

11869

Diag. Cht. No. 5101-2.

Form 504

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey SHORELINE (PHOTOGRAMMETRIC)

Field No. PH 6011 Office No. T-11869

LOCALITY

State CALIFORNIA

General locality DANA POINT TO MISSION BAY

Locality LAS FLORES

1960-1962

CHIEF OF PARTY

FRED NATELLA, PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE

LIBRARY & ARCHIVES

DATE SEP 22 1965

USCOMM-DC 5087

11869
69811

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

T - 11869

PROJECT NO. (II):			
21033			
FIELD OFFICE (II):		CHIEF OF PARTY	
OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA		FRED NATELLA R. B. MELBY	
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE (III):		OFFICER-IN-CHARGE	
PORTLAND, OREGON		FRED NATELLA	
INSTRUCTIONS DATED (II) (III):			
20 Dec. 1960			
AMENDMENT I: 31 Aug. 1961 II, III			
AMENDMENT I: 25 Apr. 1963 III			
AMENDMENT II: 1 Oct. 1963 III			
METHOD OF COMPILATION (III):			
KELSH INSTRUMENT			
MANUSCRIPT SCALE (III):		STEREOSCOPIC PLOTTING INSTRUMENT SCALE (III):	
1:10,000		1:6000	
		PANTOGRAPH SCALE: 1:10,000	
DATE RECEIVED IN WASHINGTON OFFICE (IV):		DATE REPORTED TO NAUTICAL CHART BRANCH (IV):	
APPLIED TO CHART NO.		DATE:	DATE REGISTERED (IV):
GEOGRAPHIC DATUM (III):		VERTICAL DATUM (III):	
N.A. 1927		MEAN SEA LEVEL EXCEPT AS FOLLOWS: X Elevations shown as (25) refer to mean high water Elevations shown as (5) refer to sounding datum i.e., mean low water or mean lower low water	
REFERENCE STATION (III):			
PULGAS, 1933			
LAT.:	LONG.:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ADJUSTED <input type="checkbox"/> UNADJUSTED	
33° 17' 06.375"	117° 27' 32.950"		
PLANE COORDINATES (IV):		STATE	ZONE
= 409,076.19	x = 1,630,482.29	CALIFORNIA	VI
ROMAN NUMERALS INDICATE WHETHER THE ITEM IS TO BE ENTERED BY (II) FIELD PARTY, (III) PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE, OR (IV) WASHINGTON OFFICE. WHEN ENTERING NAMES OF PERSONNEL ON THIS RECORD GIVE THE SURNAME AND INITIALS, NOT INITIALS ONLY.			

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

FIELD INSPECTION BY (II): R. B. MELBY		DATE: SEPT. 1961 - MARCH 1962
MEAN HIGH WATER LOCATION (III) (STATE DATE AND METHOD OF LOCATION): NOVEMBER, 1961 BY FIELD INSPECTION. COMPILATION BY KELSH INSTRUMENT.		
PROJECTION AND GRIDS RULED BY (IV): A.R.		DATE 4-12-63
PROJECTION AND GRIDS CHECKED BY (IV): L.F.B.		DATE 4-15-63
CONTROL PLOTTED BY (III): D. N. WILLIAMS		DATE 4-19-63
CONTROL CHECKED BY (III): R. H. MEYER		DATE 4-19-63
RADIAL PLOT OR STEREOSCOPIC CONTROL EXTENSION BY (III): HENRY P. EICHERT		DATE APRIL 1963
STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT COMPILATION (III):	PLANIMETRY L. L. GRAVES	DATE 5-23-63
	CONTOURS	DATE
MANUSCRIPT DELINEATED BY (III): SMOOTH DRAFT: J. L. HARRIS		DATE 7-8-63
SCRIBING BY (III): STICK-UP: C. C. HARRIS		DATE 12-9-63
PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW BY (III): ROUGH DRAFT: J. L. HARRIS ADVANCE: J. L. HARRIS		DATE 7-8-63 1-14-64
REMARKS:		

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT - DATA RECORD

CAMERA (KIND OR SOURCE) (III):

C&GS SINGLE LENS "S"

PHOTOGRAPHS (III)

NUMBER	DATE	TIME	SCALE	STAGE OF TIDE
60 S 545A THRU 549A	9-2-60	11:05	1:30,000	1.9' ABOVE M.L.L.W.
60 S 526A THRU 530A	"	10:35	"	2.4' " "
60 S 422A THRU 425A	"	09:05	1:20,000	3.5' " "
60 S 434A THRU 436A	"	09:20	"	3.2' " "
RATIO PRINTS OF ABOVE AT 1:10,000				
COMPUTED FROM PRE- DICTED TIDE TABLES.				

