# NOAA Technical Report NOS 133 NGS 46



# Extending the National Geodetic Survey Standard GPS Orbit Formats

Benjamin W. Remondi

Rockville, MD November 1989

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Ocean Service

# NOAA TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

National Ocean Service/National Geodetic Survey Subseries

The National Geodetic Survey (NGS), Office of Charting and Geodetic Services, the National Ocean Service (NOS), NOAA, establishes and maintains the basic national horizontal, vertical, and gravity networks of geodetic control, and provides Government-wide leadership in the improvement of geodetic surveying methods and instrumentation, coordinates operations to assure network development, and provides specifications and criteria for survey operations by Federal, State, and other agencies.

NGS engages in research and development for the improvement of knowledge of the figure of the Earth and its gravity field, and has the responsibility to procure geodetic data from all sources, process these data, and make them generally available to users through a central data base.

NOAA geodetic publications and relevant geodetic publications of the former U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey are sold in paper form by the National Geodetic Information Branch. To obtain a price list or to place an order, contact:

National Geodetic Information Branch (N/CG174) Charting and Geodetic Services National Ocean Service National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Rockville, MD 20852

Telephone: 1 301 443 8631

When placing an order, make check or money order payable to: National Geodetic Survey. Do not send cash or stamps. Publications can be charged to Visa or Master Card, or purchased over the counter at the National Geodetic Information Branch, 11400 Rockville Pike, Room 24, Rockville, MD.

An excellent reference source for all Government publications is the National Depository Library Program, a network of about 1,400 designated libraries. Requests for borrowing Depository Library material may be made through your local library. A free listing of libraries in this system is available from the Library Division, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20401 (telephone: 1 202 275 3635).

# NOAA Technical Report NOS 133 NGS 46



# Extending the National Geodetic Survey Standard GPS Orbit Formats

Benjamin W. Remondi

Rockville, MD November 1989

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Robert A. Mosbacher, Secretary National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration John A. Knauss, Under Secretary National Ocean Service Virginia K. Tippie, Assistant Administrator Charting and Geodetic Services R. Adm. Wesley V. Hull, Director

For sale by the National Geodetic Information Branch, NOAA, Rockville, MD 20852

# CONTENTS

Nessiand: Motivation. SP1 and SP2 formats. SECTION I: EXISTING NGS ORBIT FORMATS. SECTION I: EXISTING NGS ORBIT FORMATS. SECATION Froduct #1 (position/velocity). SP1 (ASCII) format. ECF1 l/senary) format. ECF1 l/secasion. ECF1 l/secasion. ECF1 l/secasion. ECF2 (binary) format. ECF2 (binary) format. ECF3 discussion. ECF3 discussion. ECF13 discussion.	ABSTRACT	
Motivation.         SP1 and SP2 formats.         SECTION I: EXISTING NGS ORBIT FORMATS.         Standard Product #1 (position/velocity).         SP1 (ASCII) format.         Final SP1 notes.         ECF1 (binary) format.         ECF2 (binary) format.         MCS programs available for ECF2.         EF13 1/0 and interpolation.         MCS programs available for EF13.         Section study (relative)         Position study (relative)         Position study (relative)         Position study (claseline)		
SP1 and SP2 formats.         SECTION I: EXISTING NGS ORBIT FORMATS.         Standard Product #1 (position/velocity).         SP1 (ASCI) format.         Final SP1 notes.         ECF1 (binary) format.         ECF1 JO and interpolation.         NOS programs available for ECF1.         Standard Product #2 (position only).         SP2 (ASCII) format.         ECF2 discussion.         ECF3 discussion.         EF13 discussion.         EF13 discussion.         EF13 discussion.         EF13 discussion.         EF13 discussion.         NOS programs available for EF13.         SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE.         Position study (relative).         EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute).         Velocity study (relative).         EF13 versus ECF2 (caseline).         Velocity study (relative).		
SECTION I: EXISTING NGS ORBIT FORMATS. SECTION I: EXISTING NGS ORBIT FORMATS. SECANDAL Product #1 (position/velocity). SP1 (ASCII) format. ECF1 l/O and interpolation. NGS programs available for ECF1. Standard Product #2 (position only). SP2 (ASCII) format. Final SP2 notes. ECF2 J/O and interpolation. NCS programs available for ECF2. EF13 J/O and interpolation. NCS programs available for EF13. SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE. Case II. Case II. Case III. Fosition study (relative). Case II. Case III. Fosition study (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (absolute). Velocity study (absolute). SECTION II: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format. Discussion of the SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Prod		
Standard Product #1 (position/velocity)	SP1 and SP2 formats	• • • •
Standard Product #1 (position/velocity)	SECTION I: EXISTING NGS ORBIT FORMATS	
SP1 (ASCII) format.         Final SP1 notes.         ECF1 (binary) format.         ECF1 discussion.         NCS programs available for ECF1.         Standard Product #2 (position only)         SSP2 (ASCII) format.         Final SP2 notes.         ECF2 (binary) format.         ECF2 (binary) format.         ECF2 discussion.         ECF2 i/O and interpolation.         NCS programs available for ECF2.         EF13 discussion.         ECF1 J/O and interpolation.         NCS programs available for ECF2.         EF13 discussion.         EF13 discussion.         EF13 J/O and interpolation.         NCS programs available for EF13.         SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE.         Position study (absolute).         Case II.		
<pre>Final SP1 notes. ECF1 (binary) format. ECF1 discussion. ECF1 discussion. ECF1 discussion. Srandard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. ECF2 binary) format. ECF2 discussion. ECF2 discussion. ECF2 discussion. ECF2 discussion. ECF2 discussion. ECF3 discussion. ECF4 discussion. ECF3 discussio</pre>	SP1 (ASCII) format	
ECF1 (binary) format. ECF1 liccussion. ECF1 I/O and interpolation. NGS programs available for ECF1. Standard Product #2 (position only). SS2 (ASCII) format. Final SP2 notes. ECF2 (binary) format. ECF2 (binary) format. ECF2 discussion. ECF2 discussion. ECF2 liccussion. ECF2 discussion. ECF2 discussion. ECF3 -A compact alternative to ECF2. EF13 discussion. ECF1 in the study (baseline). Case I. Case I. Case II. Case II. Case III. Fosition study (relative). Position study (baseline). Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Welocity study (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Welocity study (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Welocity study (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Produc		
ECF1 discussion. ECF1 I/O and interpolation. NCS programs available for ECF1. Standard Product #2 (position only). SP2 (ASCI1) format. Final SP2 notes. ECF2 (binary) format. ECF2 discussion. ECF2 JO and interpolation. NCS programs available for ECF2. EF13 discussion. EF13 discussion. EF13 discussion. EF13 l/O and interpolation. NGS programs available for EF13. SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE. Position study (absolute). Case II. Case II. Case III. Position study (relative). Position study (relative). Position study (baseline). Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (relative). SECTION III: NTERPOLATION. SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF13 format. Standard Product #		
ECF1 I/O and interpolation NCS programs available for ECF1. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 binary. SP2 (ASCII) format. Final SP2 notes. ECF2 (binary) format. ECF2 discussion. ECF2 1/O and interpolation. NCS programs available for ECF2. EF13 discussion. EF13 I/O and interpolation. NCS programs available for ECF2. EF13 I/O and interpolation. NCS programs available for EF13. SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE. Position study (absolute). Case II. Case II. Case III. Case III. Case III. Case III. Case III. Case III. Case III. Case III. Case III. Case II. Case		
NGS programs available for ECF1 Standard Product #2 (position only). SF2 (ASCII) format EGF2 loinary) format ECF2 discussion ECF2 discussion ECF2 discussion ECF2 iscussion ECF2 discussion ECF2 discussion EF13 1/0 and interpolation NGS programs available for ECF2 EF13 1/0 and interpolation NGS programs available for EF13 SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE Position study (absolute) Case II Case		
Standard Product #2 (position only)		
SP2 (ASCII) format.         Final SP2 notes.         ECF2 (biary) format.         ECF2 discussion.         ECF2 I/O and interpolation.         NGS programs available for ECF2.         EF13 discussion.         EF13 discussion.         FF13 discussion.         EF13 discussion.         FF13 discussion.         FF13 discussion.         SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE.         Position study (absolute).         Case II.         Case II.         Case II.         Case II.         Position study (relative).         Position study (baseline).         Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute).         EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute).         EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline).         Velocity study (basolute).         Velocity study (relative).         Velocity study (relative).         Welocity study (relative).         Velocity study (relative).         Velocity study (relative).         Section III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT.         Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format.         Discussion of the SP3 format.         Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format.         Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format.		
Final SP2 notes.         ECF2 (binary) format.         ECF2 discussion.         ECF2 discussion.         ECF2 J/O and interpolation.         NGS programs available for ECF2.         EF13 discussion.         EF13 discussion.         FI3 J/O and interpolation.         NGS programs available for EF13.         SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE.         Position study (absolute).         Case I.         Case II.         Case III.		
ECF2 (binary) format ECF2 discussion. ECF2 I/O and interpolation NCS programs available for ECF2. EF13 discussion. EF13 J/O and interpolation. NCS programs available for EF13. SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE. Position study (absolute). Case I. Case I. Case III. Costion study (relative). Position study (relative). Position study (relative). Position study (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (relative). Velocity study (relative). Velocity study (relative). SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. Standard Product #3 binary E		
ECF2 discussion. ECF2 J/O and interpolation. NGS programs available for ECF2. EF13 - A compact alternative to ECF2. EF13 discussion. EF13 J/O and interpolation. NGS programs available for EF13. SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE. Position study (absolute). Case I. Case II. Case II. Case III. Position study (relative). Position study (baseline). Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2. EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (relative). Polocity study (relative). Baseline processing study. GFS week crossover problems. SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format. Discussion of the SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.		
ECF2 I/O and interpolation. NGS programs available for ECF2. EF13A compact alternative to ECF2. EF13 idscussion. EF13 1/O and interpolation. NGS programs available for EF13. SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE. Position study (absolute). Case I. Case II. Case III. Case III. Position study (relative). Position study (relative). Position study (baseline). Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2 EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (relative). Welocity study (relative). Higher order interpolators. Baseline processing study. CFS week crossover problems. SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.		
NGS programs available for ECF2. EF13 -A compact alternative to ECF2. EF13 discussion. EF13 J/O and interpolation. NGS programs available for EF13. SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE. Position study (absolute). Case II. Case II. Case III. Position study (relative). Position study (baseline). Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2 EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (absolute). Velocity study (relative). Higher order interpolators. Baseline processing study. CFS week crossover problems. SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 ASCII SF3 Format. Discussion of the SF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.	ECF2 discussion	
EF13A compact alternative to ECF2 EF13 discussion. EF13 l/O and interpolation. NGS programs available for EF13. SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE. Position study (absolute). Case I Case II Case III. Position study (relative). Position study (relative). Position study (baseline). Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2. EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (relative). Velocity study (relative). SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.	ECF2 I/O and interpolation	
EF13A compact alternative to ECF2 EF13 discussion. EF13 l/O and interpolation. NGS programs available for EF13. SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE. Position study (absolute). Case I Case II Case III. Position study (relative). Position study (relative). Position study (baseline). Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2. EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (relative). Velocity study (relative). SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.	NGS programs available for ECF2	
EF13 discussion. EF13 I/O and interpolation. NGS programs available for EF13. SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE. Position study (absolute). Case I. Case II. Case III. Position study (relative). Position study (baseline). Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2. EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (absolute). Velocity study (relative). Baseline processing study. CFS week crossover problems. SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format. Discussion of the SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.	F13A compact alternative to ECF2	
EF13 1/0 and interpolation NGS programs available for EF13. SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE. Position study (absolute). Case I. Case II. Case III. Position study (relative). Position study (baseline). Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2. EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (absolute). Velocity study (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (relative). Baseline processing study. GPS week crossover problems. SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format.		
NGS programs available for EF13 SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE. Position study (absolute). Case I Case II Case III. Position study (relative). Position study (relative). Position study (baseline). Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2. EF13 versus ECF2 (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (absolute). Velocity study (relative). Higher order interpolators. Baseline processing study. GPS week crossover problems. SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format. Discussion of the SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF1		
SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE. Position study (absolute). Case I. Case II. Case III. Position study (relative). Position study (baseline). Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2. EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (absolute). Welocity study (relative). Higher order interpolators. Baseline processing study. GPS week crossover problems. SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format. Discussion of the SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.		
Case III. Position study (relative). Position study (baseline). Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2. EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (absolute). Velocity study (relative). Higher order interpolators. Baseline processing study. GPS week crossover problems. SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format. Discussion of the SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.	Case I	
Case III Position study (relative). Position study (baseline). Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2. EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (absolute). Velocity study (relative). Higher order interpolators. Baseline processing study. GPS week crossover problems. SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format. Discussion of the SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.		
Position study (relative) Position study (baseline) Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute) EF13 versus ECF2 (relative) EF13 versus ECF2 (relative) Velocity study (absolute) Velocity study (relative) Higher order interpolators Baseline processing study GPS week crossover problems. SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format. Discussion of the SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. Stan	Case III	
Position study (baseline). Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2. EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute). EF13 versus ECF2 (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (absolute). Velocity study (relative). Higher order interpolators. Baseline processing study. GPS week crossover problems. SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format. Discussion of the SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.		
Accuracy of EF13 versus ECF2 EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute) EF13 versus ECF2 (relative) EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline) Velocity study (absolute) Velocity study (relative) Higher order interpolators Baseline processing study GPS week crossover problems SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format Discussion of the SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	Position study (baseline)	
EF13 versus ECF2 (absolute) EF13 versus ECF2 (relative) EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline) Velocity study (absolute) Velocity study (relative) Higher order interpolators Baseline processing study GPS week crossover problems SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format Discussion of the SP3 format Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS ACKNOWLEDGMENTS		
EF13 versus ECF2 (relative). EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (absolute). Velocity study (relative). Higher order interpolators. Baseline processing study. GPS week crossover problems. SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format. Discussion of the SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.		
EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline). Velocity study (absolute). Velocity study (relative). Higher order interpolators. Baseline processing study. GPS week crossover problems. SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format. Discussion of the SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.		
<pre>Velocity study (absolute)</pre>	EF13 versus ECF2 (baseline)	
<pre>Velocity study (relative)</pre>		
Higher order interpolators. Baseline processing study. GPS week crossover problems. SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format. Discussion of the SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.		
Baseline processing study GPS week crossover problems SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format Discussion of the SP3 format Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.		
GPS week crossover problems SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format Discussion of the SP3 format Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS		
SECTION III: A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT. Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format. Discussion of the SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.		
Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format. Discussion of the SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS.	rs week crossover problems	• • • •
Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format. Discussion of the SP3 format. Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format. Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format. SUMMARY. SONCLUSIONS.		
Discussion of the SP3 format Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS		
Standard Product #3 binary ECF3 format Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS		
Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format         SUMMARY         CONCLUSIONS         ACKNOWLEDGMENTS		
SUMMARY		
CONCLUSIONS	Standard Product #3 binary EF18 format	· • • • •
CONCLUSIONS	SITMARY	
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS		

