13105

	• FORM 504 U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY
	DESCRIPTIVE REPORT
Ty	upe of Survey Shoreline
Fie	eld No. Office No. T-1310 ^r
 	LOCALITY
1	aleFlorida
Ge	neral locality Florida Coast
Lo	cality Sebastian
	19 67=68
	CHIEF OF PARTY
	LIBRARY & ARCHIVES
Ð	NTE
	USCORE

: **-**

.

. .

12~61)			EPARTMENT OF COMM AST AND GEODETIC SU
D	ESCRIPTIVE REPORT - D	DATA RECORD	
	T -13	105	
ROJECT NO. (II) :			
PH-6710			
IELD OFFICE (II) :		CHIEF OF PARTY	
		OFFICER-IN-CHARGE	
HOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE (III):			
Washington Science C	enter	V. Ralph S	Sobieralski
ISTRUCTIONS DATED (II) (III):			
	-		
Office: April 6, 19	67; April 27, 19	67	
ETHOD OF COMPILATION (II)):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	
<u>Stereoscopic - B-8 s</u>	tereoplotter		
ANUSCRIPT SCALE (III):		OSCOPIC PLOTTING INSTRUM	ENT SCALE (111) :
1 00 000		<u>.</u>	
1:20,000		20,000 REPORTED TO NAUTICAL CHA	ART BRANCH (IV):
PPLIED TO CHART NO.	DATE	, DATI	E REGISTERED (IV):
OGRAPHIC DATUM (III):		VERTICAL DATUM (III)	
-		MEAN SEA LEVEL EXC Elevations shown as (25)	
N.A. 1927		Elevations shown as (5)	refer to sounding datum
		i.e., mean low water or m	een lower low water
		ļ	
EFERENCE STATION (III):			
EFERENCE STATION (III):	·		
FORT, 1934			
FORT, 1934	G.:	ADJUSTED	
FORT, 1934	IG.:	ADJUSTED UNADJUSTED	
FORT, 1934 AT.: LON	IG.:		ZONE
FORT, 1934	· 		ZONE

FORM C&GS-1816

DATE:

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

T-13105

FIELD INSPECTION BY (II):

None (See remarks below) MEAN HIGH WATER LOCATION (III) (STATE DATE AND METHOD OF LOCATION):

Office interpretation Nov. 1966-Feb. 1967 Field Edit - Jan. 1963

PROJECTION AND GRIDS RULED BY (IV):		DATE	
A. E. Roundtree			11-7-66
PROJECTION AND GRIDS CHECKED BY (IV):		DATE	
R. Glaser			11-10-66
CONTROL PLOTTED BY (III):		DATE	
H. Lucas			June 1967
CONTROL CHECKED BY (III):		DATE	and the second second
J. B. Phillips			June 1967
RADIAL PLOT OR STEREOSCOPIC CONTROL EXT	ENSION BY (III):	DATE	
R. B. Kelly		May-	Oct. 1967
STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT COMPILATION (III):	PLANIMETRY	DATE	7-14-67 10-67
J. B. Phillips R. A. Youngblood	CONTOURS	DATE	
J. B. Phillips - R. A.	Youngblood	DATE	7-17-67 10-67
SCRIBING BY (III):		DATE	
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW BY (III):		DATE	
J. P. Battley, Jr.			Sept. 1968
REMARKS:			-,
Field Edit by:			
W. H. Shearouse - Jan	n. 1968		
			All the state of the state of the

USCOMM-DC 363938-P66

FORM C&GS-181c

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

ESCRIPTIVE	REPORT	- DATA	RECORD
------------	--------	--------	--------

T-13105

CAMERA (KIND OR SOURCE) (III):

