11938

Diag. Cht. No. 4116.

Form 504

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey SHORELINE (PHOTOGRAMMETRIC)

Field No. Ph-6012 Office No. T-11938

LOCALITY

State Hawaii

General locality Maui Island

Locality Kepio Point - Maulili Bay

1962 1960 -1463

CHIEF OF PARTY

H. J. Seaborg, Honolulu District Office W. E. Randall, Baltimore District Office

LIBRARY & ARCHIVES

DATE 1967

USCOMM-DC 5097

10/5/60 - 79 58

,	
FORM C&G5-181a (12-61)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY
DESCRIPTIVE RE	PORT - DATA RECORD T-11938
PH-6012 (21034)	
FIELD OFFICE (II):	CHIEF OF PARTY
Honolulu, Hawaii	H. J. Seaborg
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE (III):	OFFICER-IN-CHARGE
Baltimore, Maryland	W. E. Randall
14 November 1960 28 November 1960 13 June 1961 16 January 1962	
METHOD OF COMPILATION (III):	
Kelsh Plotter	
MANUSCRIPT SCALE (III):	STEREOSCOPIC PLOTTING INSTRUMENT SCALE (III):
1:10,000	1:5,000
DATE RECEIVED IN WASHINGTON OFFICE (IV):	DATE REPORTED TO NAUTICAL CHART BRANCH (IV):

ETHOD OF COMPILATION (III): Kelsh Plotter				
ANUSCRIPT SCALE (III):		STEREOS	COPIC PLOTTING INSTRUM	ENT SCALE (III):	
1:10,000 DATE RECEIVED IN WASHINGTON OFFICE (IV):		1:5,000 DATE REPORTED TO NAUTICAL CHART BRANCH (IV):			
GEOGRAPHIC DATUM (III):			VERTICAL DATUM (III)	: MHW	
Old Hawaiian			Elevations shown as (25) refer to mean high wa		
Old Hawaiian			, ,	-	
Old Hawaiian			, ,	refer to sounding datum	
			Elevations shown as (5)	refer to sounding datum	
REFERENCE STATION (III):	. 2, 1950		Elevations shown as (5)	refer to sounding datum	
REFERENCE STATION (III):	. 2, 1950 Long.:		Elevations shown as (5) i.e., mean low water or m	refer to sounding datum	
reference station (iii): MOKUIA		⁷ O#	Elevations shown as (5)	refer to sounding datum	
REFERENCE STATION (III): MOKUIA _AT;:	LONG.:	⁷ O#	Elevations shown as (5) i.e., mean low water or m	refer to sounding datum	

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

FIELD INSPECTION BY (II):	DATE:
J. C. Lajoye	Jan. 1962
MEAN HIGH WATER LOCATION (III) (STATE DATE AND METHOD OF LOCATION):	
By Kelsh Plotter from 1962 photography and annotations on Field H	Photographs.
PROJECTION AND GRIDS RULED BY (IV):	DATE
R.A.C.	12/1/60
PROJECTION AND GRIDS CHECKED BY (IV):	DATE
J.D.C.	12/1/60
CONTROL PLOTTED BY (III):	12/1/60 DATE
L. A. Senasack	
L. A. Senasack	2/28/62
CONTROL CHECKED BY (III):	DATE
L. O. Neterer	0/00//0
D. C. MODELEI	2/28/62
RADIAL PLOT OR STEREOSCOPIC CONTROL EXTENSION BY (III):	DATE
R. E. Fuechsel	2/62
STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT COMPILATION (III): PLANIMETRY	DATE
E. L. Rolle	11/62 BATE
E. L. Rolle	-
Inapplicable	
MANUSCRIPT DELINEATED BY (III):	DATE
C. A. Lipscomb	1.160
SCRIBING BY (III):	DATE
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW BY (III):	DATE
D. M. Brant	4/62
REMARKS:	

FIELD EDIT - REFER TO PAGE 14 OF THIS REPORT AND
THE FINAL REVIEW REPORT, PAGE 15, HEADING 64


COMPILATION RECORD

COMPLETION DATE

REMARKS

Alongshore area for hydro	April, 1962	Superseded
Smooth drafting Compilation completed	Jan. 1964	

. "



SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY DESCRIPTIVE REPORT T-11938

Shoreline manuscript T-11938, compiled at 1:10,000 scale, covers a part of the southeast coast of Maui Island, Hawaii. This is one of forty-nine similar maps in the project compiled primarily to provide shoreline for nautical charts and to provide photo-hydro support data for hydrographic surveys in the same area.