•

# FIGURES

1.	SP1 ASCII example
2.	ECF1 binary format
3.	ECF1_LC9: I/O and interpolation
4.	SP2 ASCII example
5.	ECF2 binary format
6.	ECF2_BWR9: I/O and interpolation
7.	EF13 binary format
8.	A demonstration of filename computing and a 17th order polynomial
	interpolator performing I/O on an EF13 file
9.	End-of-File/Start-of-File interpolation error example
10.	Elimination of the Start-of-File/End-of-File interpolation error
11.	SP3 ASCII format
12.	ECF3 binary format
12 .	EF18 binary format

.

# EXTENDING THE NATIONAL GEODETIC SURVEY STANDARD GPS ORBIT FORMATS

Benjamin W. Remondi National Geodetic Survey Charting and Geodetic Services National Ocean Service, NOAA Rockville, MD 20852

ABSTRACT. Two National Geodetic Survey (NGS) standard formats for Global Positioning System (GPS) orbits were published in 1985. Since then they have been enhanced in minor ways, as described in this publication. Associated binary formats were implied but only documented through the NGS distribution software made available at that time. The binary formats are included here. An interpolation study, based on these formats, demonstrates that the position-only format is more appropriate for distribution than the position-velocity format since the velocity can be generated from the position to within 0.1 mm/sec. The positionvelocity format should nevertheless remain the NGS archive copy for traditional reasons. The interpolation study was also performed to determine the optimum epoch interval as a function of the order of the interpolator. Ninth through 17th order interpolators are considered. Orbital data in the NGS formats can be manipulated with programs available from NGS. These programs run on MS-DOS<sup>1</sup> and PC-DOS<sup>1</sup>. They allow the user to modify the epoch interval, convert from binary to ASCII and back, convert between NGS formats, and join consecutive orbit files to remove the GPS-week crossover problem. These programs are discussed. Finally a new NGS orbit format is proposed which includes the satellite clock corrections.

# INTRODUCTION

## Motivation

Why do we need standardized orbit formats? Standard orbit formats provide many advantages, the most obvious being orbit exchange. ASCII and binary formats both accommodate this function, but ASCII does it with greater generality because binary formats are operating system dependent. On the other hand, IBM personal computers and compatible machines are omnipresent so that binary exchange is also realistic as long as programs to convert between binary and ASCII are provided.

Another reason for standardized formats is the embodiment of experience. In exercising its technical mission, NGS must design orbit files that are general, efficient, accurate, and flexible enough to accommodate changing requirements. This experience can be shared through the medium of well-designed orbit formats.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>MS-DOS is a registered trademark of Microsoft, Inc.

PC-DOS is a registered trademark of IBM, Inc.

A third reason for format standardization is sharing experiences and tools for file access and interpolation. This results in two benefits: (1) sharing experiences and software with regard to file access and interpolation, and (2) promoting the technology and commerce. Having standardized orbit files and the tools to access those data promotes quick entry to applications developers in governments, universities, and the private sector, allowing them to concentrate on applications rather than resolving old problems.

## SP1 and SP2 Formats

The NGS standard GPS orbit formats were introduced in Remondi (1985). After an initial period of usage, it was realized that minor enhancements would be helpful. The "orbit type," the coordinate system, and the GPS week associated with the first epoch of the ephemeris file have been added in a manner that does not impact the formats and existing software. Details are given in this report.

A more serious omission of the current NGS orbit formats is the satellite clock corrections. This omission reflects an earlier belief that all geodetic applications could be accomplished in differential mode. Today we realize that the NGS standard formats might need to serve a wider community and include those who find it inconvenient to operate in differential mode. A user can operate in single-receiver or navigation mode based on the broadcast message. However the user can get more accurate (post-processed) results if the precise orbital data and the associated satellite clock corrections, which were determined simultaneously with those precise orbits, are available. This becomes even more valuable when the broadcast orbit and clock information are intentionally degraded.

Thus a new NGS orbit format is herein proposed. This format is similar to the current NGS orbit formats, but will comprise positional data and satellite clock correction data. Furthermore other changes are proposed which allow more flexibility with regard to enhancements. Still other enhancements will be noted.

This report is divided into three sections. The first section documents current NGS standard orbit formats for GPS, including all modifications made to the present time. Both binary and ASCII formats are given in complete detail. For the position-only orbital data, a very compact 13-byte format is presented which would allow a full week of orbital data (for 24 satellites) to be stored in a mere 79 kilobytes (kb).

The second section comprises a discussion of interpolators and related issues. Specifically, 9th order through 17th order interpolators are used to show the degradation of accuracy as a function of epoch interval and the order of the interpolator. It is shown that for a ninth order interpolator a 30-minute epoch interval is accurate to 0.01 - 0.02 ppm, and for an 11th order interpolator the 40-minute epoch interval is accurate to 0.01 - 0.02 ppm. It will also be shown that a 17th order interpolator and a 40-minute epoch interval are consistent with 1 part per billion geodetic activities. All of the analysis within this report assumes near-circular (eccentricities smaller than 0.02) orbits with 12-hour periods. Clearly if 2-hour orbits are mixed with 12-hour orbits, or if 12-hour orbits were highly elliptical, a smaller epoch interval would be required. This analysis considers the information content of the velocity data and concludes that no significant information is contained within the velocity data that cannot be extracted from the positional data. This section introduces NGS programs available for MS-DOS or PC-DOS which allow the user to manipulate orbit data according to specific needs and environment:

The third section of this report introduces a proposed new NGS orbit format which comprises, primarily, satellite positions and clock corrections. This format: allows for more precision to anticipate future applications, allows for orbital accuracy information for each satellite, provides three time systems in the header, provides spare locations for enhancements, and accommodates up to 85 satellites which might be necessary in the decades ahead.

NOTE: All times referred to in this document are GPS times even when they are represented in Gregorian or Modified Julian Date.

SECTION I: EXISTING NGS ORBIT FORMATS

#### Standard Product #1 (Position/Velocity)

The NGS Standard Product #1 was primarily defined as an 80-byte ASCII format (which will be referred to as SP1). Implied, however, was the associated 52-byte binary format (which will be referred to as ECF1) for direct or random access. The ASCII format was intended to be a format of exchange whereas the binary format was a suggested applications format and one that NGS has occasionally used in its routine operations. The binary format was not explicitly documented at that time. Rather, it was embedded in software available from NGS. Both the ASCII and the binary formats will be documented here. Users may elect to use their own binary formats, but this provides the community with a standard for binary exchange and a possible format for adoption. It should be stated that this ECF1 binary file is not strongly encouraged by NGS inasmuch as other binary formats discussed in this report are more efficient. On the other hand, NGS encourages users to adopt, promote, and suggest improvements to the ASCII formats.

Since 1985 the following three minor enhancements have been made to SP1 (ASCII):

(1) In the first line, column 76, and just to the right of the "Number of Epochs" parameter, is a single character describing The "Orbit Type." At this time only "F" (fitted), "E" (extrapolated or predicted), and "B" (broadcast) are defined. Naturally, others are possible.

(2) In the second line, columns 75 and 76, the 35th satellite identifier will be used for the "Coordinate System." Only two digits are allowed. At this time the following coordinate systems are defined. Others are possible. Naturally these formats will also work for inertial coordinate systems.

> 72 -- WGS-72 84 -- WGS-84 85 -- Earth-fixed 1985 (IERS)

(3) In the second line, columns 77 and 78, the 36th satellite identifier will be used for "Hundreds of GPS weeks." In columns 79 and 80 the 37th satellite identifier will be used for "GPS Weeks Modulo 100." This is equivalent to stating that columns 77-80 will be used for the GPS week. The distinction is made for binary compatibility reasons.