		OTOGRAPHS (III)		1	A State State State	
NUMBER	DATE	TIME	SCALE	ST	TAGE OF TH	DE
67-5-8246R-8249R	2-24-67	9:00	1:40,000	2.31	above 1	MIW
		TIDE (III)				
				RATIO OF RANGES	MEAN RANGE	SPRING RANGE
REFERENCE STATION:	liami Harbor 1	Entrance			2.5	3.0
UBORDINATE STATION:	t. Pierce In	let (breakw	ater)		2.6	3.0
SUBORDINATE STATION:						
WASHINGTON OFFICE REVIEW BY	· (IV): J. P.	BATTLEY		DATE: SEPT	. 1968	
PROOF EDIT BY (IV):			*	DATE:		
MBER OF TRIANGULATION STA	ATIONS SEARCHED FOR	र (II) :	RECOVERED:	IDENTIFIE	D:	
NUMBER OF BM(S) SEARCHED FO)R (II):		RECOVERED:	IDENTIFIE	D	
NUMBER OF RECOVERABLE PHO	TO STATIONS ESTABLIS	SHED (111):				
NUMBER OF TEMPORARY PHOTO	HYDRO STATIONS EST	ABLISHED (III):				
REMARKS:				(and the second second		Constraint -
)						

USCOMM-DC 36393C-P66

Summary to Accompany Descriptive Report T-13100 through T-13117, T-13141 and T-13218

PH-6710 December 1969

This project is comprised of thirteen shoreline manuscripts compiled at 1:20,000 scale, (T-13100 through T-13112), four manuscripts compiled at 1:10,000 scale, (T-13113 through T-13115)-and three-1:5,000 scale manuscripts, (T-13116 through T-13117). The area covered is the east coast of Florida from Cape Kennedy to just south of Jupiter Inlet. The maps were compiled as a base for hydrographic survey operations and to update marine charts of the area. Two manuscripts, (T-13218 and T-13141) were added to the project after hydro operations were begun and are discussed in this summary.

Field inspection was accomplished during Sept.-Oct. 1966 and was limited to the recovery and premarking of control.

The project area was flown in November 1966. Infrared and color photography was taken.

Stereoplanigraph bridging of the color photography was begun in April 1967 and continued through October 1967. To support (hydrographic survey operations, the bridging data was supplied the Washington compilation section as each of nine strips were bridged. Strips #2 through #8 were bridged by stereoplanigraph methods. Strip #1 was bridged analytically. All bridging photography was 1:40,000 scale. Some difficulty was experienced in bridging the project area - (see the Plot Report for details).

The manuscripts were compiled as bridging was received from April 1967 through February 1968. Ratio photographs were prepared in the usual manner for photo-hydro support use. The photographs prepared were both infrared and color. The field ratio prints, cronaflex copies of the manuscripts and discrepancy ozalids were sent to the field, as completed, to expedite hydro activities. Two new manuscripts were added to the project after hydro operations were begun to develop more of the Loxahatchee River which empties into Jupiter Inlet (T-13141, 1:10,000 scale), and T-13218, 1:5,000 scale to further develop the Ft. Pierce harbor area. This accounts for compilation activities extending to June 1968. In the area of the 1:10,000 scale manuscripts - 1967 1:30,000 scale color and infrared photography was available for compilation. In the area of the two 1:5,000 scale manuscripts (T-13116 and T-13117), 1:15,000 scale color photographs were available. T-13218 (1:5,000 scale) was compiled at 1:10,000 scale on the B-8 stereoplotter from 1:40,000 scale photography and then enlarged to 1:5,000 for a hydro support manuscript. This manuscript is thus considered somewhat substandard in accuracy. All compilation was achieved on the B-8 stereoplotter.

Field edit operations were begun in November 1967 and were completed in 1968. To resolve some landmark and aid problems, provide hydro support, and to further clarify differences in compiled features for Marine Charts, additional field work was accomplished in February 1969. Field edit operations required the location of most of the daybeacons throughout the project area and verification of compiled features.

The application of field edit corrections and/or additions was accomplished in the Washington compilation office as received from the field with some interruption for higher priority projects. Field edit application and final review was completed in November 1969. As field edit corrections were applied to each T-sheet and checked for completeness, a cronaflex copy was ordered for the Marine Chart Division. Hydro verification was being accomplished at the same time of final review and close liaison was maintained between sections.

