# 717693

## 7-11695

#### NOAA FORM 76-35

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION
NATIONAL OCEAN SURVEY

#### DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey SHORELINE			
Job No			
Classification No. II & III Edition No			
(vefer to page 6)			
LOCALITY			
StateVIRGINIA			
General Locality NORTHAMPTON COUNTY			
LocalityBIG EASTER MARSH			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1959 TO 1962			
REGISTRY IN ARCHIVES			
DATE			
1			

☆ U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1972-761-152

# T=11695

T-11695

COMPILATION RECORD	COMPLETION DATE	REMARKS	
Compiled	Feb, 1962	Superseded	
Shoreline revised from photographs taken in April 1962	July 1962	Superseded	*
Final review Dec. 197	·		

x This map was not field edited

MISSING 1-3 9-11-95 SB

### CAPE CHARLES TO ASSATEAGUE, VA Planimetric Mapping Scale 1:10,000

#### SUMMARY TO ACCOMPANY

#### DESCRIPTIVE REPORT T-11695

This 1:10,000 scale shoreline manuscript is one of 43 maps that comprise Project PH-5907, Cape Charles to Assateague, VA. The project diagram indicates the location of the map in the project.

When received for final review, no descriptive report was included with the map. The only records available were in the lower margin of the manuscript. Therefore, much of the data that is ordinarily included in a descriptive report is missing from the descriptive report for T-11695.

Field inspection prior to compilation was done in September, 1961.

Compilation was from 9-lens photographs taken in October, 1959. Control was based on a radial plot using the 9-lens photographs. Shoreline on the west side of Cobb Island was revised from ratio prints of photographs taken in April 1962, after the March 1962 hurricane. The Atlantic Ocean shoreline was mapped without field inspection, as the hurricane voided field inspection of this shoreline that was done in September, 1961.

No field edit of this map was accomplished.

Final review was done at the Atlantic Marine Center in December, 1973.

The compilation manuscript was a vinylite sheet 3 minutes 45 seconds in latitude by 3 minutes 45 seconds in longitude.

A cronaflex copy of the final reviewed manuscript and a negative were forwarded for record and registry.

#### FIELD INSPECTION REPORT Maps 11689 through 11695 PROJECT PH-5907, VIRGINIA

#### 2. Areal Field Inspection

The mainland was inspected by riding out all roads and labelling all details, where believed necessary, that are to be mapped. All Current Instructions were followed during inspection and no phases of the work were purposely omitted for the compilers or a field editor to resolve. Not every patch of trees has been labeled nor every foot of shoreline delineated, but it is believed that sufficient work has been done to serve as a criterion for the compilers.

The quality of the photography was good and it is believed that sufficient photographic tones have been labelled to clarify any questions.

#### 3. Horizontal Control

Hog Island Lighthouse, 1911, 1932 and Hog Island Lighthouse Old Tower 1911 have been destroyed by the erosion along the outer shore of Hog Island. One of these stations was desired to be identified for control. No new station was established in the area as there is an abandoned Coast Guard Tower that is about 1/h miles southwest of the Old Station site. Enough theodolite cuts were taken on this tower to furnish a position of sufficient accuracy to control the radial plot.

Station GOULD, 1910 is lost and station "Shack at Rowes Hole, North East Gable, 1934" has been destroyed.

#### L. Vertical Control

No bench marks of third-order or higher were searched for or recovered. One tidal bench mark was recovered and identified near the southwest end of Hog Island.

#### 5. Contours and Drainage

Contours are inapplicable

The drainage has been delineated, where deemed necessary, on the photographs.

2

#### Woodland Cover

Most all areas of woodland cover were inspected and it is believed that a sufficient number of areas have been labelled to serve as a criterion for the compiler.

#### 7. Shoreline and Alongshore Features

Practically all of the shoreline is apparent and in some areas the horizontal position of this line is controlled by the seasonal growth of sea oats. This tall grass will form the apparent shoreline for about 8 months of the year and its outer edges have been delineated as such.