These changes will also be reflected in the binary formats discussed below. Otherwise this information would be lost when the program which converts ASCII to binary is executed. The NGS program which converts ASCII to binary will embed these data in previously unused spare locations of the binary format.

Each line of the SP1 file (fig. 1) has unique symbols in the leftmost three columns. For all but the last line, the leftmost symbol is a blank. These symbols provide easy program checks for integrity of the format structure. They also permit ease of inspection by those who maintain and distribute SP1 files. UNIX (tm) facilities such as "grep" thus can provide easy inspection of one aspect of a file (e.g., grep 'SV13' NGS475.SP1) or one aspect of many files (e.g., grep '\_#\_' NGS\*.SP1, where '\_' represents a blank character).

```
SP1 (ASCII) Format
(Refer to fig. 1.)
```

# SP1 First Line

Columns	1-3	Symbols	_#_
Column	4	Unused	_
Columns	5-8	4-digit year	1989
Column	9	Unused	_
Columns	10-11	Month	_5
Column	12	Unused	_
Columns	13-14	Day of month	_7
Column	15	Unused	
Columns	16-17	Hour	_0
Column	18	Unused	
Columns	19-20	Minute	_0
Column	21	Unused	-
Columns	22-31	Second	0.000000
Column	32	Unused	-
Columns	33-46	Epoch interval (s)	900.0000000
Column	47	Unused	
Columns	48-52	Mod. Jul Day	47653
Column	53	Unused	
Columns	54-68	Fractional day	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
Column	69	Unused	
Column	70-75	Number of epochs	673
Column	76	Orbit type	F
Column	77	Unused	
Columns	78-80	Agency source	NGS

# SP1 Second Line

Columns	1-3	Symbols	_+_
Columns	4-5	Number of PRNs	_7_
Column	6	Unused	_
Columns	7-8	PRN #1 id.	_3
Columns	9-10	PRN #2 id.	_6

**#** 1989 5 7 0 0 0.000000 900.0000000 47653 0.0000000000000 673F NGS 1989 5 7 0 0 0.0000000 1068.95680 -23275.89940 -1.69132152 -2.34970379 0.81385518 SV 3 -13196.62895 21936.63349 1.09902137 -2.59868935 -1.34268484 SV 6 -5487.19468 -13640.68966 14215.82196 0.83728880 1.59100258 2.79300935 sv 8 -5550.72604 -21683.98568 22122.47061 1.24723636 -2.62465830 SV 9 -13529.97454 3581.61431 1.19613960 1926.42949 -0.07089034 0.30308173 SV11 -14234.54385 -22703.85280 3.40804946 sv12 -15048.70901 17144.82212 13450.94106 0.82152205 -1.47952676 2.87598178 SV13 -18086.23952 -13188.68516 -14254.71415 -1.14715906 -1.40750521 2.75055503 1989 5 7 0 15 0.0000000 -946.03185 -22350.27830 -1.79145866 -2.12119795 SV 3 -14767.70669 1.23998845 SV 6 -4615.90907 -15943.98710 20539.74181 0.83701287 -2.50836974 -1.75698476 SV 8 -4665.18330 ~20169.56926 16599.44226 1.13220544 1.76411628 2.49639529 SV 9 -12476.45122 1129.69271 22997.07080 1.08705551 -2.81432689 0.74458878 SV 6 -4723.27050 -15665.03362 20728.03053 0.86631900 -2.52381980 -1.70849404 16427.17245 SV 8 -4701.62293 ~20299.84609 1.10545153 1.75565243 2.52086181 SV 9 -12574.27571 1374.64485 22928.69455 1.10598393 -2.79773574 0.79005190 SV11 -14173.36456 -22357.37167 4700.81060 0.15864975 0.57971322 3.35237656 2.62506983 SV12 -14383.03941 15831.36131 15663.98227 0.82001036 -1.79011870 SV13 -18973.53941 -14197.37576 -11953.81040 -1.05627014 -1.10152183 2.97536435 1989 5 14 0 0 0.0000000 SV 3 -16224.28157 -2558.45052 -21210.06122 -1.83557225 -1.88679822 1.60177777 SV 6 -4060.39827 -17874.02287 19013.44297 0.60838316 -2.37399948 -2.09611827 SV 8 -3572.24525 -18662.85582 18547.76332 1.40407201 1.87149519 2.18490712 SV 9 -11663.40131 -1208.57915 23431.91846 0.91286406 - 2.931999220.32668896 SV11 -13904.22284 -21714.20824 7670.62786 0.44448923 0.84169683 3.23811739 SV12 -13665.36180 14073.39596 17884.39087 0.76590609 -2.11087186 2.30226560 SV13 -19857.59475 -15035.21064 -9180.61508 -0.89876266 -0.76178903 3.17840736 EOF

Figure 1.--SP1 ASCII example.

*		
*		
*		
Columns	71-72	PRN #33 id0
Columns	73-74	PRN #34 id. 0
Columns	75-76	Coordinate Sys. 85
Columns	77-78	Hundreds GPS weeks 4
Columns	79-80	GPS weeks Mod 100 $\overline{8}7$

# SP1 Third Line (Epoch Header Line)

Columns	1-3	Symbols	_*
Column	4	Unused	•
Columns	5-8	4-digit year	1989
Column	9	Unused	-
Columns	10-11	Month	_5
Column	·12	Unused	_
Columns	13-14	Day of month	7
Column	15	Unused	-
Columns	16-17	Hour	<b>-</b> 0
Column	18	Unused	_
Columns	19-20	Minute	<b>-</b> 0
Column	21	Unused	
Columns	22-31	Second	_0.0000000

SP1 Fourth Line (Position-Velocity Line)

Columns	1-3	Symbols	_SV
Columns	4-5	Satellite id.	_3
Columns	6-18	x-coordinate (km)	13196.62895
Columns	19-31	y-coordinate (km)	1068.95680
Columns	32-44	z-coordinate (km)	-23275.89940
Columns	45-56	x-dot (km/sec)	1.69132152
Columns			2.34970379
Columns	69-80	z-dot (km/sec)	_0.81385518

The number of epochs (NUMEP) is given in the first line (\_\_\_673) and the number of satellites (NUMPRN) appears on the second line (\_7). Each epoch has an epoch header line (third line) and NUMPRN number of lines. After two header lines and NUMEP\*(NUMPRN+1) lines, there is an end of file line as follows:

SP1 Last Line

.

.

Columns 1-3	Symbol	EOF
Columns 4-80	77-character Comment	CCCCCCCC

The last 77 columns of the last line may be used as a free form comment. This comment, however, is informal in that it will not be embedded in the binary ECF1 format discussed below.

.

# Final SP1 Notes

The SP1 format accommodates periods of no position-velocity data for one or more satellites. For example, should PRN 6 die abruptly on Wednesday at noon in GPS week 555, the GPS week 555 SP1 orbit file will simply have zeros (i.e., 0.00000) placed in all position-velocity fields for the remainder of the week. The programs which create binary files account for this with a good/bad flag as will be discussed later.

An ephemeris file end time was intentionally omitted because it is easier to manually edit the file without it. In this way an SPl file can be reduced by deleting, for example, the last 50 epochs and reducing the first-line epoch count correspondingly. Notice, however, that the last epoch Gregorian date can be seen, in the file's last epoch header record, with a text editor or with a program which views the end of a file (e.g., the UNIX "tail" facility).

The columns 6, 19, 32, 45, 57, and 69 in the SPl position-velocity line have not been declared unused even though they would not be required for a highly elliptical geostationary orbit. This leaves open the possibility for orbits beyond 100,000 km. A lunar transmitter is an example. For all practical considerations, these columns will be unused.

ECF1 (Binary) Format (Refer to fig. 2.)

The Standard Product #1 ASCII file (SP1) can be converted into an associated binary file, which is called the ECF1 file (fig. 2). This file uses the executable program SP1\_ECF1.EXE, which will be discussed later. The ECF1 file contains all of the information that the SP1 file contains with the exception of the comment characters from the last SP1 file line. In fact, with the exception of those comment characters, the original SP1 file can be regenerated exactly from the ECF1 file using the executable program ECF1\_SP1.EXE, which will also be discussed later.

Binary files are interesting for three reasons. First, they tend to be smaller than ASCII text files and are sometimes much smaller. Second, and more important, is that when they are direct (or random) access files any record within the file can be retrieved without having to read the records before it. This gives a program a tremendous speed advantage over a regular sequential text file (where one cannot retrieve the 1,000th record without first reading the first 999 records). Third, ASCII numerical data must be ultimately converted to binary internal to the computer. This is a slow process. On the other hand, binary numerical data are already in machine binary form and require no conversion.

Thus associated with the SPl file is a binary direct access ECFl file. This binary file was also defined in 1985 but was not explicitly defined in the referenced 1985 report. Instead, it was imbedded in the NGS distribution programs. Here that format is presented. The ECFl format was designed with all records having the exact same number of bytes. This is not strictly necessary but is convenient for some programming languages (e.g., Fortran).

7

#1 #2 #3 #4 #4+@*nunprn+1 #4+@*nunprn+2	Funpra Pr. Pra[13] Pra[1	() Pra[15] Pr	ra[ 3] Pra[ 4] a[16] Pra[17]	Pra[ 5] Pra[ 6]	Prn[20] Prn[21] Prn[33] Prn[34] Prn_xiot[ 1]	Pra[ 9]         Pra[10]         P           Pra[22]         Pra[23]         1           Crisys         #H           Pra_yiot[ 1]	Prn[24] Prn[25] vil sparel Prn_zdot[ 1]
•	•	• •	• • •	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	a. •	• •	• ·
\$4+0*zazprz+zazprz \$4+1*zzzprz+1	Prn_flag[uunprn] Prn_flag[ 1]	Pra_x[auapra] Pra_x[ 1]	fra y (auapra) fra y [1]	Pra_z[auapra] Pr Pra_z[ 1]		Pra_ydot[auapra] Pr Pra_ydot[ 1]	ra_zdot[azapra] Pra_zdot[ 1]
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
{4+1*auapra+auapra {4+2*auapra+1	Pra_flag[auspra] Pra_flag[ 1]	Prn_x[sumprn] Prn_x[ 1]	Pra_y[auspra] Pra_y[ 1]	Pra_z[anapra] Pr Pra_z[ 1]		Pra_ydot[auapra] Pr Pra_ydot[ 1]	ra_zdot[auapra] Pra_zdot[ 1]
•	•	•	. • •	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
\$4+2*auapra+auapra 1	Pra_flag[auspra]	Pra_z[auapra]	Prs_y[susprs]	Pra_z(auspra) Pr 1	a_zdot(auapra)   z	?ra_ydot[auapra] Pi z	ra_sdot (auspra) s
1 1	1 1	1 1	\$ \$	1 1	1 1	t t	1 1
1	1	1	1 1	\$ \$	1	\$ \$	*
* \$4+{zzzep-1}*zzzprz+1	Prn_flag[ 1]	?rs_2[ 1]	Prn_y[ 1]	Prn_1[ 1]	* Pra_zdot[ 1]	a Pra_ydot[ 1]	s Pra_zdot[ 1]
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
	•	•	•	•	•	•	- 0

.

