED	ļ	DATE	RE	MARKS		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	HYDRO SUPPORT
	ation complete, g field edit	Ma	r 1971	Class III	I manuscr	ript	3/30/71	4/2/75
,	edit applied, ation complete	Ma	y 1976	Class I r	manuscrip	ot	1/11/80	8/4/76
Final	Review	Fel	b 1987	Final Mag	o O	*****	June 1987	
	_		,					
II. LANDM	ARKS AND AIDS TO NAVIGA	TION						
1. REP	ORTS TO MARINE CHART D	VISIO	N, NAUTICAL	DATA BRANCH				
NUMBER	CHART LETTER NUMBER ASSIGNED	FO	DATE RWARDED			REM	ARKS	
				·				
			·:\					
	REPORT TO MARINE CHAR'							
	RAL RECORDS CENTER DAT			,				
	BRIDGING PHOTOGRAPHS; CONTROL STATION IDENT SOURCE DATA (except for C ACCOUNT FOR EXCEPTION	i FICAT Sograp	TION CARDS;		5 – <b>567</b> SUBMIT	TED B		
4 🗆	DATA TO FEDERAL RECO	RDS CI	ENTER. DAT	E FORWARDED:				<del>-</del>
IV. SURVE	Y EDITIONS (This section s	hall b			o edition is re	gistareo	ij.	·
accent	SURVEY NUMBER	/2\	JOB NUMBE			_	TYPE OF SURVEY	SURVEY
SECOND	DATE OF PHOTOGRAP	_ <b>(2)</b> HY	DATE OF FI		О	_	MAP CLASS	_
<del></del>	SURVEY NUMBER		JOB NUMBE		□n.	Шш.	IV. DV.	∐ FINAL
THIRD	TP	_ (3)	PH	`		_		BURVEY
EDITION	DATE OF PHOTOGRAP		DATE OF FI	ELD EDIT		_ □m.	MAP CLASS	FINAL
<del></del>	SURVEY NUMBER		JOB NUMBE	R	<del></del>		TYPE OF SURVEY	
FOURTH	TP	_ (4)	PH			RE	VISED RES	ÜRVÉY
EDITION	DATE OF PHOTOGRAP	44	DATE OF FI	ELD EDIT	П.,		MAP CLASS	Π



# SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

#### T-13168

This 1:10,000 scale Final shoreline map is one of twenty-three maps designated as project PH-6709, Shelikof Strait, Cook Inlet, Alaska. Six maps are 1:20,000 scale and seventeen maps are 1:10,000 scale.

The purpose of this map was to provide contemporary shoreline in support of hydrographic operations and to aid in chart revision.

Field work prior to compilation during the 1967 field season consisted of recovery and premarking of horizontal control for aerotriangulation.

This map area was photographed in July 1967 with the RC-9 "M" camera at 1:60,000 scale using panchromatic film. The map area was also photographed in July 1967 with the RC-8 "L" camera at 1:30,000 scale using color film.

Aerotriangulation was completed at the Washington Office in April 1968.

This map was compiled at the Norfolk Office in March 1971.

Field edit was acquired for T-13168 during the 1975 field season. Field edit was applied at AMC in June 1976.

Final review was accomplished at the Atlantic Marine Center in February 1987. A Chart Maintenance Print was prepared and forwarded to the Marine Charts Branch.

This Descriptive Report contains all pertinent information used to compile this Final Field Edited Map. The original base manuscript and all related data were forwarded to the Washington Science Center for final registration.

#### FIELD INSPECTION

#### T-13168

There was no field inspection prior to compilation. Field work accomplished was limited to the recovery and premarking of the horizontal control necessary for the aerotriangulation of the project.

### Photogrammetric Plot Report Job PH-6709 Shelikof Strait, Alaska

April 1968

### 21. Area Covered

The area of this report covers the western shore of Shelikof Strait, Alaska, and consists of seven (7) 1:20,000 scale T-sheets, T-13154 thru T-13160 and seventeen (17) 1:10,000 scale T-sheets T-13161 thru T-13177.