The MHWL along the ocean was measured from several photoidentifiable objects and these measurements were scaled on the field photographs to identify some line or tone or the relative position of the MHWL to some line or tone on the photographs.

The foreshore in some areas amounts to large mud flats that are very soft and will not afford footing for any type of travel at low tide.

A submerged telephone cable leads from Hog Island to Cobb Island. Its point of entry into the water on Hog Island has been identified and its approximate position in the water has been delineated.

All docks and piers have been delineated or labelled on the field photographs.

#### 8. Offshore Features

Offshore features are few and have been delineated on the photographs. The mean low water line was delineated in some areas and is quite apparent in other areas.

There is an area of shifting sand at the south end of Rogue Island, the size and shape of which is controlled by the current of Great Machipongo Inlet and the wind.

#### 9. Landmarks and Aids

All landmarks and fixed aids to navigation are shown on Form 567. Nearly all of the lights and daybeacons in Gull Marsh Channel, Eckichy Channel and the channel joining the two had to be located by field survey methods. Most of the aids were located by sextant fix but some were located by theodolite fix.

#### Landmarks and Aids continued

The objects used to control these fixes are all identified on a copy of chart 1222 and this chart is enclosed with the folder containing other data for map 11694.

#### 10. Boundaries, Monuments and Lines

The only political limits to be mapped are the corporate limits of Eastville, Virginia. A tracing is being submitted with explanitory notes thereon. This tracing had to be made within the Northampton Court House from the only available record of the corporate limits. There is an abandoned Coast Guard Station on the south end of Hog Island but no boundary limits were obtained for it.

#### 11. Other Control

The following topographic stations were established and described on Form 524: DONE, 1961; CELL, 1961; COME, 1961; HUNT, 1961; WARP, 1961; GANG, 1961.

The following topographic station is reported lost on Form 524: WAR, 1942.

The following topographic stations were recovered and identified: GOU, 1942 and FIT, 1942.

#### 12. Other Interior Features

All roads and buildings were classified according to current instructions.

There are no bridge or cables, over navigable waters, that need to be measured.

#### 13. Geographic Names

No systematic investigation of geographic names was conducted and no errors were found.

#### 14. Special Reports and Supplemental Data

One copy of Nautical Chart 1222 is being submitted. This is to aid in the location of aids to navigation.

One tracing showing the approximate location of the corporate limits of Eastville, Virginia is also being submitted.

Submitted October 10, 1961

Elgan T. Jenkins Surveying Technician

June 22, 1972

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES
FINAL NAME SHEET
PH-5907 (Virginia)
T-11695

Atlantic Ocean
Big Easter Marsh
Black Perch Creek
Cobb Bay
Cobb Island
Gull Marsh
Hog Island Bay
Little Easter Marsh
Loon Channel
Miffigy Channel
Outlet Bay
Parchaby Tump
Rowes Hole Channel
South Creek
Spidercrab Bay

Approved:

A. Joseph Wraight

Chief Geographer

Prepared by

Frank W. Pickett

Cartographic Technician

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COAST AND

DETIC SURVEY

Nautical Chart Branch

Form 5(

STRIKE OUT TWO

TOCHECKENSER AUDBIELDELENED TO BE CHARTED

NONFLOATING AIDS OBXINAMEMES FOR CHARTS

Tampa District Office

1962 February 5

I recommend that the following objects which have (hatercied) been inspected from seaward to determine their value as landmarks be