A Registration Manuscript Copy will be registered in the Bureau Archives under their respective T-numbers.

Submitted by,

Jeter P. Battley h

J. P. Battley, Jr.

-2-

PROJECT DIAGRAM



4

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT Job PH-6710 Cape Kennedy to Jupiter Inlet, Florida

October 27, 1967

21. Area Covered

This report covers the bridging of the Florida east coast from Cape Kennedy to Jupiter Inlet, Included in this area are T-sheets T-13100 thru T-13112 at 1:20,000 scale, T-13113 thru T-13115 and T-13141 at 1:10,000 scale and T-13116, T-13117 and T-13218 at 1:5,000 scale.

22. Method

Eight strips were bridged by stereoplanigraph methods and one strip (Strip #1) by STK methods. All were adjusted by the IBM 1620 method. Strip #1 (66-L(C)-8716 thru 8731) was bridged holding six stations as control and three stations plus tie points as checks. Strip #1-C (66-L(C)-8708 thru 8716) was adjusted holding five control stations' with two stations as checks. Strip #2 (66-L-8822 thru 8832) was adjusted on four stations. Strip #3 (66-L(C)-8696 thru 8702) was adjusted on four stations with the points as checks. Strip #4 (66-L(C)-8738 thru 8748) was adjusted on four stations with the points as checks. Strip #5 (66-L(C)-8768 thru 8799) was adjusted on five stations with two stations and tie points as checks. Strip #6 (66-L(C)-8782 thru 8797) was adjusted on five control stations with the points as Strip #7 (66-L(C)-8773 thru 8779) was adjusted on checks. three stations. Strip #8 (66-L(C)-8804 thru 8821) was adjusted on three stations with tie points as checks.

All plates were drilled by the PUG method. Tie points between strips were averaged.

23. Adequacy of Control

Horizontal control complied with project instructions. Most of the control stations were premarked with additional substations selected on color photos taken with a hand-held camera. These photos were used before the strip photography was available. Many of the images selected on the hand-held photographs could not be determined on the strip photography. In some cases the premarked stations could not be seen clearly in the strip photography. Stations which could not be held within National Map Accuracy Standards and the probable reasons for the source of error are as follows:

STRIP #1

.

BET, 1967, SS "A" and SS "B" - Could not be clearly seen on the 1:40,000 scale photography.

POLE (TEMP), BASE PT. "C", 1967, Panel, SS "A" and SS "B" The positions of this station and its substations were determined by a short baseline method. With the small angle involved and the evidence of bridging residuals, this station was treated as a passpoint between Strips #1 and #8. <u>PIERCE 2, 1963</u> - Only the 1:40,000 scale target was considered as a good point in Strip #1. All other substations were dropped from the adjustment.

STRIP #2

RADAR, 1955, SS "A" was a very poor image point on this strip and was dropped from the adjustment.

STRIP #5

VALKARIA, 1960 (Target) and TURKEY CREEK, 1877 (Target) gave large residuals in the adjustment phase and were dropped. The substations for these stations were used in place of the targets and showed good residuals in the adjustment.

i - ret s

STRIP #6

TRIPOD 3, 1963, SS "A" - No reason could be determined for this substation not holding in the adjustment. It was dropped from the bridge.

STRIP #7

<u>ARTESIA, 1953, SS "A"</u> - No reason could be determined for the error in this station. Since two companion points held, the substation was dropped.

STRIP #8

POLE (TEMP), BASE PT. "C", 1967 - See note under Strip #1.

24. Supplemental Data

Local USGS quads were used for elevations during bridging operations.

25. Photography

Photography was adequate as to coverage, overlap, definition and quality.

Submitted by:

Approved by:



Compilation Report Project PH-6710 T-13105 July-Nov. 1967

31. Delineation

This manuscript was compiled at a scale of 1:20,000 on the B-8 stereoplotter using 1:40,000 color plates. Infrared photographs ratioed to manuscript scale were used for a graphic refinement of the MHWL.