TIDE (III)

		RATIO OF RANGES	MEAN RANGE	<u>DIURNAL</u> RANGE
REFERENCE STATION:	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA		4.2	5.8
SUBORDINATE STATION:	SAN CLEMENTE, CALIFORNIA		3.7	5.3
SUBORDINATE STATION:				
WASHINGTON OFFICE REVIEW BY (IV):		DATE:		
PROOF EDIT BY (IV):		DATE:		
NUMBER OF TRIANGULATION STATIONS SEARCHED FOR (II):	7	RECOVERED:	6	IDENTIFIED:
				1
NUMBER OF BM(S) SEARCHED FOR (II):	NONE	RECOVERED:		IDENTIFIED
NUMBER OF RECOVERABLE PHOTO STATIONS ESTABLISHED (III):		NONE		
NUMBER OF TEMPORARY PHOTO HYDRO STATIONS ESTABLISHED (III):		NONE		

REMARKS:

FIELD INSPECTION REPORT

MAP MANUSCRIPT T-11869

PROJECT 21033

REFER TO THE FIELD INSPECTION REPORT BY ROBERT B. MELBY FOR
T-11864 THRU T-11877, SEPTEMBER 1961 - MARCH 1962 INCLUDED WITH
THE DESCRIPTIVE REPORT FOR T-11864.

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT

MAP MANUSCRIPT T-11869

PROJECT 21033

REFER TO THE PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT NO. 1 BY HENRY P.
EICHERT, APRIL, 1963 AND INCLUDED WITH THE DESCRIPTIVE REPORT FOR
T-11864.

COMPILATION REPORT
MAP MANUSCRIPT T-11869
PROJECT 21033

ITEMS 31 AND 32:

REFER TO THE COMPILATION REPORT FOR T-11864.

33. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

NONE.

ITEMS 34 AND 35:

REFER TO THE COMPILATION REPORT FOR T-11864.

36. OFFSHORE DETAILS:

NONE.

37. LANDMARKS AND AIDS:

NONE.

38. CONTROLS FOR FUTURE SURVEYS:

NONE.

39. JUNCTIONS:

SATISFACTORY JUNCTIONS WERE MADE WITH T-11868 TO THE NORTH AND WITH T-11870 TO THE SOUTH. THE PACIFIC OCEAN IS ON THE WEST. THERE IS NO CONTEMPORARY SURVEY TO THE EAST.

40. HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ACCURACY:46. COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS:

COMPARISON WAS MADE WITH THE U.S.G.S. $7\frac{1}{2}$ MINUTE LAS PULGAS CANYON, CALIFORNIA QUADRANGLE, SCALE 1:24,000, EDITION 1948.

47. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS:

COMPARISON WAS MADE WITH NAUTICAL CHART 5101, SCALE 1:234,270 AT LAT. $33^{\circ} 20'$, 5TH EDITION, JAN. 6, 1947, REVISED 5-18-59.

ITEMS TO BE APPLIED TO NAUTICAL CHARTS IMMEDIATELY:

NONE.

ITEMS TO BE CARRIED FORWARD:

NONE.

APPROVED:


FRED NATELLA, CAPT, C&GS
PORTLAND DISTRICT OFFICER

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:


JAMES L. HARRIS
CARTOGRAPHER

48. GEOGRAPHIC NAME LIST:

THE GEOGRAPHIC NAMES ON THIS MANUSCRIPT ARE LISTED BELOW AND WERE FURNISHED BY THE WASHINGTON OFFICE ON A FINAL NAME SHEET, A COPY OF THE U.S.G.S. LAS PULGAS CANYON, CALIFORNIA, 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ MINUTE QUADRANGLE, SCALE 1:24,000, EDITION 1948.

ALISO CREEK
COCKLEBURR CANYON
GULF OF SANTA CATALINA
LAS FLORES
LAS FLORES CREEK
LAS FLORES MISSION
PACIFIC OCEAN
STUART

ALL NAMES APPROVED BY
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES SECTION
UNLESS OTHERWISE MARKED.

A. J. Wright

49. NOTES FOR THE HYDROGRAPHER:

NONE.