.

.

#4+aunep=nunprn Prn\_flag[nunprn] Prn\_x[nunprn] Prn\_y[nunprn] Prn\_z[aunprn] Prn\_zdot[nunprn] Prn\_zdot[aunprn] Prn\_zdot[aunprn]

.

•

Figure 2.--ECF1 binary format.

.

.

It is also convenient that (the size in bytes of) all ECF1 files are integer multiples of some constant (in this case 52 bytes); this can be an aid during the debug phase of program development.

.

.

```
ECF1 Record 1
```

Bytes	1-4	Year Start	4-byte	int
Bytes	5-8	Month Start	4-byte	int
Bytes	9-12	Day Start	4-byte	int
Bytes	13-16	Hour Start	4-byte	int
Bytes	17-20	Minute Start	4-byte	int
Bytes	21-28	Seconds Start	8-byte	float
Bytes	29-36	Epoch Interval (s)	8-byte	float
Bytes	37-40	Mod. Jul. Day St.	4-byte	int
Bytes	41-48	Fractional Day St.	8-byte	float
Bytes	49-52	Number of Epochs	4-byte	int

ECF1 Record 2

. •

Bytes Bytes Bytes	5-8	PRN #1	of PRNs identifier identifier	
	*		•	
	*			
	*			

Bytes	45-48	PRN #11	identifier	4-byte	int
Bytes	49-52	PRN #12	identifier	4-byte	int

ECF1 Record #3

Bytes Bytes				identifier identifier		
	*				•	
	*					
	*					
Bytes				identifier	-	
Bytes	49-52	PRN #	#25	identifier	4-byte	int

# ECF1 Record #4

Bytes Bytes			identifier identifier	-	
	· * *				

Bytes33-36PRN #34 identifier4-byte intBytes37-40Coordinate System4-byte intBytes41-44GPS Week Hundreds4-byte intBytes45-43GPS Week Mod 1004-byte intBytes49-52Spare A4-byte int

#### ECF1 Record #5 to the last record

Bytes.	1-4	Good/bad flag	4-byte int
Bytes	5-12	x-coordinate (km)	8-byte float
Bytes	13-20	y-coordinate (km)	8-byte float
Bytes	21-28	z-coordinate (km)	8-byte float
Bytes	29-36	x-dot (km/sec)	8-byte float
Bytes	37-44	y-dot (km/sec)	8-byte float
Bytes	45-52	z-dot (km/sec)	8-byte float

#### ECF1 Discussion

In the first three records all quantities are 4-byte integers except second, deltat (i.e., epoch interval), and fmjd (i.e., fractional day of ephemeris start); these are 8-byte real quantities or doubles. The ephemeris file start time is given in the first record in Gregorian and in Modified Julian Date. The "nepoch" and "numprn" parameters are self-explanatory as are the satellite identifiers "prn[1]" through "prn[34]." The "crdsys" parameter holds the coordinate system as defined in the SP1 file. The parameter "wkH" represents hundreds of GPS weeks, whereas "wkR" represents the remaining GPS weeks (i.e., GPS weeks modulo 100). These latter three parameters occupy the locations which were once for the identifiers of prn[35], prn[36], and prn[37]. The parameter "spare A," originally unused, now holds the "orbit type" and the "agency" when the SP1 file is converted to an ECF1 file.

Record 5, and all subsequent records, begins with a 4-byte integer "good/bad flag." As the ASCII to binary program SP1\_ECF1.EXE executes, it evaluates x\*x+y\*y+z\*z<1.0 meters-squared. If true, this good/bad flag is set to bad=1; otherwise it defaults to good=0. When the ECF1 file is used for data processing, this flag is checked. If the flag has been set to bad, any measurements which would normally require orbit data for this satellite at this epoch, cannot be processed and must be bypassed. This convention is important for two reasons: (1) It allows the ephemeris file to constit data for a satellite for less than the entire ephemeris file perio and (2) it enables one to concatenate consecutive orbit files having different satellite sets. After the good/bad flag are the positional data x, y, z (km) and the velocity data xdot, y-dot, z-dot (km/sec), which are 8-byte floats.

#### ECF1 I/O and Interpolation

The author of this report uses 9th order to 17th order Lagrangian interpolators (depending on epoch interval) to compute position or velocity values between the epochs contained in the ECF1 file. Figure 3 shows a 9th order Lagrangian interpolator along with the function to perform file access. In this example a caching scheme has been established to remove unnecessary

int ecf1\_1g9( int isv, long mjdt, double fmjdt, double recf[], double vecf[]) ecf1\_lg9 This function performs the orbit file access. It uses a caching scheme to avoid unnecessary file reads. The time is normalized in units of epoch intervals. An error message is returned if: the satellite is not in the file (3); the request is out of range early or late (1 or 2); or the orbit data good/bad flag is set to bad(4). Benjamin W. Remondi, Author long irn; static int iorder, iom1, iod2; static struct orbit\_header {
 long jyear; long imon; long iday; long ihr; long imin; double seci;
 double deltat; long mjds; double fmjds; long nepoch;
 long numsv; long idsv[37]; long sparea; } o\_h; if( initl == 0 ) {
 initl = 1; for(i=0; i<24; i++) {
 lread1[i] = -999;
 lerr[i] = 0;
 tzerol[i] = -999.0;</pre> iorder = 9; dtmin = 0 h.deltat/60.0; dnorm = 1.0; arcl = (o\_h.nepoch - 1)\*dnorm; iom1 = iorder - 1; iod2 = iorder/2; half = iod2\*dnorm; perday = 86400.0/o h.deltat; tfroms = ((mjdt - o\_h.mjds) + (fmjdt - o\_h.fmjds))\*perday; if( tfroms < (-dnorm) ) return(ierr = 1);
/\*if( tfroms > (arcl + half) ) return(ierr = 2);\*/
if( tfroms > (arcl + dnorm) ) return(ierr = 2);

Figure 3.--ECF1\_LG9: I/O and interpolation.

```
itype = 1;
  if( tfroms < half ) itype=2;
if( tfroms >= (arcl - half) ) itype = 3;
  for(ksv=0; ksv(o_h.numsv; ksv++) {
    if(o_h.idsv[ksv] == isv) goto I_KNOW_SAT;
  return(ierr = 3);
  I KNOW SAT:;
  switch(itype) {
                case 1: irefep = tfroms + 1 + dnorm*0.001;
    trefno = irefep - 1;
    tzero = trefno - iod2;
                        iread1 = 4 + ksv + (irefep - iod2 - 1)*o h.numsv;
         break;
case 2: iread1 = 4 + ksv;
                               tzero = 0;
         break;
case 3: iread1 = 4 + ksv + (o_h.nepoch - iom1 - 1)*o_h.numsv;
tzero = o_h.nepoch - iorder;
                               break:
  1
  if( lerr[ksv] == 0 ) {
  tnorm = tfroms - tzerol[ksv];
  if(abs(iread1-lread1[ksv])==0) {goto NO_NEED_2_READ;}
  ł
  tnorm = tfroms - tzero;
  tzerol[ksv] = tzero;
lread1[ksv] = iread1;
 for(i=0; i(iorder; i++) {
    irn = iread1 + o_h.numsv*i;
    fseek(fpecf1, (long)(irn*52L), 0);
    fread(&sat_vec, sizeof(sat_vec), 1, fpecf1);
    xx[ksv][i] = sat_vec.x;
    yy[ksv][i] = sat_vec.y;
    zz[ksv][i] = sat_vec.v;;
    vxx[ksv][i] = sat_vec.v;;
    vyy[ksv][i] = sat_vec.v;;
    vyy[ksv][i] = sat_vec.v;;
    if( sat_vec.flag == 1 ) ierr = 4;
    lerr[ksv] = ierr;
}
  1
NO_NEED_2_READ:;
  if( lerr[ksv] ) return(ierr);
 Lagrange_9(tnorm, xx[ksv], yy[ksv], zz[ksv], vxx[ksv], vyy[ksv], vzz[ksv],
&recf[0], &recf[1], &recf[2], &vecf[0], &vecf[1], &vecf[2] };
 return(ierr = 0);
```

Figure 3.--ECF1\_LG9: I/O and interpolation (continued).

ł Lagrange\_9: 9-th order Lagrange interpolator This routine is intentionally nongeneral to achieve speed. Developed by B. Remondi. The independent variable thorm must be normalized so that  $0.0 \le \text{tnorm} \le 8.0$  and represents the time of the desired data. Thus the position and velocity input arrays xin[]...vzin[] are assumed to be at t=0,1,...,8, respectively. This approach has allowed most of the computations to be done once and for all. More details are provided in my dissertation. pp. 63-65. Benjamin W. Remondi, Author \*\*\*\*\*\*/ static double t[9] =  $\{0.0, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0\};$ static double tolerance = 1.0e-10;static double c[9] =  $\{40320.0, -5040.0, 1440.0, -720.0, 576.0, -720.0, 1440.0, -5040.0, 40320\};$ int ii, exact\_int\_time; double prodn, prodd; exact int\_time = (int)( thorm + tolerance ); if( fabs(exact\_int\_time - thorm) < 2.0\*tolerance ) { if( abs(exact\_int\_time-4) > 4 ) printf("exact\_int\_time out of range.\n"); \*x = xin[ exact\_int\_time ]; \*y = yin[ exact\_int\_time ]; \*z = zin[ exact\_int\_time ]; \*vx = vxin[ exact\_int\_time ]; \*vy = vyin[ exact\_int\_time ]; \*vz = vzin[ exact\_int\_time ]; return; return; else {
 prodn = 1.0;
 \*x = \*y = \*z = 0.0;
 \*vx = \*vy = \*vz = 0.0;
 for (ii=0; ii<9; ii++) {
 prodn \*= tnorm - t[ii];
 prodd = 1.0/( c[ii]\*(tnorm-t[ii]) );
 \*x += xin[ii]\*prodd;
 \*y += yin[ii]\*prodd;
 \*z += zin[ii]\*prodd;
 \*vx += vxin[ii]\*prodd;
 \*vy += vyin[ii]\*prodd;
 \*vz += vzin[ii]\*prodd;
 \*vz += vzin[ii]\*prodd;
 }
}</pre> ] else [ \*x \*= prodn; \*y \*= prodn; \*z \*= prodn; \*vx \*= prodn; \*vy \*= prodn; \*vz \*= prodn; 

Figure 3.--ECF1\_LG9: I/O and interpolation (continued)

file reads. This makes the program somewhat larger since one needs to hold the 9 positions and velocities in memory for up to 24 satellites. This comes to 9\*6\*8\*24 = 10368 bytes. This can be halved to 5184-bytes by scaling the position and velocity values (e.g., 5 cm and 0.01 mm.sec) and storing them in 4byte integer arrays. For 30-minute ECF1 epochs and processing 5-second measurement epochs, more than 99 percent of the file reads would be avoided. This caching is of little use if the target computer provides disk caching, which today is often the case. In that case one can remove the caching and redundantly read the file on every request for orbital data.

NGS Programs Available for ECF1

Numerous NGS programs are available for use in the PC environment. Programs SP1\_ECF1.EXE and ECF1\_SP1.EXE have already been cited; others will be introduced later.