Field work preceding compilation included recovery and identification of horizontal control, field inspection and the selection of photo-hydro signal sites.

The manuscript was compiled by Kelsh methods using control established by aerotriangulation and the panchromatic photography taken on the 5th and 8th of October 1960 at 1:25,000 scale and on the 25th of September 1961 at 1:15,000 scale.

A cronaflex copy of the map manuscript showing shoreline, alongshore detail and pass points was provided for preparation of the boat sheet. 1:5,000 scale ratio prints were provided for the location of hydrographic signals by photogrammetric methods.

The manuscript is a vinylite sheet from which the smooth sheet was drafted and reproduced on cronaflex. One cronar positive and one cronar negative are provided for record and registry.

FIELD INSPECTION REPORT Map Manuscript T-11938

Please refer to the Field Inspection Report for Maui Island, Hawaii bound with the Descriptive Report for T-11894.

FORM **164** (4-23-54)

MAP T. 11938

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

NTROL RECORD

COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

SCALE OF MAP 1:10,000

PROJECT NO. PH 6012

COMM- DC- 57843 FROM GRID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS SCALE FACTOR 1.000 (BACK) FORWARD DISTANCE FROM GAID OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS (BACK) N.A. 1927-DATUM FORWARD DATUM OR PROJECTION LINE IN METERS DISTANCE FROM GRID IN FEET. (BACK) FORWARD LONGITUDE OR x-COORDINATE LATITUDE OR V-COORDINATE Hawaiiah691, 31,8.72 113,587.48 687,967.80 123,068.02 127,167,87 705,512.49 DATUM 01d = = SOURCE OF INFORMATION (INDEX) Pg. 16 P.C. P.C. 16 = COMPUTED BY. D. M.Brant MOKUIA 2, 1950 NINIAO, 1950 STATION AHUULA, 1950

26 June 1962

DATE...

ပံ <u>-</u>

Я.

CHECKED BY:

DATE 26 June 1962

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT ISLAND OF MAUI (EAST HALF) HAWAII

Project PH-6212 February 1962

21. AREA COVERED

T-11905 through T-11911; T-11929 through T-11939; T-11989 through T-11992

22. METHOD

Six stereoplanigraph bridges, designated as strips #8 through #13, were run in order to provide pass points for use in Kelsh compilation of the project. Additional points along the shoreline were provided, for possible use in conjunction with hydrographic surveys in the area.

This project covers the entire eastern half of the island of Maui, and adjoins a similar project of March 1961, which covers the western half of the island. On that earlier phase, the numbers assigned to the stereoplanigraph bridges were #1 through #7.

Strip #13 was adjusted on a linear basis. All other strips in this project (eastern half of the island) were adjusted by a least-squares solution on the IBM 650 computer. Numerous ties between strips were used as checks on adjustments. A pass point from strip #9 was used in the adjustment of strip #11. Where strip #11 duplicates the coverage of strip #9, the former should be used in compilation.

Satisfactory ties with the project of March 1961, were made on both the north and the south coasts. Also, satisfactory adjustments were obtained for all strips in this part of the Maui Island project.

23. ADEQUACY OF CONTROL

The horizontal control provided complied with project instructions, and was adequate. The following control failed to hold in bridging: Δ PUHILELE, 1950-SUB. A; Δ MAKAALAE, 1950-SUB. B; Δ PUUHINAI 2, 1950-SUB PTS. 1, 2, and 3. They are to be disregarded in compilation. The problem with

PUHILELE-SUB A is entirely one of interpretation. In strip #8, two different possible positions for the point were read, one of which held in bridging, and the other failed to hold. In strip #9, the single position read for the point failed to hold. The number and geographical location of other control in this area is such that this station may be disregarded.

No specific cause has been found for the failure of MAKAALAE-SUB B to hold. Its companion sub. pt. held, and therefore, SUB B may be disregarded.

The sub. pts. of PUUHINAI 2 had a questionable starting azimuth due to the lack of agreement among several solar azimuth observations. The sub. points did not hold in the adjustment.

24. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

None

25. PHOTOGRAPHY

The photography used in bridging was adequate in all respects.