Points were positioned along the shoreline to facilitate hydrographic signal location and cronapaque ratio prints of the photography were resected to the manuscript in the standard manner for photo hydro support.

This manuscript was also delineated according to Marine Chart specifications to provide a new base for Chart 845-SC.

32. Control

Identification, density and placement of control was adequate.

33. Supplemental Data

Small-craft Chart 845-SC at 1:40,000 scale dated August 1966 was used as an aid in locating Lts. and daybeacons in the area. Geological Survey Quad.; Sebastian, Florida, dated 1951 and at a scale of 1:24,000 was used for listing the geographic names.

34. Contours and Drainage

Inapplicable

35. Shoreline and Alongshore Details

Delineation of the shoreline and alongshore details was accomplished by office interpretation of the photographs.

36. Offshore Details

The jetties at Sebastian Inlet were only partially covered with the color photography - 2 rocks shown on the chart could not be verified on the photographs for this reason.

37. Landmarks and Aids

Landmarks (House North Gable and Tank) shown on the chart, have been deleted per field editor.

Thirty-three aids to navigation were shown on the manuscript.

38. Control for Future Surveys

No comment.

39. Junctions

Junction has been made and is \therefore agreement to the North with T-13104 and to the South with T-13106.

40. Horizontal and Vertical Accuracy

No comment.

41.-45. Inapplicable

46. Comparison with Existing Maps

Comparison has been made with Geological Survey Quad.; Sebastian, Florida, scale 1:24,000, dated 1951.

47. Comparison with Nautical Charts

Comparison has been made with Nautical Charts #1246, scale 1:80,000, revised to 7-15-67 and Chart 845-SC, scale 1:40,000, dated 8-20-66.

Submitted by Q. B. Phille

Approved by,

K. N. Maki Chief, Compilation Section

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES FINAL NAME SHEET

> PH-6710 (Cape Kennedy to Jupiter Inlet) T-13105

Atlantic Ocean V Big Slough Black Point Campbell Pocket Chobie Dock Coconut Point ~ Collins Hole V Cummings ~ Duck Point East Channel Green Point Indian River Intracoastal Waterway Long Point Long Point Creek Melba Island Michael Creek v Michael Island Mud Hole News Cut V

Approved by: a.f. Wanig

A. J. Wraight Chief Geographer

North Hole -Pauls Island Pelican Island Pine Island V Pine Island Bay Preachers Hole Preachers Island Roseland (beyond compiled area) Roseland Al Port Jurpart not shown) of. Sand Point Sebastian Sebastian Creek Sebastian Inlet / South Hole South Point -Spratt Creek Spratt Point Turtle Pen Slough Wabasso V Wabasso Island Wabasso Beach

Prepared by Frank Pickett

Cartographic Technician

FIELD EDIT REPORT

Map T-13105

In accordance with Instructions - FIELD EDIT - Job PH-6710; Chart Topography, Cape Kennedy to Jupiter Inlet, Fla. (C1413)

51. METHODS

5

()

()

Compiled mean high-water line along the ocean front was verified by visual inspection and an occasional measured distance from an identifiable object or point. Measurements are recorded on the photographs.

Shoreline along the Indian River was visually verified from a small boat. Requests for corrections, additions and deletions are recorded on the Field Edit Sheet with reference to photographs by number on which the information is shown.

Streets and roads were travelled to verify existence and classification.

Landmark buildings have been circled on the photographs.

Two landmarks are presently charted. Both are recommended for deletion. Form 567 is submitted.

Nonfloating aids to navigation were verified. A large number had to be located. Those that could be identified on the photo. transparencies were marked and reference made to that photograph or transparency on the Field Edit Sheet. At a considerable number, sextant fixes were made. These were plotted on the Cronaflex point of the map manuscript. The coonaflex is submitted as part of field edit data. Form 567 is submitted for only those aids not located during compilation, the positions of which will have to be scaled after final positioning on the map manuscript.