SP1\_ECF1.EXE This program converts an SP1 (ASCII) file to an ECF1 (binary) file. During the conversion the orbital data good/bad flags are set according to the discussion above.

ECF1\_SP1.EXE This program will convert an ECF1 (binary) file to an SP1 (ASCII) file. However this program is much more general. The user can select a different epoch interval, a different ephemeris period, and/or a different set of satellites. This gives the user the freedom to create ECF1 files suitable for his/her environment.

# Standard Product #2 (Position Only)

NGS Standard Product #2 was primarily defined as a 44-byte ASCII format (which will be referred to here as SP2). Implied, however, was an associated 28-byte binary format (which will be referred to here as ECF2) for direct or random access. The ECF2 file is generated by a NGS-available program SP2 ECF2.EXE. The ASCII format was intended to be a format of exchange whereas the binary format was considered a suggested applications format and one that NGS has often used in its routine operations. The binary format was not explicitly documented in the referenced 1985 publication. Rather, it was embedded in software available from NGS. Both the ASCII and the binary formats will be documented in what follows. Users may elect to use their own binary formats, but this provides the community with a means for binary exchange and a possible format that may be adopted. This ECF2 binary file has been favorably encouraged by NGS inasmuch as it is reasonably small, easily adaptable by all computer languages and programmers, and general in terms of the variety of orbital data that it will accommodate. One other binary format associated with Standard Product #2 is more compact and nearly as general. This is the EF13 binary format which will be introduced shortly. NGS considers the SP2 format to be the most practical ASCII distribution format in that the velocity data, which are explicitly included in the position-velocity format (SP1 or ECF1), can be accurately generated from the positional data by differentiation. (Later it will be shown that the binary EF13 file is an extremely efficient format for distribution and use.) NGS also provides the interpolator which interpolates position and derives accurate velocity values based on the ECF2 file. Thus the distribution of velocity data is not required. This issue will be examined in section II of this report. The user can convert the ECF2 file back to the SP2

14

ASCII format, if desired, by another program from NGS (ECF2\_SP2.EXE). NGS encourages users to adopt, promote, and suggest improvements to the SP2 ASCII format.

Since 1985 the following three minor enhancements have been made to SP2 (ASCII):

(1) In the first line, column 40, is a single character to describe the "Orbit Type." At this time only "F" (fitted), "E" (extrapolated or predicted), and "B" (broadcast) are defined. Naturally, others are possible.

(2) In the fourth line, columns 39 and 40, the 35th satellite identifier will be used for the "Coordinate System." Only two digits are allowed. At this time the following coordinate systems are defined. Naturally, others are possible. Naturally these formats will also work for inertial coordinate systems.

72 -- WGS-72 84 -- WGS-84 85 -- Earth-fixed 1985 (IERS)

(3) In the fourth line, columns 41 and 42, the 36th satellite identifier will be used for "Hundreds of GPS weeks." In columns 43 and 44 the 37th satellite identifier will be used for "GPS Weeks Modulo 100."

These changes will also be reflected in the binary formats discussed below. Otherwise this information would be lost when the program which converts the ASCII file to a binary file is executed. The NGS program which converts ASCII to binary will imbed these data in previously unused locations of the binary format.

Each line of the SP2 file has unique symbols in the leftmost three columns. For all but the last line the leftmost symbol is a blank. These symbols provide easy program checks for integrity of the format structure. They also permit ease of inspection by those who maintain and distribute SP2 files. UNIX (tm) facilities such as "grep" thus can provide easy inspection of one aspect of a file (e.g., grep 'SV13' NGS475.SP2) or one aspect of many files (e.g., grep '\_#\_' NGS\*.SP2, where '\_' represents a blank character).

SP2 (ASCII) Format (Refer to fig. 4.)

SP2 First Line

Columns	1-3	Symbols .	_#_
Column	4	Unused	
Columns	5-8	4-digit year	<b>1989</b>
Column	9	Unused	_
Columns	10-11	Month	_5
Column	12	Unused	_
Columns	13-14	Day of month	_7 ·
Column	15	Unused	_
Columns	16-17	Hour	_0

#	1	98	39			5		•	7		0	)	(	0		C	) <sup>.</sup> .	0	0	0	0(	00	00	)			I	6	7	3	1	F	1	N	55
##			9	0	0							0											0.												
+		7	1				3	(	6	8	3	9	1:	11	12	21	.3		0	1	0	(	0	0	)	0	ļ	0		0	(	0	(	0	(
++		0	0		0	(	0	(	0	C	)	0	(	0	(	)	0		0	ļ	0	(	D	0	)	0		0		0	8	5	4	61	B7
	1	98	39			5	-	•	7		0	)	(	0		C	).	0	0	0	00	00	DC	)											
	_		-1						-		-			-		-	-	-	-	-			BC		-	2	3	2'	7	5		8	9	94	40
SV	_				_	-	-	-				_			_																				
SV																																			
SV																																			
sv Sv1:																																4:			
SV1 SV1																											_	-	_	-		94			
			_	-	-	-	-	-		_	-	_			_	_		_	-	-	_														
SV1				-	-	-	-			-															-	1,		6	3	6	•	7:	1		1:
			39										T:	Ð		_	-	_	-	-						•	•	•	-	•		^		n 4	
SV	-		_	_	-	_	-	-			-	-		_		_	_	_	_	-		_	B 5	-											
SV																																7			
SV.																																			
SV	9	-	-1	2	4'	7(	б	• 4	45	51	.2	2			1	1	.2	9	• (	Б	92	27	71			2	2	9	9	7	• (	0'	7(		80
								•											•												•				
			••					•											•												•				
							,	•											•												٠				
							•	•											•												•				
SV (																																			
SV	8		-	4'	7(	0:	1.	. (	52	22	9	3	•	-2	20	)2	9	9		8	46	5(	09	)		1	6	4	2	7	•	1'	7 2	24	45
SV (	-		_	_	_	-	-		_	_	-	_			_		-	_	-	-				-			-		_	-	-		_		
5 <b>V1</b>																																8:			
SV1			-	_	_	-	_	-		-	_	_											31									91			
5V1.	-		_	-	-		-				_	_			_			-		_			76		-	1	1	9	5	3	•	8:	1(	04	40
			39											-		-	-	-	-	_			DC												
5V .	3	-	•1	6	2	2	4	• 4	28	31	.5	7		-	-2	25	55	8	•	4	50	)5	52	2	-	2	1	2	1	0	•	0(	5:	12	22
	-			_	-	_	-			_				_		-	-	_	-		_		B7			1	9	0	1	3	•	4	63	29	97
	0		-	3	5'	7	2	• 4	24	15	52	5	•	-1	18	36	6	2	1	8	55	58	B 2	2		1	8	5	4	7	•	7(	53	3:	32
	0			1	6	6	3	. 4	4 C	)1	.3	1		-	-1	.2	:0	8	•	5'	79	91	15	5		2	3	4	3	1	•	9:	1	B4	46
SV.	_	-	-1	<b>.</b>														4		2	08	82	24	L		•	7	6	7	^		<b>c</b> (	2	7 (	BE
SV SV SV SV1	9 1	-	-1	3	9(	D	4	• 4	22	22	28	4		-7	61	. /	_	_										0	1	v	• '	ο,	6		9 4
SV SV SV1 SV1	9 1 2	-	1	3 3	9( 6(	0 б!	5	•	36	51	. 8	0		1	14	10	)7	3	•	3	95	59	96	5								39			
SV SV SV1	9 1 2	-	1	3 3	9( 6(	0 б!	5	•	36	51	. 8	0		1	14	10	)7	3	•	3	95	59	96	5		1'	7	8	8	4	•		9(	D	B7

Figure 4.--SP2 ASCII example.

...

.

.

Unused Column 18 **-**0 Columns 19-20 Minute Column 21 Unused Columns 22-31 Second 0.0000000 Column 32 Unused 673 Columns 33-38 Number of epochs Column 39 Unused F Columns 40 Orbit type Column 41 Unused Columns 42-44 NGS Agency source SP2 Second Line Columns 1-3 Symbols ## Column Unused 4 Columns 5-18 Epoch interval (s) 900.0000000 Columns 19-21 Unused Columns 22-26 Mod. Jul. Day St. 47584 Columns 27-29 Unused Columns 30-44 Fractional Day SP2 Third Line Columns 1-3 Symbols Columns 4-5 · · Unused Columns 6-7 Number of PRNs Columns 8-10 Unused Columns 11-12 PRN #1 identifier 3 Columns · 13-14 PRN #2 identifier 6 \* \* . \* Columns 43-44 PRN #17 identifier 0 SP2 Fourth Line Columns 1-3 Symbols ++ Column 4 Unused Columns 5-6 PRN #18 identifier 0 \* \* PRN #34 identifier \_0 Columns 37-38 Columns 39-40 Coordinate System 85 Columns 41-42 GPS Week Hundreds 4 Columns 43-44 GPS Week Mod 100 87 SP2 Fifth Line (The Epoch Header Line) Columns 1-3 Symbols \_\*\_ Column 4 Unused 1989 Columns 5-8 4-digit year Column 9 Unused

Columns	10-11	Month	_5
Column	12	Unused	_
Columns	13-14	Day of month	_7
Column	15	Unused	_
Columns	16-17	Hour	_0
Column	18	Unused	
Columns	19-20	Minute	_0
Column	21	Unused	
Columns	22-31	Second	_0.0000000

SP2 Sixth Line (The Satellite Position Line)

Columns	1-3	Symbols	_SV
Columns	4-5	PRN identifier	_3
Columns	6-18	x-coordinate (km)	13196.62895
Columns	19-31	y-coordinate (km)	1068.95680
Columns	32-44	z-coordinate (km)	23275.89940

The number of epochs (NUMEP) is given in the first line (\_\_\_673) and the number of satellites (NUMPRN) is given in the third line (\_7). Each epoch has an epoch header line (fifth line above) and NUMPRN number of lines. After four header lines and NUMEP\*(NUMPRN+1) lines, there is an end of file line as follows:

SP2 Last Line

Columns 1-3	Symbols	EOF
Columns 4-44	41-character Comment	CCCCCCCC

The last 41 columns of the last line may be used as a free form comment. This comment, however, is informal in that it will not be imbedded in the binary ECF2 format discussed below.

Final SP2 Notes

The SP2 format accommodates periods of no positional data for one or more satellites. For example, should PRN 6 die abruptly on Wednesday at noon in GPS week 555, the GPS week 555 SP2 orbit file will simply have zeros (i.e., 0.00000) placed in all position fields for the remainder of the week. The programs which follow account for this with a good/bad flag as will be discussed later.

An ephemeris file end time was intentionally omitted because it is easier to manually edit the file without it. In this way an SP2 file can be reduced by deleting, for example, the last 50 epochs and reducing the first-line epoch count correspondingly. Notice, however, that the last epoch Gregorian date can be seen, in the file's last epoch header record, with a text editor or with a program which views the end of a file (e.g., the UNIX "tail" facility).

Just like the SPl format, columns 6, 19, and 32 have been declared as part of the x, y, z coordinates, respectively. Refer to the SPl discussion for additional details. There is a difference between a "Space Vehicle Number" and a "Pseudo Random Noise" code number. The use of the symbols SV is unfortunate and potentially confusing. For GPS the satellite identifiers to be used in the SP1 or SP2 formats (and their binary counterparts) are PRN numbers in spite of the "\_SV" symbols used. This will not be changed as we intend to avoid all changes which impact existing software unless there is no alternative.