Submitted by

Robert E. Fuechsel

Approved

Everett H. Ramey, Chief Aerotriangulation Section

COMPILATION REPORT Map Manuscript T-11938

ITEMS 31 THRU 36

Please refer to the Compilation Report bound with the Descriptive Report for Manuscript T-11934.

37. LANDMARKS AND AIDS

There are no landmarks or nonfloating aids to navigation within the limits of this map.

38. CONTROL FOR FUTURE SURVEYS

There was no supplemental control located for use in future surveys.

JUNCTIONS 39.

Satisfactory junctions were made with T-11937 on the west and T-11939 on the east. There is no contemporary survey on: the morth; Alenuihaha Channel is on the south.

40. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY

Refer to the Photogrammetric Plot Report bound with the report for T-11894.

46. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS

Comparison was made with U.S.G.S. Kaupo and Kipahulu. Hawaii quadrangles, scale 1:24,000, edition of 1957.

17. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS

Nautical Chart 4116, scale 1:250,000, 11th edition, April 16, 1941, Revised January 9, 1961.

There are no items to be applied to charts immediately nor any items to be carried forward.

Respectfully submitted:

For: Donald M. Brant Carto. (Photo.)

Approved:

Capt. C&GS

Norfolk Regional Officer

48. Geographic Names List:

The Geographic names listed below were furnished by the Washington Office on U.S.G.S. Kaupo, Hawaii 7½ minute quadrangle, scale 1:24,000, edition of 1957, and Kipahulu, Hawaii 7½ minute quadrangle, 1:24,000 scale, edition of 1957.

Alelele Stream Alenuihaha Channel Elialii Gulch Halekii Point Kaapahu Bay Kakanoni Point Kalepa Gulch Kalepa Point Kamilo Point Kepio Point Koukouai Gulch Kukuiula Gulch Lelekea Stream Maalo Gulch Maulili Bay Mikimiki Gulch Mokuia Point Mokulau Mokulau Landing Nuanualoa Gulch Pacific Ocean Piilani Papa Opelu Gulch Punahoa Spring Waihi Spring

GEOGRAPHIC TIPIUS SECTION UNLESS OTHERWISE MARKED.

a.j. Wraight

Ph-6012 Maui Island, Hawaii (-11938 & T-11939)

49. NOTES FOR THE HYDROGRAPHER

A set of ratio photographs: has been prepared for use during photo-hydro support. The photography was taken during 1960 and 1962.

Color photography was used as an aid in interpreting offshore details and foul lines. \cdot

The positions of the following hydrographic signal sites, identified on field photographs, have been established photogrammetrically for the following surveys:

	T-11 938	
Signal No.	Description	Photo No.
3801	Flag at corner of wall	2299
3802	East gable of old church	2299
3803	High point of small point nem.	2385
3804	West point of "U" shaped point nome	2384
3805	End of point n.m.	2384
3806	Flag on telephone pole	2384
3807	Offshore, north corner of large rock	2384
3808	Offshore gable, shack	2384
	T-11939	
3901	Point of rock n.m.	2382
3902	Center of offshore tree n.m.	2382
3903	High point at inshore end of rock n.m.	2380