A large number of piles and channel markers were located by sextant fix. All of these were plotted on the Cronaflex. Several fixes had to be laid out graphically on sheets of paper due to the nearness of one or more of the objects. These graphic fixes are submitted. Angles for all sextant fixes are recorded on one sketchbook. Additions, deletions and corrections have been noted on the FIELD EDIT SHEET-DISCREPANCY PRINT with cross-referencing on the photographs.

Violet ink was used for all field edit notes.

In addition to the Field Edit Sheet and Cronafler, field edit information will be found on the following photographs: 66L(c) 8758, 8759, 8760, 8761; infrared 67S8246R, 8247R, 8248R, 8249A; transparencies 66L(c)8757, 8758, 8759, 8760, and 8761.

52. ADEQUACY OF COMPILATION

After application of field edit corrections, additions and deletions, compilation will be adequate.

53. MAP ACCURACY

No tests were specified.

54. RECOMMENDATIONS

None offered.

55. EXAMINATION OF PROOF COPY

Not required.

Submitted 1/5/68

William H. Shearsuse, William H. Shearouse, Chief, Photo Party 60 Review Report T-13105 Shoreline Mapping March 1970

61. General Statement

(See Summary)

62. Comparison with Registered Topographic Surveys

Comparison was made with T-8888, scale 1:20,000, compiled from aerial photographs of December 1947. This survey is superseded for nautical charting by the new survey. Shoreline changes at Sebastian Inlet and along Indian River also make T-8888 obsolete for shoreline mapping.

63. Comparison with Maps of Other Agencies

See paragraph 46 of Compilation Report.

64. Comparison with Contemporary Hydrographic Surveys

There is no contemporary hydrographic survey. Comparison was made with H-5028, scale 1:20,000 dated 1930.

65. Comparison with Nautical Charts

Comparison was made with Chart 1246, scale 1:80,000, 5th Edition, dated October 7, 1968, and 845-SC, scale 1:40,000, 8th Edition, dated August 30, 1969. All differences noted on the discrepancy print between the published charts and the new survey were resolved in field edit. The discrepancy print was prepared in 1967 and was compared with the latest editions of the above charts at that time. Channel markers at approximate latitudes 27°48'40", 27°49'30", 27°52'30" and miscellaneous piling located in field edit may be of interest in small craft charting.

66. Adequacy of Results and Future Surveys

T-13105 complies with the project instructions and is within the National Standards of Accuracy.

Reviewed by, Jeter P. Battley h

Approved by,

Division

antro 1 ren

Chief, Marine Chart Division 138 photogram metric Branch

U.S. DEPARTMENT ON DAMERCE ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMORRED COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY	10 SCALE OF MAP SCALE FACTOR	COF DATUM LATITUDE OR Y COORDINATE DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE TION DATUM LONGITUDE OR X COORDINATE DISTANCE FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE X) FORWARD FORWARD		1.282.957.02		1,266,445.49	19 671,209.53	1.262,855.19	160 679,454.30	1,260.411.39		1,248,386,16		1,252,807.78	160 679.951.05						
DESCRIPTIV	0119	SOURCE OF INFORMATION D. (INDEX)	page 19		page 3		page 19		page 160		page 3		page 19		page 160		<u> </u>				
FORM C&GS-164 (4-69) USCOMM-DC 50318-P68	MAP T- 13105 PROJECT NO.	STATION	WHITE 3, RM 1906		BASS, 1934	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SEBASTIAN 2, 1907		FORT, 1934		HOLT. 1934		LAST 2, 1934		DIICK PT. 2, 1930						

USCOMM-DC 36485-268

hould be

÷.,

and nonfloating and nonfloating and not by individual field survey sheets. Information under each column heading should be given. **#** TABULATE SECONDS AND METERS b form. Revisions shall show both the old and new positions. The d