ECF2 (Binary) Format (Refer to fig. 5.)

The Standard Product #2 ASCII file (SP2) can be converted into an associated binary file, which is called the ECF2 file. This file uses the executable program SP2\_ECF2.EXE which will be discussed later. The ECF2 file contains all of the information that the SP2 file contains with the exception of the 41 comment characters from the last SP2 file line. In fact, with the exception of those comment characters, the original SP2 file can be regenerated from the ECF2 file using the executable program ECF2\_SP2.EXE which will also be discussed later. An SP1 position-velocity ASCII file can also be generated from the ECF2 file. The positional data are reproduced exactly, and the velocity data would be almost perfectly reproduced (within 0.1 mm/sec).

Thus, associated with the SP2 file is a binary direct access ECF2 file. This binary file was also defined in 1985, but was not explicitly presented in the referenced 1985 report. Instead, it was imbedded in the NGS distribution programs. That format is given here. The ECF2 format was designed with all records having the exact same number of bytes. This is not strictly necessary but is convenient for some programming languages (e.g., Fortran). It is also convenient that all ECF2 files are integer multiples of a constant (in this case 28 bytes); this can be an aid during the debug phase of program development.

ECF2 Record #1

Bytes 1-4	Year Start	4-byte int
Bytes 5-8	Month Start	4-byte int
Bytes 9-12	Day Start	4-byte int
Bytes 13-16	Hour Start	4-byte int
Bytes 17-20	Minute Start	4-byte int
Bytes 21-28	Second Start	8-byte float

ECF2 Record #2

Bytes	1-8	Epoch interval (s)	8-byte	float
Bytes	9-12	Mod. Jul. Day St.	4-byte	int
Bytes	13-20	Fractional Day St.	8-byte	float
Bytes	21-24	Number of Epochs	4-byte	int
Bytes	25-28	Spare A	4-byte	int

#1	Year Month		ur Kimite	Second (8
12	Deltat(8) Mjd			
#3			3] Prn( 4] Pr	
<b>#4</b>	Prn[7] Prn[8]			
<b>4</b> 5	Prn[14] Prn[15]			
<b>46</b> <b>#</b> 7	Prn[21] Prn[22] Prn[28] Prn[29]			
#2 #8	Coordsys with			[2] [3] [4
#8+0*mmprp+1	Pm_flag[1]	Prn_x[.1]	$\frac{p_{m}}{y[1]}$	
\$8+0*numern+2	Prn_flag[2]	Pn[x[2]]	Pm_y[ 2]	Prn_z[ 2
IA . A. Weinhert . W		••••	114 <u>7</u> 1 41	
•	•	•	•	•
-		•	-	-
•		•	•	•
18+0-manpen+manpen	Prn_flag[mmprn]	Prn x[numprn]	Pro y[numpro]	Prn zimmern
\$8+1*mmpen+1	Prn_flag[1]	Prn_x[ 1]	Prn_y[1]	Prn z[ 1
•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	. •	•
•	•	•	•	•
\$8+1*numpern+numpern	, Prn_flag[numprn]			
#8+2*numprn+1	Prn_flag[ 1]	Prn_x[ 1]	Prn_y[ 1]	Prn_2[ 1
٠	•	•	٠	•
•	., •	•	•	٠
	Prn_flag[numprn]	· Drn winsmool		
*	t the second sec	* ************************************	*	* *************
*	*	*	*	*
*	*	- 🗶	*	*
*	*	. 🗰	*	*
*	*	*	*	. *
\$8+(numep-1)*numprn+1	Prn_flag[ 1]	Pro_x[ 1]	Prn_y[ 1]	Prn_2[ 1
•	•	•	•	• •
•	٠	• '	•	•
•	•	•_	Prn_y[mmprn]	•

.

Figure 5.--ECF2 binary format.

.

•

.

```
ECF2 Record #3
 Bytes1-4Number of PRNs4-byte intBytes5-8PRN #1 identifier4-byte intBytes9-12PRN #2 identifier4-byte int
         *
         *
         *
 Bytes 25-28 PRN #6 identifier 4-byte int
 ECF2 Record #4
 Bytes1-4PRN #7 identifier4-byte intBytes5-8PRN #8 identifier4-byte int
         *
         *
         *
 Bytes 25-28 PRN #13 identifier 4-byte int
 ECF2 Record #5
                        .
 Bytes1-4PRN #14 identifier 4-byte intBytes5-8PRN #15 identifier 4-byte int
         *
         *
         4
 Bytes 25-28 PRN #20 identifier 4-byte int
ECF2 Record #6
 Bytes1-4PRN #21 identifier 4-byte intBytes5-8PRN #22 identifier 4-byte int
         *
         *
         *
 Bytes 25-28 PRN #27 identifier 4-byte int
 ECF2 Record #7
 Bytes1-4PRN #28 identifier 4-byte intBytes5-8PRN #29 identifier 4-byte int
         *
         *
         *
 Bytes 25-28 PRN #34 identifier 4-byte int
 ECF2 Record #8
 Bytes1-4Coordinate System4-byte intBytes5-8GPS Week Hundreds4-byte int
```

```
21
```

Bytes	9-12	GPS Week Mod 100	4-byte int
Bytes	13-16	Spare B [1]	4-byte int
Bytes	17-20	Spare B [2]	4-byte int
Bytes	21-24	Spare B [3]	4-byte int
Bytes	25-28	Spare B [4]	4-byte int

# ECF2 Record #9 To The Last Record

Bytes	1-4	Good/Bad Flag	4-byte int
Bytes	5-12	x-coordinate (km)	8-byte float
Bytes		y-coordinate (km)	8-byte float
Bytes	21-28	z-coordinate (km)	8-byte float

# ECF2 Discussion

In the first eight records all quantities are 4-byte integers except second, deltat (i.e., epoch interval), and fmjd (i.e., fractional day of ephemeris start); these are 8-byte real quantities or doubles. The ephemeris file start time is given in the first record in Gregorian and in the second record as Modified Julian Date. The "nepoch" and "numprn" parameters are self-explanatory as are the satellite identifiers "prn[1]" through "prn[34]". The "coordsys" parameter holds the coordinate system as defined in the SP2 file. The parameter "wkH" represents hundreds of GPS weeks, whereas "wkR" represents the remaining GPS weeks (i.e., GPS weeks modulo 100). These latter three parameters occupy the locations which were once for the identifiers of prn[35], prn[36], and prn[37]. The parameter "spare a," originally unused, now holds the "orbit type" and the "agency" when the SP2 file is converted to an ECF2 file.

Record 9, and all subsequent records, begins with a 4-byte integer "good/bad flag." As the ASCII to binary program SP2\_ECF2.EXE executes, it evaluates x\*x+y\*y+z\*z<1.0 meters-squared. If true, this good/bad flag is set to bad=1; otherwise it defaults to good=0. When the ECF2 file is used for data processing, this flag is checked. If the flag has been set to bad, any measurements which would normally require orbit data for this satellite at this epoch, cannot be processed and must be ignored. This convention is important for two reasons: (1) It allows the ephemeris file to contain orbit data for a satellite for less than the entire ephemeris file period, and (2) it allows one to concatenate consecutive orbit files having different satellite sets. After the good/bad flag are the positional data x, y, z (km).

### ECF2 I/O and Interpolation

The author of this report uses 9th-order to 17th-order polynomial interpolators (depending on epoch interval) to compute positional values between the epochs contained in the ECF2 file. Velocity is obtained by differentiating the positional polynomial. Figure 6 shows a ninth-order polynomial interpolator along with the function to perform file access. In this example the polynomial coefficients for all satellites are stored once they are computed. This makes the program somewhat larger since one needs to hold 9 coefficients for each of x, y, and z in memory for up to 24 satellites. This comes to 9\*3\*8\*24 = 5184bytes. Given a 40-minute ECF2 file and processing 5-second measurement epochs, more than 99 percent of the file reads would be avoided. Storing these coefficients precludes the need to read the orbit file in those cases where the

int ecf2\_bwr9( int isv, long mjdt, double fmjdt, double recf[], double vecf[]) ecf2\_bwr9 This function performs the orbit file access. It uses a caching scheme to avoid unnecessary file reads. The time is normalized in units of epoch intervals. An error message is returned if: the satellite is not in the file (3); the request is out of range early or late (1 or 2); or the orbit data good/bad flag is set to bad(4). Benjamin W. Remondi, Author char comp coef; static double xx[9], yy[9], zz[9]; register int i, j; static int lreadl[24], lerr[24]; static int initl = 0; static double tzerol[24]; static double tzerol[24]; char orbit\_filename[80]; double tfroms, thorm, trefno, tzero; static double dtmin, dnorm, arcl, half, perday; int ierr=0, itype, ksv, irefep, ireadl; long irn; char\_comp\_coef; int left=0, trype, msv, leftep, flead, long in; static int iorder, iom1, iod2; static struct orbit\_header { long jyear; long imon; long iday; long ihr; long imin; double seci; double deltat; long mjds; double fmjds; long nepoch; long sparea; long numsv; long idsv[37]; long spareb[4]; 1 0 struct sat\_fxyz { long flag; double x; double y; double z; } sat\_vec; if( init1 == 0 ) { initl = 1;for(i=0; i<24; i++) {
 lread1[i] = -999;
 lerr[i] = 0;
 tzerol[i] = -999.0;</pre> fread( &o\_h, sizeof(o\_h), 1, fpecf2); iorder = 9; dtmin = o h.deltat/60.0; dnorm = 1.0; arcl = (o\_h.nepoch - 1)\*dnorm; iom1 = iorder - 1; iod2 = iorder/2; half = iod2\*dnorm; perder = 96400 0/c h deltat; perday = 86400.0/o\_h.deltat; 1 tfroms = ((mjdt - o\_h.mjds) + (fmjdt - o\_h.fmjds))\*perday; if( tfroms < (-dnorm) ) return(ierr = 1);
/\*if( tfroms > (arcl + half) ) return(ierr = 2);\*/
if( tfroms > (arcl + dnorm) ) return(ierr = 2);