FORM 182	50	Pi	ното	GRAMMETRIC OFF T- 11928			. DEPARTMENT COAST AND GEO	
1. PROJECTION GRIDS	_	2 TITLE	νIB				MANUSCRIPT NUMBERS DMB	4. MANUSCRIPT SIZE DMB
CONTROL		IGHER ACCURACY	STATIO	NS OF THIRD-ORDER	6. RECOVERABLE THIRD-ORDER NONE		TAL STATIONS ((TOPOGRAPHE	
STATIONS	7. PHOT	O HYDRO STATIONS	8. BEI	NCH MARKS	P. PLOTTING OF	THATKS	10. PHOTOGR	AMMETRIC PORT
	DME	3] 1	NONE	NONE		DMB	
] : 	11. DETA	IL POINTS					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ļ.	DME	· ·						
ALONGSHORE	12. SHOR	ELINE	13. LO	W-WATER LINE	14. ROCKS, SHOAL	.s, etc.	15. BRIDGES	<u> </u>
AREAS	DME	} 		NONE	DMB		NONE	
(Noutleal Chart	16. AIDS	TO NAVIGATION	·	17. LANDMARKS		18. OTHE	R ALONGSHORE URES	PHYSICAL
Data)	NON	E		NONE			DMB	
	19. OTHE	R ALONGSHORE CU	LTURA	L FEATURES				
	DME	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						•
1. 	20. WATE	R FEATURES			21. NATURAL GRO	UND COVE	R	
PHYSICAL	DME	·		·	DMB			
FEATURES	22 PLAN	IETABLE CONTOUR	8		23. STEREOSCOPI	C INSTRUM	ENT CONTOUR	\$
	NON			,	NONE			
		OURS IN GENERAL			25. SPOT ELEVAT	IONS		
ı	NON				NONE			·
		R PHYSICAL FEATI	JRES	•	,			
	DMB			28. BUILDINGS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	29. RAIL	20426	
CULTURAL								•*
FEATURES	DMB	R CULTURAL FEAT	riio de	DMB		L	NONE	
	DMB		. OKES	•				
i į		DARY LINES			32. PUBLIC LAND	LINES	•	
SOUNDARIES	NON				NONE			
F		RAPHIC NAMES	•		HONE	34. JUNC	TIONS	
MISCEL-	DMB		·	•	«	DMB		
LANEOUS			SCRIPT	36. DISCREPANCY OF			RIPTIVE REPO	1T
a,	DMB	S		DM	TB	DMB		
it.		INSPECTION PHO	TOGRAF		39. FORMS			-
<u></u>			073 GD	h Staile	DMB			ON OR UNIT
		ald M. Brant/	//	702010009	SIPT-Additions in		berg	the field com-
pletion surv	cy bave t	seen applied to the	manus	ions to the manuscript. The manuscrip	is now complete	xcept as	oted in remarks	on teverse side.
SIGNATURE OF	COMPILE				SIGNATURE OF SU	PERVISOR		

FIELD EDIT REPORT T-11938

No formal field edit report was received for this manuscript. Records received indicate that it was field edited in July 1963. The record referred to is a part of an informal report, dated 12 July 1965 (included with the Descriptive Report for T-11936). The subject record indicates that there were no corrections to apply.

Refer to the Final Review Report (page 15 - heading 64) concerning Changes mode during Final review.

56B

REVIEW REPORT T-11938 SHORELINE April 5, 1966

61. GENERAL STATEMENT

See summary accompanying Descriptive Report.

62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS

Comparison was made with Registered Planetable Survey No. 3275, 1:20,000 scale, made in 1912 and approved June 4th 1914. This survey and manuscript T-11938 are in fairly good agreement although the leter survey shows the shoreline and alongshore features in greater detail.

Map manuscript T-11938 supersedes the prior survey and should be used for nautical chart construction.

63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES

Comparison was made with U.S.G.S. quadrangles Kaupo and Kipahula, Hawaii, editions of 1957 at 1:24,000 scale. The quadrangles do not show all of the offshore rocks and alongshore detail. The general shape of the MHWL on the quadrangles and the manuscript are in good general agreement.

64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS

Comparison was made with copies of boat sheets H-8825 (PF-10-6-63) and H-8826 (PF-10-7-63). The shoreline on the boat sheets was obtained from a copy of the map manuscript before field edit. The hydrographer investigated the rocks shown on the manuscript and found many of them to be non-existent or submerged. The data was recorded on the boat sheet. Color photography of the area was examined during Final Review and

1	where it was alcoaly avident that the hydrographere date was
i I	
<u></u>	
· •	

65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS

Comparison was made with nautical chart 4116, 1:250,000 scale, 12th edition August 17, 1964. This is the only chart that covers the area of this manuscript and because of the differences in scales only a visual comparison was possible.

66. ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS

This survey complies with instructions and meets the National Standards of Map Accuracy.

No items requiring investigation by future surveys in the area were noted during final review.

Reviewed by:

Leo F. Beugnet

Approved by:

to di Hollis

J. Bull

Director, Atlantic Marine Center

Approved by:

Chief, Cartographic Branch

Photo grammetrie

Chief, Photogrammetry Division

Chief, Chart Division

Chief, Operations Division

FORM CAGS-0004 (3-25-63)

()•

NAUTICAL CHART DIVISION

RECORD OF APPLICATION TO CHARTS

FILE WITH DESCRIPTIVE REPORT OF SURVEY NO.

INSTRUCTIONS

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart.

1. Letter all information.

2. In "Remarks" column cross out words that do not apply.

3. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.

CHART	DATE	CARTOGRAPHER	REMARKS
,			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
		***************************************	Drawing No.
`			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
		······································	Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
**************************************			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
		i	Drawing No.
		· .	
-			