### 22. Method

Strips 1, 2, 3 and 4 were bridged by analytic aerotriangulation methods. Strips 211, 212, 222, 223, 232, 233, 241 and 281 were bridged by stereoplanigraph using tie points located by the analytic bridge. Strips 224, 231, 242 and 243 were not bridged, but sufficient points have been located to set the models. Photographs 4576 and 4578 on sheet T-13174 are to be compiled graphically using points to be transferred from the color plates to the ratio prints. This is a water model and may be difficult to set.

The attached sketch of the strips bridged shows the placement of triangulation used in the final strip adjustments. Closures to control are shown for each strip on the IBM readout, along with all bridge points on Alaska Zone 5 plane coordinates.

# 23. Adequacy of Control

Horizontal control is adequate to control strips 1, 2, 3 and 4. All color photographs that were bridged used tie points and horizontal control. This was adequate. All horizontal control was premarked with the exception of DAKAVAK, 1967 and KINAK, 1967. RC-9 photography on strip 2 was flown before the above stations were panelled. KINAK, 1967 was transferred on the PUG from strip 4 to strip 2. DAKAVAK, 1967 was outside the limits of strip 1 and 4 and it was impossible to transfer the point from the color photography due to a poor area. DAKAVAK, 1967 was therefore omitted from the adjustment of strip 2.

DOUGLAS, 1964 could not be held in the adjustment of strip 3. The station is at the extreme edge of the photograph where film distortion is greatest.

# 24. Supplemental Data

Vertical control needed for the adjustment was taken from USGS quadrangles.

## 25. Photography

The definition and quality of the RC-9  $^{\prime\prime}M^{\prime\prime}$  and RC-8  $^{\prime\prime}L^{\prime\prime}$  color photography were fair and good respectively. Coverage was adequate to compile all sheets.

Ratio prints have been ordered from the 1:40,000 scale color photographs on black and white base that cover the 1:20,000 scale sheets. Ratio prints have also been ordered from the 1:30,000 scale color photographs on black and white base that cover the 1:10,000 scale sheets.

Respectively submitted,

I. I. Saperstein

Approved and forwarded

Chief, Aerotriangulation Section

1. PEDMAR, 1967
2. DAKAVAK, 1967
3. KINAK, 1967
4. ATUSHAGVIK 2, 1967
5. KULIAK, 1908
6. HEAD, 1967
7. S. P. DIME, 1949
8. S. P. BRUCE 2, 1967
9. HOOK, 1967
10. CHINIAK, 1967
11. SWIKSHAK, 1967
12. DARK, 1908
13. SUKOI, 1967
14. DOUGLAC, 1964

STRIP 212

T-13165 7-13166 58°15

STRIP 2810

6. 7-13169 STRIP 241

STRIP 1

7-13170 - 13171

T-13171

T-13171

STRIP 4

T-13174

STRIP 2 43

STRIP 211 2248 127 1-13177
67111914 8 1. 6711 58\*00'

JOB PH-6709 AEROTRIANGULATION "SHELIKOF STRAIT,

ALASKA

Control used in adjustment
Strips bridged analytically
Strips bridged by Stereo planigraph
Strips not bridged; models to be
sealed using points from
analytic bridge.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
があれるとうだされてきなるとなって、
のおおればればれると思るなメスススス
National Ocean Service
CHARTING AND GEODETIC SERVICES
Rockville, Md. 20852

March 10, 1983

N/CG2321:GF

TO:

N/CG232 - George M. Ball

N/MOA22 - A. Y. Bryson

FROM:

N/CG23 - Lawrence W. Fritz

. .

SUBJECT: Geodetic Datum, Jobs PH-6709 and CM-7607 Part H

A horizontal datum conflict occurs between these jobs. This conflict was detected during an evaluation of 1980 field data developed for PH-6709. A complete review of project data for both jobs has been conducted to seek the proper course of action required to resolve this matter.