Figure 6.--ECF2\_BWR9: I/O and interpolation.

```
itype = 1;
if( tfroms < half ) itype=2;
if( tfroms >= (arcl - half) ) itype = 3;
   for(ksv=0; ksv(o_h.numsv; ksv++) {
    if(o_h.idsv[ksv] == isv) goto I_KNOW_SAT;
   return(ierr = 3);
   I_KNOW_SAT:;
   switch(itype) {
                   case 1: irefep = tfroms + 1 + dnorm*0.001;
    trefno = irefep - 1;
    tzero = trefno - iod2;
                           iread1 = 8 + ksv + (irefep - iod2 - 1)*o_h.numsv;
           break;
case 2: iread1 = 8 + ksv;
                                   tzero = 0;
          break;
case 3: iread1 = 8 + ksv + (o_h.nepoch - iom1 - 1)*o_h.numsv;
tzero = o_h.nepoch - iorder;
   comp_coef = 't';
  if( lerr[ksv] == 0 ) {
   tnorm = tfroms - tzerol[ksv];
   if(abs(iread1-lread1[ksv])==0) {comp_coef = 'f'; goto NO_NEED_2_READ;}
   ł
  tnorm = tfroms - tzero;
tzerol[ksv] = tzero;
lread1[ksv] = iread1;
                                                                                                                            •
 for(i=0; i(iorder; i++) {
    irn = ireadl + o h.numsv*i;
    fseek(fpecf2; (long)(irn*28L), 0);
    fread(&sat_vec, sizeof(sat_vec), 1, fpecf2);
    xx[i] = sat_vec.x;
    yy[i] = sat_vec.y;
    zz[i] = sat_vec.z;
    if( sat_vec.flag == 1 ) ierr = 4;
    lerr[ksv] = ierr;
}
  ł
                                                                                                         .
NO_NEED 2 READ::
if( lerr[ksv] ) return(ierr);
bwr_9th(tnorm, &recf[0], &vecf[0], XX, dtmin, comp_coef, coefx[ksv]);
bwr_9th(tnorm, &recf[1], &vecf[1], yy, dtmin, comp_coef, coefy[ksv]);
bwr_9th(tnorm, &recf[2], &vecf[2], zz, dtmin, comp_coef, coefz[ksv]);
  return(ierr = 0);
11
```

Figure 6.--ECF2\_BWR9: I/O and interpolation (continued).

```
11
       **********************
                 th: A 9-th order polynomial interpolator where
the 9 equations in 9 unknowns have been solved
symbolically rather than by least-squares. Once the
polynomial is fit to the provided 9 points, the
position is computed. Similarly the velocity is
computed from the differentiated polynomial. In
practice the polynomial coefficients rarely change
          bwr_9th:
                 practice the polynomial coefficients rarely change
and the file I/O program is responsible to inform
this function through the comp_coef parameter.
  .
                                    Benjamin W. Remondi, Author
      void compute_odd_or_even_coeff( double *alpha, double *a);
double alpha[4], beta[4], t1, t2, t3, t4, t5, t6, t7, t8;
 register int ii;
 if( comp_coef =='t' ) {
    for(ii=1; ii(=4; ii++) {
        alpha[ii-1] = ( x[4+ii]+x[4-ii]-2.0*x[4] )/(2.0*ii*ii);
        beta[ii-1] = ( x[4+ii]-x[4-ii] )/(2.0*ii);
     a[0] = x[4];
compute_odd_or_even_coeff( alpha, a+5);
compute_odd_or_even_coeff( beta, a+1);
 ł
 t1 = tin-4.0;
t6 = t3*t3;
                    t2 = t1*t1;
t7 = t4*t3;
                                      t3 = t2*t1;
                                                      t4 = t2*t2; t5 = t4*t1;
                                      t8 = t4 * t4
 *fout = a[0] + a[1]*t1 + a[5]*t2 + a[2]*t3 + a[6]*t4 + a[3]*t5 + a[7]*t6 + a[4]*t7 + a[8]*t8;
 *vfout = a[1] + 2.0*a[5]*t1 + 3.0*a[2]*t2 + 4.0*a[6]*t3 + 5.0*a[3]*t4 + 6.0*a[7]*t5 + 7.0*a[4]*t6 + 8.0*a[8]*t7;
 *vfout /= dtmin*60.0;
void compute_odd_or_even_coeff( double q[], double a[])
               ************************
          compute_odd_or_even_coeff: This function simply computes
the coefficients for bwr_9th. The equations for
computing the odd and even coefficients are
exactly the same ones.
        Benjamin W. Remondi, Author
 double r1, r2, r3, s1, s2;
a[1] = r1-5.0*a[2]-21.0*a[3];
```

Figure 6.--ECF2\_BWR9: I/O and interpolation (continued).

data read would be the same set as previously read for a given satellite. This also precludes the need to recompute the polynomial coefficients in those cases where the coefficients would not change. This, in fact, is the usual situation. For example, when processing 5-second measurements and using a 40-minute ECF2 file, the ECF2 file will be read and the polynomial coefficients will be computed only once per 360 measurement epochs. It should be added that saving the polynomial coefficients is not required and when this feature is removed the interpolation is still quite fast. This is especially so if either caching or RAM-disk facilities is provided.

NGS Programs Available for ECF2

Numerous NGS programs are available for use in the PC environment. Programs SP2\_ECF2.EXE and ECF2\_SP2.EXE have already been cited; JOINECF2.EXE will be introduced here and others will be introduced later.

SP2\_ECF2.EXE This program converts an SP2 (ASCII) file to an ECF2 (binary) file. During the conversion the orbital data good/bad flags are set according to the discussion above.

ECF2\_SP2.EXE This program will convert an ECF2 (binary) file to an SP2 (ASCII) file. However this program is much more general. The user can select a different epoch interval, a different ephemeris period, and/or a different set of satellites. This gives the user the freedom to create ECF2 files suitable for his/her environment.

JOINECF2.EXE This program allows two consecutive ECF2 files to be combined into one ECF2 file. This can be useful in solving the week crossover problem and for creating a customized GPS orbital data base. For example, the user could elect to temporarily combine weeks 555 and 556 into file ECF2BIN. One could then run ECF2\_SP2.EXE to create an 8-day file for week 556 comprising the union of the set of satellites of weeks 555 and 556 and extending from Saturday, August 25, 1990 at 0 hours to Sunday, September, 2 1990 at 0 hours. This is only one example as there are numerous possibilities. With the very compact EF13 binary files to be introduced below one could actually create annual orbit files! More will be said later with regard to the GPS-week boundaries.

> EF13--A Compact Alternative to ECF2 (A 13-byte binary format; refer to fig. 7.)

What is the minimum space required to store the information content of 1 week of orbital data while maintaining full accuracy and still providing the data good/bad flag? From a practical point of view the following 13-byte format is the answer to this question. Whereas the ECF1 (binary) file requires 838,864 bytes of disk storage for 1 week of 24 satellites based on a 15-minute epoch interval, the EF13 (binary) file requires a mere 209,768 bytes. It will be shown in the next section that, with an 11th point interpolator, approximately 0.01-0.02 ppm static differential GPS surveys can be performed with an EF13 binary file using a 40-minute epoch spacing. (With a 17th order interpolator and a 40-minute EF13 file, this becomes 1 part per billion.) This would reduce the 209,768 bytes to 78,728 bytes. This is 1/17th the size of the current SP1 ASCII file, based on a 15-minute epoch interval (1,311,232 bytes), and demonstrates why the questions of file design, epoch spacing, and interpolation algorithm deserve to be studied. In fact, the epoch interval was 5 minutes until a limited study, performed by the author in 1985, indicated that a 20minute epoch interval was as accurate as a 300-second epoch interval. These issues will be considered shortly. Here we present the 13-byte binary format. (See fig. 7.)

```
EF13 Record #1
```

Byte	`3 4 5	Year Start Month Start Day Start Hour Start Minute Start Number of Epochs Number of PRNs Spare A	2-byte int 1-byte char 1-byte char 1-byte char 1-byte char 4-byte int 1-byte char 2 1-byte char				
EF13 Record #2							
Bytes Bytes	1-8 9-13 ···	Second Start Spare B	8-byte float 5 l-byte char				
EF13 Record #3							
Bytes Bytes	1-8 9-13	Epoch interval (s) Spare C	8-byte float 5 l-byte char				
EF13 Record #4							
Bytes Bytes Byte	5-12	Mod. Jul. Day St. Fractional Day St. Spare D					
EF13 Record #5							
Byte Byte		PRN #1 identifier PRN #2 identifier * *	l-byte char l-byte char				
Byte	13	* PRN #13 identifier	1-byte char				
EF13 Record #6							
Byte Byte	1 2	PRN #14 identifier PRN #15 identifier					

#1 #2 #3 #4 #5 #6 #7 #8	Second (8) Deltat (8) Mjd_start (4) Fnjd Prn01 Prn02 Prn03 Pr Prn14 Prn15 Prn16 Pr Prn27 Prn28 Prn29 Pr	y(1) Hr(1) Min Lstart(8) m04 Prn05 Prn06 Prn0 m17 Prn18 Prn19 Prn2 m30 Prn31 Prn32 Prn3 K(1) wkR(2)	7 Prn08 Prn09 Prn10 0 Prn21 Prn22 Prn23	Spareb (5) Sparec (5) Spared (1) Prn11 Prn12 Prn13
#8+0*numprn+1	Prn_flag[ 1] (1)	Prn_x[ 1] (4)	Prn_y[ 1] (4)	Prn_z[1](4)
#8+0*numprn+2	Prn_flag[ 2] (1)	Prn_x[ 2] (4)	Prn_y[ 2] (4)	Prn_z[2](4)
•	•	•	•	•
•	. •	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	• •
<b>\$8+0*magara+numpra</b> <b>\$8+1*numpra+1</b>	Prn_flag[numprn] (1) Prn_flag[ 1] (1)	Prn_x[numprn] (4) Prn_x[ 1] (4)	Prn_y[mmprn] (4) Prn_y[ 1] (4)	Prn_z[numprn] (4) Prn_z[ 1] (4)
•	•	•	•	•
•	· . •	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•
#8+1*numprn+numprn #8+2*numprn+1	Prn_flag[numprn](1) Prn_flag[1](1)	Prn_x[numprn] (4) Prn_x[ 1] (4)	Prn_y[numprn] (4) Prn_y[ 1] (4)	Prn_z[mmprn] (4) Prn_z[ 1] (4)
•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	٠	•
#8+2*numprn+numprn . *	Prn_flag[numprn] (1) *	Prn_x[numprn] (4) *	Prn_y(mmprn] (4)	Prn_z[numprn] (4) *
*	*	*	*	*
*	*	*	*	*
*	*	*	*	*
*	*	*	*	*
*	*	*	*	*
#8+ (numep-1) *numpro+	1 Prn flag[ 1](1)	Prn_x[ 1] (4)	Prn_y[ 1] (4)	Prn_z[ 1] (4)
•	•	•	•	• - • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•
\$81mmep*numprn	Prn_flag[numprn] (1)	Prn_x[numprn] (4)	Pro_y[numprn] (4)	Pro_z[numpro] (4)

Figure 7.--EF13 binary format.

· ·

PRN #26 identifier 1-byte char Byte 13 EF13 Record #7 PRN #27 identifier 1-byte char Byte 1 PRN #28 identifier 1-byte char Byte 2. \* \* \* Byte 8 PRN #34 identifier 1-byte char Bytes 9-13 5 1-byte char Spare E EF13 Record #8 Byte 1 Coordinate System 1-byte char GPS Week Hundreds Byte 2 1-byte char GPS Week Mod. 100 1-byte char Byte 3 10 1-byte char Bytes 4-13 Spare F EF13 Record #9 to the Last Record Ambie Cood/Red Elen 1 huma about

byte 1	UTDIT GOOD/DAD FIAg	1-byte char
Bytes 2-5	x-coordinate (5 cm)	4-byte int
Bytes 6-9	y-coordinate (5 cm)	4-byte int
Bytes 10-13	z-coordinate (5 cm)	4-byte int

# EF13 Discussion ·

In the first eight records all quantities are 1-byte integers except: second, deltat (i.e., epoch interval), and fmjd (i.e., fractional day of ephemeris start) which are 8-byte real quantities or doubles; year (2-byte int); nepoch (4-byte int); and mjd\_start (4-byte int). The ephemeris file start time is given in the first and second records in Gregorian and in the fourth record as Modified Julian Date. The "nepoch" and "numprn" parameters in the first line are self-explanatory as are the satellite identifiers "prn[1]" through "prn[34]". The "coordsys" parameter holds the coordinate system as defined in the SP2 file. The parameter "wkH" represents hundreds of GPS weeks, whereas "wkR" represents the remaining GPS weeks (i.e., GPS weeks modulo 100). These latter three parameters occupy the locations which were once prn[35], prn[36], and prn[37]. The parameter "spareb[5]" holds the "orbit type" and the "agency" in the first four bytes when the SP2 file is converted to an EF13 file.