T I I	I recommend that the following objects which have mathematical from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be	h have fax	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ected from seav	ward to det	ermine the	ir value as h	· · ·	19_00
charted The	rted on (<i>XAVAVEX/Y330</i>), the charts indicated. The positions given have been checked after listing by	listing by	R. A. Young	Youngblood			٤		
	• •					V. Ralph	ph Sobieralski	rals	ik1
				POSITION				ART	HART
01218	FLOFICA			LONGITUDE	*	LOCATION	OF	RE CH	CHARTS
CHARTING	DESCRIPTION	BIGNAL	o I D.M.METERS	0	D.P.METERS	BURVEY	LOCATION	HARBO INSHOI OFFSH	
	Intracoastal Waterway Eau Gallie-St. Lucie Inlet					T-13105			
Lt 57	South S)	27 52 366.3	<u>51</u> 62.08	07.2 NA 196.9 1927		11/22/67	х	845-SC
Dybn 58	4		27 52 338.6	80-29 <u>26</u>	09.8 268.4 "		11	×	3
Dybn 59	14		$\frac{37.2}{27511145.1}$	80 28 1 <u>51</u>	<u>17.0</u>	= 	=	x	Ħ
Lt 60			27 51 <u>1108.0</u>	80 28 157	07 74	=	=	×	=
Dybn 61	-		27 51 350.9	80 28 110	105.4	=	=	×	2
Dybn 62			27 50 <u>1345.5</u>	80 28 73	35.5 	=	11/21/67	x	11
Lt 63			27 501400.5	2 27. 82 08	-00 -20 =	=	=	×	=
Dybn 64			27 50 -620.0	Et 8208	= 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 1 7	=	=	1 ×	=
Dybn 65		-	27 49 56.3	80 28 7	73.0	=	=	x	=
Dybn 65A	=		27 49 283.2	80 27 113	341 2017 =	=	=	×	=
Dybn 66	-		le e	7	<u>54.0</u> 77.9 "	=	t	x	11
Lt 67			27 48 1391.3	80 27 82	30.1 825.0 "	=	11	×	11
Durha 68	2		27 48 369.7	- <u>`</u>		=		×	11

FORM C&GS-567 (5-68)

A Contraction of the local distribution of t

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

÷

NONFLOATING AIDS/OR/HANDMARKS FOR CHARTS

TO BE CHARTED Y STRIKE OUT TWO

Rockwille ЧЧ

Nov

USCOMM-DC 36485-P66

ŗ Y

* TABULATE SECONDS AND METERS const landm inis form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, Publication 20.2, Sec. 1-55, 2-59, 6-36, 7-18 to 22 inclusive, and Fig. 79. Positions of charted 's and nonfloating alds to navigation, if redetermined, shall be reported or d for the charts of the area and not by individual field survey sheets. In s form. Revisions shall show both the old and new positions. hation under each column heading should be given. The d_i should be

STATE	j				POSITION			METHOD	-	ART	
			1	LATITUSE #	LONG	LONGITUDE #		LOCATION	OF	R CH.	CHARTS
CHARTING	DESCRIPTION	BIGNAL	•	D. M. METERS	•	D. P. METERS	DATUM	BURVAY	LOCATION	INSKO	
	Intracoastal Waterway Eau Gallie-St. Lucie Inlet							T-13105			
Dybn 69	South S)	84 23	572.5	80 27	12.7 347.6	NA 1927	Photo Plot	11/21/67	×	845-80
Dybn 70	П		74 79	46.8	80 26	1486.6	=	=	=	×	=
Lt 71			27 47	.29.0	80 26	40.5 1110.8	=	=	=	×	=
Dybn 72	-		27 47	28.6 880.3	80 26		11	11	=	x	=.
Dybn 73	=		74 79	06.0 184.7	80 26	24.4 668.0	=	=	=	x	=
Dybn 74	=		27 46	39.7	80 26	224.5	=	=	1	x	Ξ
Dybn 75	=	,	27 46	483.3	80 25	49.2 1347.2	=		=	×	3
Lt 76	=		27 46	10.3	80 25	48.0 1314.3	11	11		×	=
Dybn 77	=		27 .46	286.3 3	80 25	41.3 1130.9	=	=		X	8
Lt 79	=		27 45	<u>1650.0</u>	80 25	K s H	=	=	3	x	=
Dybn 80	=		45	48.4 1489.8	80 25	10.0 273.9	=	=	=	×	=
	=		7 L 7 C	2.62	\sim			=	=		:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