PHOTOGRAMMETRIC OFFICE REVIEW

T-10363 11869

1. PROJECTION AND GRIDS ✓	2. TITLE ✓		3. MANUSCRIPT NUMBERS ✓	4. MANUSCRIPT SIZE ✓
CONTROL STATIONS				
5. HORIZONTAL CONTROL STATIONS OF THIRD-ORDER OR HIGHER ACCURACY ✓		6. RECOVERABLE HORIZONTAL STATIONS OF LESS THAN THIRD-ORDER ACCURACY (Topographic stations) None		7. PHOTO HYDRO STATIONS None
8. BENCH MARKS None	9. PLOTTING OF SEXTANT FIXES None	10. PHOTOGRAMMETRIC PLOT REPORT ✓	11. DETAIL POINTS None	
ALONGSHORE AREAS (Nautical Chart Data)				
12. SHORELINE ✓	13. LOW-WATER LINE None	14. ROCKS, SHOALS, ETC. None	15. BRIDGES None	
16. AIDS TO NAVIGATION None	17. LANDMARKS ✓	18. OTHER ALONGSHORE PHYSICAL FEATURES ✓	19. OTHER ALONGSHORE CULTURAL FEATURES ✓	
PHYSICAL FEATURES				
20. WATER FEATURES ✓		21. NATURAL GROUND COVER ✓		22. PLANETABLE CONTOURS Not Applicable
23. STEREOSCOPIC INSTRUMENT CONTOURS Not Applicable	24. CONTOURS IN GENERAL Not Applicable	25. SPOT ELEVATIONS None	26. OTHER PHYSICAL FEATURES ✓	
CULTURAL FEATURES				
27. ROADS ✓	28. BUILDINGS ✓	29. RAILROADS ✓	30. OTHER CULTURAL FEATURES ✓	
BOUNDARIES				
31. BOUNDARY LINES None		32. PUBLIC LAND LINES None		
MISCELLANEOUS				
33. GEOGRAPHIC NAMES ✓		34. JUNCTIONS ✓		35. LEGIBILITY OF THE MANUSCRIPT ✓
36. DISCREPANCY OVERLAY None	37. DESCRIPTIVE REPORT ✓	38. FIELD INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS ✓	39. FORMS ✓	
40. REVIEWER <i>James L Harris</i>		SUPERVISOR, REVIEW SECTION OR UNIT		
41. REMARKS (See attached sheet)				
FIELD COMPLETION ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO THE MANUSCRIPT				
42. Additions and corrections furnished by the field completion survey have been applied to the manuscript. The manuscript is now complete except as noted under item 43.				
COMPILER		SUPERVISOR		
43. REMARKS				

**Review Report
Shoreline Maps
T-11864 through T-11869
July 1965**

61. General Statement

Area - The project encompasses the California Coast from Dana Point to Mexico.

Purpose - The purpose of this project is to provide shoreline and harbor maps for our nautical and aeronautical charting programs.

62. Comparison with Registered Topographic Surveys

T-5413	1:10,000	1934
T-5414	1:10,000	1934
T-5415	1:10,000	1934
T-5416	1:10,000	1934
T-5417	1:10,000	1934

There are cultural and shoreline differences due to the time interval.

63. Comparison with Maps of Other Agencies

Las Pulgas Canyon	1:24,000	1948
San Onofre Bluff	1:24,000	1949
San Clemente	1:24,000	1948
Dana Point	1:24,000	1948

Considering the time interval, the maps are in comparatively close agreement.

64. Comparison with Contemporary Hydrographic Surveys

None

65. Comparison with Nautical Charts

5101	1:234,270	April 1965
------	-----------	------------

Because of the scale differences no true comparison can be made.

66. Adequacy of Results and Future Surveys

These surveys were prepared according to project instructions and comply with the National Standards of Map Accuracy.

Reviewed by:

L. C. Lande
L. C. Lande

Approved by:

Charles L. ...
Chief, Photogrammetric Branch

J. E. ... 9/15/65
Chief, Photogrammetry Division

Chief, Nautical Chart Division

RECORD OF APPLICATION TO CHARTS

FILE WITH DESCRIPTIVE REPORT OF SURVEY NO. T-11869

INSTRUCTIONS

- A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart.
1. Letter all information.
 2. In "Remarks" column cross out words that do not apply.
 3. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.

CHART	DATE	CARTOGRAPHER	REMARKS
5101	8/3/67	John R. Weir	Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No. <i>Examined, no critical correction</i>
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.