- 1. Review. The examination revealed the following:
  - a. Maps comprising each job are Class I and unreviewed.
  - Copies of unreviewed maps have been furnished in support of hydrography by N/MOA221.
  - c. N/CG232 has not released any data to N/CG22.
  - d. Aerotriangulation of each job checked well within the specified standards.
  - e. The National Geodetic Survey, in 1976, readjusted segments of the control network within the region of Alaska covered by these photogrammetric jobs. This action affected all geodetic stations used in these projects and resulted in an adjustment of approximately -.02 second in latitude and +.84 second in longitude to the stations.
  - f. The datum conflict occurs because base compilation of PH-6709 is based on aerotriangulated positions determined using geodetic station positions prior to the 1976 adjustment and CM-7607 compilation is controlled using post-1976 adjusted geodetic positions.
  - g. Conflict between jobs went unnoticed during aerotriangulation and compilation. Two reasons probably caused this; aerotriangulation operations were accomplished independently and meet standards, and the shoreline at the junction between jobs is oriented in an east-west direction and the major datum shift occurs in longitude.



- h. Map T-13176(PH-6709) represents conflicting data. This map depicts detail compiled from photographs controlled using pre-1976 geodetic data and 1980 field information based on adjusted geodetic data.
- i. Users of PH-6709 data must be alerted about the geodetic adjustment. Users will be required to effect a datum adjustment before this data is used in the production of charts, other maps or surveys, etc.
- 2. Actions Required. Because of the 1976 geodetic adjustment, the following actions are required and to be taken immediately:
  - a. Make appropriate report documentation for each map of PH-6709 indicating that map detail is based on geodetic control positions prior to the 1976 adjustment and add this statement to each map: "The National Geodetic Survey readjusted the geodetic network in 1976. This map is based on geodetic control positions prior to the adjustment." Because CM-7607 is based on adjusted control, a map notation is not required. However, for the one map junctioning with PH-6709, report documentation addressing the datum conflict is required.
  - b. Field data developed in 1980 was applied to T-13176(PH-6709). Data applied based on 1980 field geodetic positions are to be removed. This will generally include geodetic stations and rocks. Data applied based on map detail/photo image points are adequate and will remain in the photogrammetric records, e.g.; area limits, items graphically applied, items intersected using radial plot principals.
  - c. Field data and records acquired that are based on 1980 geodetic field control and affecting T-13176 are to be transferred to the hydrographic record for H-9887 and H-9896 through N/CG2321. It will be necessary to prepare duplicate field records to remain with photogrammetric data.
  - d. A map copy of T-13176, after it is updated, will be required to complete H-9887/H-9896 and is to be routed through N/CG2321 to N/CG24.
- 3. Miscellaneous. A request has been made by N/CG24 for an updated copy of T-13176 before 4/20/83. If compliance with this request cannot be met, please inform this office immediately. Completion schedule for final review is pending and will be addressed by subsequent instructions.

cc: N/CG2342 N/CG24 N/MOA221 ✓

					_	1
NOAA FORM 76-41				U.S. NATIONAL OCEANIC AND AT	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION	S CE
		DESCRIPTIV	DESCRIPTIVE REPORT CONTROL RECORD			
MAP NO.	ON BOF		GEODETIC DATUM	ORIGINATING ACTIVITY	vity Coastal Mapping	gu
T-13168	PH-6709	402	N.A. 1927	Division, AMC,	, Norfolk, Virginia	e e
	SOURCE OF	AEROTRI-	COORDINATES IN FEET	GEOGRAPHIC POSITION	200	
1 E C Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z	INFORMATION (Index)	POFINT	ZONE 5		27.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.7.	
	ļ		χ=	φ 58 11 24,466	757.0 1099.4	4
HEAD, 1967	ADJ. I.B.M.	35100	y=	λ 154 17 38.970	636.7 343.7	7
			χ=	φ		
			<i>y</i> =	γ		
			-χ	φ		
			h=	۲		
			χ≃	φ		
			y=	γ		
			χ=	ф		_
			η= 	γ		
			=X	φ		
			in the second se	Y		
			χ=	ф		_
			y=	γ		
			<i>=</i> χ	φ		
		,	y=	γ		
			-χ	•		
			y=	γ		
			χ=	φ		
			y=		i :	
COMPUTED BY A. C. Rauck, Jr.		DATE 5/3/68	COMPUTATION CHECKED BY J.	R. Minton	DATE 5/7/68	
1		DATE	LISTING CHECKED BY		DATE	
HAND PLOTTING BY		DATE	HAND PLOTTING CHECKED BY		DATE	
		SUPERSEDES NO	SUPERSEDES NOAA FORM 78-41, 2-71 EDITION WHICH IS OBSOLETE.	H IS OBSOLETE,		1