NONFLOATING AIDS OR MANDMARKS FOR CHARTS

TO BE CHARTED

XID/BE/REVISED X

NOREXPECTATION

STRIKE OUT TWO

Md.

89 **61**

Rockville,

I recommend that the following objects which have thank nearly been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be

Nov.

FORM C&G5-567

USCOMM-DC 36485-P66

÷.,

Con si * TABULATE SECONDS AND METERS landma 's and nonttoating aids to navigation, if redetermined, shall be reported on d for the charts of the area and not by individual field survey sheets. In cordance with Hydrographic Manual, Publication 20.2, Sec. 1-35, 2-39, 6-36, 7-18 to 22 inclusive, and Fig. 79. Positions of charted nation under each column heading should be given. s form. Revisions shall show both the old and new positions. The d hould be

STATE	F) Orlda				POSITION			LETHOD		ART	
					LONG	LONGITUDE *		LOCATION	OF T	RE CH	CHARTS
CHARTING	DESCRIPTION	BIGNAL	•	D.M. MÉTERS	•	D. P. METERS	DATUM	BUNVEY	LOCATION	NARBO INSHO 0778H	AFFECTED
	Intracoastal Waterway Eau Gallie-St. Lucie Inlet							П-131 ОF			
Dybn 84	South S		24 72	28.1 864.9	42 08	692.9	NA 1927	Photo Plot	11/21/67	×	845-80
Lt 85	=		45.	29.2 898.8	80 24	567.0	=	=	7	×	=
Dybn 86	=		27 45	26.0 800.3	80 24	19.4 531.3	Ξ	=	=	×	
Dybn 87	=		27 45	4	80 24	$\frac{14.6}{399.9}$	=	Ξ	=	×	=
Dybn 88	=		27 45	년이	80 24	<u>13.9</u> 380.7	11	=	=	x	=
Lt 89			27 45	18.3	80 24	09.3 254.7	=	=	н.	×	=
Dybn 90	=		27 45	NH	80 24	323.2	=	=	=	x	н
Dybn 91			27 45	σα	80 24	<u>09.3</u> 254.7	11	=	=	×	u -
				,					1		

.

NONFLOATING AIDS DRAXANDMARKS FOR CHARTS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

TO BE CHARTED XDOXBECREVISEDX STRIKE OUT TWO

Rockville, Md.

÷

I recommend that the following objects which have that with been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be

FORM C&C5-567

(5-66) (5-66)			ğ			STATE		, cr	-	TANK	HOUSE	- - -	, .				1					
	XFOOT	TO B	I I	The		Ĩ		CHARTING	*	NK	ISE						. :					
-	XPGCULEXXII, ANHER ~	Ч,	I recommend that the	The positions given have been checked after listing by		FLORIDA				(obscured	NORTH GABLE		1	- -								
,		STRIKE OUT TWO	t the follow	en have be		·		DESCRIPTION		by trees)			- - -									
· · ·	HEATOWARD	WO	following objects which have the charts indicated.	en checked a		•		2			Razed		×		•				- -			
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	THE AND A STATEMENT OF A CHARTS		ch have	fter listing by				BIGNAL				-									-	
DEPARTME	CHOSE DER		(have been inspected from seaward to determine				-	•	-	27 48.5	27 45.1											
E SERVICES	LANDA		been inspe	Dennis E. D		-		II D.M.METERS														
ADMINISTRA RVEY	IARKS J	Vero Beach, Fla.	cted from	Dearborn		POSITION	Long	•	.	80 27.9	80 23.4											
Ó	FOR CH	b, Fla.	seaward				LONGITUDE #	II D. P. METERS												•		
	ARTS		to dete		TM 200			DATUM		N. A. 1927	25		.*		•							
NAU	- " X				LIIam H.	METHOD	LOCATION	SURVEY		r-13105	Ħ	*										
NAUTICAL CHARTS		Jan.	their value as	1 1	She		OF	LOCATION		1/2/68	3	••• ••• •••							-			
RTS		4			Barouse Chief		2E CH	NAREC INSHO		×	1							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	•••	19 68	landmarks be		lef of Party		CHARTS			845-30	1246	,				-						