CHARTS イズイン Chief of Party. 出る14 1222 = TRANS BHONE TO K X X MEMORE CHART × K × ĸ 127/17.1 LOCATION 20.71 1961 E ŧ ŧ ŧ z æ F ŧ Sobler alski METHOD OF LOCATION AND AND BURVEY NO. -11695 16911 noto Plot æ = Ħ ŧ = E ₽ ŧ Ħ E DATUM 1927 N.A. > £ = = D. P. METERS 16.91 11.7 18.56 25.36 624 250 13352 55/19 39,55 52.7 1298 12.002 17.005 1 800% 350 LONGITUDE # 75 148 75 129 75 50 75 50 77 18 18 75 49 75 49 75 49 22 13 75 48 POSITION 75 117 75 148 ret ø 14.82 12.69 3218B 17358 1735 90251 01.80 11.8 27.70 50.47 32,88 02.85 88 28.32 873 D.M. METERS 19.98 919 157 R. Dossett LATTI'UDE# SAN SAN 37 20 37 19 37 19 **5**0 20 37 22 37 22 37 21 겂 2 2 37 21 2 33 0 33 37 37 33 The positions given have been checked after listing by BIGNAL 75/Elco charted on (descraptions) the charts indicated. VIRCINIA INSIDE PASSACE GRÉAT MACHIPONGO CHANNEL TO SAND SHOAL CHANNET. DEBCRIPTION ŧ # 2 2 = # 2 \* E \* # ŧ = # \* Ħ z = **VIRGINIA** E = = # £ ¥ ¥ Ħ = CHARTING NAME DAYBN 13 DAYBN 15 LIGHT 23 LICHT 14 LICHT 16 DAYEN 17 LIGHT 18 DAYBN 1.9 LIGHT 12 DAYBN 11 DAYEN 21 CHANNEL DAYEN 8 LIGHT 9 STATE ١

gation, if redetermined, shall be reported on this form. Revisions shall show both the old and new positions. The data should be considered for the charts This form shall be prepared in accordance with Hydrographic Manual, pages 800 to 804. Positions of charted landmarks and nonfloating aids to navi-Information under each column heading should be given. of the area and not by individual field survey sheets.

\* TABULATE SECONDS AND METERS

少りから し

\* These positions do not agree with charted positions on 12 The aids were not moved on 1271 per institution Lys Ø,

707

#### REVIEW REPORT T-11695

#### SHORELINE

#### December 5, 1973

#### 61. GENERAL STATEMENT

See Summary on page 6 of this Descriptive Report.

An ozalid comparison print, showing differences noted in Par. 62 is bound with the original of this report.

Map sources used in Par. 62 and Par. 63 were compared with each other. No differences were found to exist between the two maps.

On the east side of Cobb Island, the Atlantic Ocean shoreline, which was left off the manuscript by the compiler, was added by the final reviewer from office interpretation of Photos 62 W 3932 and 3933.

T-11695 supersedes previous topographic surveys for nautical chart construction purposes.

#### 62. COMPARISON WITH REGISTERED SURVEYS

A comparison was made with Survey T-8178, 1:20,000 scale, dated 1942. Numerous differences in shoreline were shown in blue on the comparison print.

#### 63. COMPARISON WITH MAPS OF OTHER AGENCIES

A comparison was made with USGS Quadrangle COBB ISLAND, VA, 1:24,000 scale, dated 1942. Differences noted were the same as noted on T-8178 and were shown on the comparison print with the same blue line.

#### 64. COMPARISON WITH CONTEMPORARY HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYS

No contemporary hydrographic surveys were available for comparison.

#### 65. COMPARISON WITH NAUTICAL CHARTS

A comparison was made with Chart 1222, 1:80,000 scale, 36th edition, dated June 30, 1973. No significant shoreline differences were noted. Fixed aids to navigation are shown on T-11694 as they existed on the date of field inspection. Numbers and names of the aids have been changed.

#### 66. ADEQUACY OF RESULTS AND FUTURE SURVEYS

The Photogrammetric Plot Report, which usually states the accuracy of control used for compilation, was not available for final review and no accuracy statement was made in the Compilation Report. However, there is no reason to believe that accuracy is substandard.

Reviewed by:

Charles H. Dishop

Charles H. Bishop Cartographer

Approved for forwarding:

Jaffrey G. Carlen, CDR, NOAA

Chief, Coastal Mapping Division, AMC

Approved:

Alfred C. Holmes, RADM, NOAA

Director, Atlantic Marine Center

Approved:

Chief, Photogrammetric Branch

Chief, Coastal Mapping Division