#### COMPILATION REPORT

#### T-13168

#### 31. DELINEATION:

Delineation was by Wild B-8 methods using the color photography of July 27, 1967. The photography was adequate.

#### 32. CONTROL:

See Photogrammetric Plot Report dated April 1968.

#### 33. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

None.

#### 34. CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE:

Contours are inapplicable. Drainage was compiled from office interpretation of the photographs.

#### 35. SHORELINE AND ALONGSHORE DETAILS:

All details were compiled from office interpretation of the photographs.

#### 36. OFFSHORE DETAILS:

None.

#### 37. LANDMARKS AND AIDS:

There were no charted nonfloating aids or landmarks and none were noted during stereoscopic instrument compilation.

# 38. CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEY:

None.

#### T-13168

#### 39. JUNCTIONS:

Junctions are in agreement with T-13169 to the east and T-13171 to the south. There is no shoreline to affect a match to either the west or north.

#### 40. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY:

No Statement.

#### 46. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS:

A comparison has been made with USGS Quadrangle MT. KATMAI (A-1) ALASKA, scale 1:63,360 dated 1951.

#### 47. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:

A comparison has been made with Chart 8556, scale 1:350,000, 3rd edition, dated October 23, 1967.

#### ITEMS TO BE APPLIED TO NAUTICAL CHARTS IMMEDIATELY:

None.

ITEMS TO BE CARRIED FORWARD:

None.

Submitted by: Charles E. Blood

for

Richard R. White

Cartographic Technician

March 8, 1971

Approved: Charles E. Blood

Albert C. Rauck, Jr.

Chief, Coastal Mapping Division, AMC

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

FINAL NAME SHEET

PH-6709 (Shelikof Strait, Alaska)

T-13168

Alaska Peninsula Kuliak Bay

Approved:

Charles E. Harrington
Chief Geographer
Nautical Charting Division
Charting and Geodetic Services

#### FIELD EDIT REPORT

Map T-13168 .

#### Head of Kuliak Bay, Alaska

June, 1975

Field edit of map T-13168 was done by Lt(jg) Gulley during June, 1975. Field inspection of the area was done at various stages of the tide by skiff and on foot.

#### METHOD .

Photographs and a copy of the field edit ozalid were examined in the filed. The shoreline was corrected on the photographs where it was found to have changed or was in error. All field edit data and corrections are noted on the photographs, film ozalid or paper ozalid. All times are based on GMT.

#### ADEQUACY OF COMPILATION

Compilation of this map is good. The MHWL was corrected when found in error. Incorrectly identified features were corrected on the photographs and film ozalid. Note:

- -A rock outcrop exists at 58°11.57'N, 154°16.1'W.
- -Two rocks were found (other than those already shown on the manuscript) inshore of the low water line at 58°11.28'N, 154°16.79'W.
- -Two rock outcrops were found inshore of the low water line, one at 58°11.8'N, 154°16.57'W and the other at 58°11.47'N, 154°17.25'W.

Field inspection of this map is complete.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the map be revised in accordance with the notes on the photographs and ozalid, and that the map be accepted as an advance manuscript.