VI
വ
0
ž
-
₹
- 7
О
0
ω
o.
÷.
00
ዋ
Ð
-
•
a

iandres's and nonfloating alda to navigation, if redetermined, shall be reported or consided for the charts of the area and not by individual field survey sheets. In * TABULATE SECONDS AND METERS , , • ¢ . . mation under each column heading should be given. is form. Revisions shall show both the old and new positions. The q c should be

	Q	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	DEPARTME Al scienci Ast and ge	SERVICES	ADMINISTR. RVEY			NAUTIC	NAUTICAL CHARTS	IS	* * 12 * **
AA HAABHENY \	NONFLOATING AIDS ON CHARTS	ring a	DSXD20	TENORO N	TARKS	FOR CH	ARTS		•	.	, . ,
TO BE DELETED	Ŏ	·			Vero Be	Beach, Fla.	18.		Jen.		1968
I recommend that the follow	following objects which have <i>thancean</i>) been inspected from seaward to determine the charts indicated.	have the	1000000 (oen inspe	cted from	ı seaward	to deter	mine the	their value as	s landmarks be	ks be
The positions given have been checked after listing by	en checked after	listing by	Dennis E.		Dearborn		we	Williams 1	H. Inla	harauce	
							TEM	William H.	 Shearouse 	Chief	of Party.
FLORIDA		-			POSITION			METHOD		IAAT	
			F.	LATITUDE #	LONG	LONGITUDE *		LOCATION	DATE OF	NE CH	CHARTS
	•	NAME	•	D.M. METER\$	•	D. P. METERS	DATUM	SURVEY No.	LOCATION	HARBO INSHO OFFEN	AFFECTED
EAU GALLIE - ST. LUCIE	AY CIE INLET			-							
78 INDIAN RIVER (SOUTH	0 1		27 45.9				N. A.	T-1 3105	11/21/67	X X	8/5-50
(Does not exist)					80 25.2		1927			۰.	
					80 25.2		1927				· · ·
					80 25.2		1927	Ъ. 			
	•				80 25.2		1927				
					80 25.2		1927	н. •			
					80 25.2		1927				
					80 25.2		1927				
					80 25.2		1927				
					80 25.2		1927				
					80 25.2						
					80 25.2						
					80 25.2		1927				

'. .

FORM C&GS-567

FORM C&G5-8352 (3-28-63)

NAUTICAL CHART DIVISION

RECORD OF APPLICATION TO CHARTS



FILE WITH DESCRIPTIVE REPORT OF SURVEY NO.

INSTRUCTIONS

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart. Letter all information.
 In "Remarks" column cross out words that do not apply.
 Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.

÷

CHART	DATE	CARTOGRAPHER	REMARKS
1246	1/27/72	21m Schonty	Full Guidentine After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No. 23 - Fromined - Considered fully April.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
	·		Drawing No.
	<u> </u>		Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Drawing No.
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
• • •			
<u>.</u>			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
	·		1220 mang 2107
			Full Dars Defens Afres Verification Daris Transition Circuit Afres
	<u> </u>		Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
<u> </u>			
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
		•.	Drawing No.
	<u> </u>		Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			······································
	i		
	I		
		<u> </u>	
	j		;
i			
]	<u>`</u>		