#### FIELD EDIT REPORT

Cape Ilktugitak to Douglas Reef, Alaska

OPR - 478

Summer 1975

#### Introduction

Field edit reports are attached for the following Job PH-6709 maps:

T-13155 through T-13175, and T-13177

Manuscript T-13176 was not field edited since the survey area did not include Dakavak Bay.

Copies of the field edit ozalids were taken into the field. All notes were made on these field ozalids. The matte ratio prints were used as a last resort in the field when the field ozalid did not provide enough information. The matte ratio prints were found to be of poor quality, very grainy and lacking clarity. These photographs were also hard to handle in the field because of paper curl and stiffness. The cronapaques were of slightly better quality (in clarity and definition) than the matte ratio prints, but they still left a lot to be desired because of their graininess.

Another problem encountered with these photographs was the stage of the tide at the time of photography. Many of the rocks shown on the manuscripts could not be found on the photographs because the tide was too high in these photographs. It would be of great help to have photographs taken at a lower tidal stage.

Apparently color photographs of the area are available. However, none were furnished. Color photographs are far superior to black and white photographs in clarity and definition, and with the added feature of color, are of greater value to the field editor. It is highly recomended that color photographs be furnished in the future.

Compilation of the maps is generally good. All notes were made in violet ink on the ozalids and cronapaques, with deletions in green ink and references to hydrography in red ink. All heights of rocks were estimated by the field editor. Where required, the MHWL was located by measuring distances from photoidentifiable points, as noted on the photographs. All times are based on G.M.T.

Turbid water (due to glacial runoff) in several bays of the project area made it difficult to locate some of the rocks and shoal areas. Due to

the vast amount of area and shoreline involved, and to the fact that all hydrography was electronically controlled, it was impractical to establish visual signals to be used for field edit. Therefore, the hydrographic launches, and their electronic positioning equipment, were utilized to locate detached positions.

The dashed line symbol on the field edit ozalid was found rather confusing, since it depicts three different features: the approximate MLWL, foul limits, and ledge limits.

It is recommended that these maps be revised in accordance with the notes on the ozalids and cronapaques and on the attached sheets before acceptance as advanced manuscripts. Field inspection of these maps is complete, except as noted on the individual reports.

Respectfully Submitted:

Gilybry P. Kolinake Foanne Gulley Lt (jg), NOAA

Approved and Forwarded:

Richard E. Alderman

CDR, NOAA

Commanding Officer,

NOAA Ship FAIRWEATHER (MSS-20)

# REVIEW REPORT SHORELINE

T-13168

#### 61. GENERAL STATEMENT:

See the summary included with this Descriptive Report. The National Geodetic Survey readjusted the geodetic network in 1976. This map is based on a geodetic datum that existed prior to that adjustment.

#### 62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

Not applicable.

#### 63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES:

Not applicable.

#### 64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS:

A comparison was made with Hydrographic Survey H-9523, 1:10,000 scale, date of survey July 1975. There were no conflicts.

#### 65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:

A comparison was made with NOS chart 16576, 1:80,000 scale, dated November 16, 1985, 1st edition.

The chart compared well with this manuscript.

#### 66. ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS:

This map complies with the Project Instructions, and meets the requirements for National Standards of Map Accuracy.

Submitted by:

James L. Byrd, Jr

Final Reviewer

Approved for forwarding:

Belly H. Barnes

Chief, Quality Assurance Group, AMC

Approved:

Chief, Photogrammetric Productions Sec.

Chief, Photogrammetry Branch

FORM C&G5-8352 (3-29-63)

#### NAUTICAL CHART DIVISION

#### **RECORD OF APPLICATION TO CHARTS**

#### INSTRUCTIONS

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart.

1. Letter all information.

2. In "Remarks" column cross out words that do not apply.

3. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charte" in the Remi

CHART	DATE	CARTOGRAPHER	REMARKS
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
		<del></del>	Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
	<u> </u>		Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
-			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
		<del></del>	Drawing No.
-			
	`		