Record 9 and all subsequent records begin with a 1-byte integer "good/bad flag." As the ASCII to binary program SP2\_EF13.EXE executes, it evaluates x\*x+y\*y+z\*z<1.0 meters-squared. If true, this good/bad flag is set to bad-1; otherwise it defaults to good=0. When the EF13 file is used for data processing, this flag is checked. If the flag has been set to bad, any measurements that would normally require orbit data for this satellite at this epoch cannot be processed and must be ignored. This convention is important for two reasons: (1) It allows the ephemeris file to contain orbit data for a satellite for less than the entire ephemeris file period; and (2) It allows one to concatenate consecutive orbit files having different satellite sets. Following the good/bad flag are the positional data x, y, z (km).

EF13 I/O and Interpolation

The author of this report uses 9th-order to 17th-order polynomial interpolators (depending on epoch interval) to compute positional values between the epochs contained in the EF13 file. Velocity is obtained by differentiating the positional polynomial thus generated. Refer to the ECF2 discussion earlier on avoiding nearly all reads. The only difference, here, is that one has to scale (i.e., divide by 20000.0 or multiply by 0.00005) the positional values following each EF13 file read. These extra three multiplies are of negligible computational consequence.

NGS Programs Available for EF13

NGS programs are available for use in the PC environment. Programs SP2\_EF13.EXE, EF13\_SP2.EXE and JOINEF13.EXE are available.

SP2\_EF13.EXE This program converts an SP2 (ASCII) file to an EF13 (binary) file. During the conversion the orbital data good/bad flags are set according to the discussion earlier. Also the x, y, and z coordinate vales are rounded to the nearest 5 cm (i.e., by multiplying by 20000.0 and then rounding).

EF13\_SP2.EXE This program will convert an EF13 (binary) file to an SP2 (ASCII) file. However, this program is much more general. The user can select a different epoch interval, a different ephemeris period, and/or a different set of satellites. This gives the user the freedom to create EF13 files suitable for his/her environment.

JOINEF13.EXE This program is similar to JOINECF2.EXE introduced earlier but operates on EF13 binary files.

NOTE: There are other NGS programs for converting orbital data among the various formats discussed in this section. ECF2\_SP1.EXE, ECF1\_SP2.EXE and SP1\_ECF2.EXE are examples.

### SECTION II: INTERPOLATION ACCURACY AND FILE SIZE

The following three primary questions will be answered in this section: What is the required epoch interval as a function of the order of the interpolator to achieve a given accuracy? Is it necessary to include velocities in the orbit file or can velocities be derived accurately from positional data? Will the 13byte binary format provide the same accuracy level as the other binary formats? To answer these questions we start with an orbit file that is considered to be the truth. From this truth file we create a test file with a greater epoch interval. Finally we request positions or velocities (e.g., every minute) from both files and compare them. This approach compares orbital positions and velocities directly. These two files are then compared over a 140-km baseline by comparing single-difference ranges and range-rates. Another comparison technique, albeit used sparingly, is to process a 75-km baseline with the truth and the test files and compare the baseline vector solutions and the integer ambiguities. In all cases means and standard deviations are computed based on absolute values of differences.

Position Study (Absolute)

## Case I

The truth file in this case has a 42.1875 second epochs (i.e., one 2048th of a day). We consider seven subcases, A-G. For this case two satellites are studied: PRN 3 and PRN 13. Results are given in meters unless otherwise stated. Comparisons are presented as A, C, R (i.e., along track, cross track, radial). The comparison is done at 5-minute epochs for approximately four orbital revolutions. In all cases means and standard deviations of absolute values of differences are presented.

	9th order interpolator cases A-E						
	B. Epoch C. Epoch D. Epoch	Epoch interval = 21*42.1875 = 885.9375 seconds Epoch interval = 32*42.1875 = 1350.0 seconds Epoch interval = 36*42.1875 = 1518.75 seconds Epoch interval = 1800 seconds Epoch interval = 2400 seconds					
	11th order	interpolat	or cases F	-G			
		interval = interval =					
Subcase A) (9th)	42.1875	seconds ver	sus 885.93	75 seconds	;		
	PRN 3	A, C, R:	0.004 m	0.003 m	0.004 m		
		Std Dev:	0.003 m	0.002 m	0.003 m		
	PRN 13	A, C, R: Std Dev:					
Subcase B) (9th)	42.1875	seconds ver	sus 1350.0	seconds			
()()	PRN 3	A, C, R: Std Dev:					
	PRN 13	A, C, R: Std Dev:					

Subcase C) (9th)	42.1875	42.1875 seconds versus 1518.75 seconds						
(900)	PRN 3	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.071 m 0.062 m	0.080 m 0.066 m	0.060 m 0.055 m			
		A, C, R: Std Dev:						
Subcase D) (9th)	42.1875	seconds ve	rsus 1800 <sub>.</sub> (	)0 seconds				
(901)	PRN 3	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.214 m 0.285 m	0.355 m 0.306 m	0.268 m 0.256 m			
	PRN 13	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.287 m 0.233 m	0.273 m 0.249 m	0.238 m 0.182 m			
Subcase E) (9th)	42.1875	seconds ve:	rsus 2400.0	00 seconds				
(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	PRN 3	A, C, R: Std Dev:	4.021 m 3.531 m	4.552 m 3.859 m	3.433 m 3.206 m			
	PRN 13	A, C, R: Std Dev:	3.662 m 3.947 m	3.631 m 3.149 m	3.087 m 2.330 m			
Subcase F) (11th)	42.1875	seconds ve	rsus 1800.0	00 seconds				
(IICN)	PRN 3	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.015 m 0.014 m	0.021 m 0.020 m	0.016 m 0.018 m			
	PRN 13	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.010 m 0.008 m	0.010 m 0.009 m	0.009 m 0.007 m			
Subcase G) (11th)	42.1875	seconds ve	rsus 2400.0	00 seconds				
	PRN 3	A, C, R: Std Dev:		0.441 m 0.414 m				
	PRN 13	• •	0.209 m 0.172 m					

Conclusions from Case I: For 0.01 - 0.02 ppm accuracy, a 9th order interpolator and a 30-minute epoch interval (i.e., Subcase D) will suffice. Alternatively, for 0.01 - 0.02 ppm accuracy, an 11th order interpolator and a 40-minute epoch interval (i.e., Subcase G) will suffice.

To avoid confusion, it should be stated that 0.01 ppm absolute position accuracy implies approximately 0.01 \* 10\*\*-6 \* 26,000,000 m. A 0.01 ppm absolute error will cause, approximately, a 0.01 ppm baseline length error. For example, a 0.26 m absolute position error will yield, approximately, a 0.14 cm baseline length error over a 140 km baseline. Case II

The truth file in this case has exactly 60-second epochs. This file was generated from broadcast messages. We consider eight subcases, A-H. For this case satellites 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13 are studied. Results are given in meters unless otherwise stated. Comparisons are presented as A, C, R (i.e., along track, cross track, radial). In this case the comparison period is approximately 0.5 orbital revolutions; this leads to wider variations between components and satellites than when the averaging is done over one or more revolutions. In all cases means and standard deviations of absolute values of differences are given.

9th order interpolator cases A-E

A.	Epoch	interval	-	900	seconds
B.	Epoch	interval	=	1200	seconds
C.	Epoch	interval	-	1440	seconds
D.	Epoch	interval	-	1800	seconds
E.	Epoch	interval	=	2400	seconds

11th order interpolator cases F-H

F.	Epoch	interval	-	1440	seconds
G.	Epoch	interval	-	1800	seconds
		interval			

Subcase A) (9th)	60 secor	nds versus !	900 seconds	l .	
	PRN 6	A, C, R: Std Dev:			0.003 m 0.002 m
	PRN 8	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.003 m 0.003 m	0.003 m 0.002 m	
	PRN 9	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.003 m 0.003 m		
	PRN 11	A, C, R: Std Dev:			0.003 m 0.002 m
	PRN 12	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.003 m 0.003 m		
	PRN 13	A, C, R: Std Dev:			0.003 m 0.002 m
Subcase B)	60 secor	nds versus i	1200 second	is	
(9th)	PRN 6	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.007 m 0.006 m		

	PRN 8	A, C, R: Std Dev:		0.009 m 0.006 m	
	PRN 9	A, C, R: Std Dev:		0.008 m 0.008 m	
	PRN 11	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.009 m 0.006 m	0.011 m 0.010 m	
	PRN 12	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.007 m 0.007 m		
	PRN 13	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.007 m 0.006 m		
Subcase C) (9th)	60 secon	nds versus l	1440 second	İs	
	PŔN 6		0.036 m 0.025 m		
	PRN 8	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.034 m 0.032 m	0.040 m 0.028 m	0.029 m 0.020 m
	PRN 9	A, C, R: Std Dev:			
	PRN 11	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.045 m 0.030 m		
	PRN 12	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.031 m 0.035 m	0.042 m 0.030 m	0.026 m 0.018 m
	PRN 13	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.038 m 0.030 m		0.032 m 0.024 m
•	60 secon	ds versus i	1800 second	ls	
(9th)	PRN 6	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.268 m 0.189 m	0.227 m 0.257 m	
	PRN 8		0.244 m 0.232 m		
	PRN 9		0.169 m 0.143 m		
	PRN 11	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.320 m 0.212 m		
	PRN 12	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.212 m 0.240 m		

.

PRN 13 A, C, R: 0.278 m 0.261 m 0.241 m Std Dev: 0.218 m 0.236 m 0.176 m

Subcase E) 60 seconds versus 2400 seconds (9th) PRN 6 A, C, R: 4.40 m 1.57 m 3.38 m

	Std Dev:	2.47 m	1.82 m	2,42 m
PRN 8	A, C, R:	1.74 m		2.82 m
	Std Dev:	1.69 m	2.65 m	1.88 m
PRN 9	A, C, R:	1.73 m	2.89 m	2.48 m
	Std Dev:	1.48 m	2.79 m	1.89 m
PRN 11	A, C, R:			
	Std Dev:	1.91 m	4.91 m	3.80 m
PRN 12	A, C, R:		4.53 m <sup>°</sup>	2.57 m
	Std Dev:	1.48 m	2.92 m	<b>1.77 m</b>
PRN 13	A, C, R:			
	Std Dev:	2.91 m	2.10 m	2.22 m

Subcase F (11th)

. .

.

.

.

.

-

Subcase F) 60 seconds versus 1440 seconds

PRN 6	A, C, R:	0.003 m	0.003 m	0.003 m
	Std Dev:	0.003 m	0.003 m	0.002 m
PRN 8	A, C, R:	0.003 m	0.003 m	0.003 m
	Std Dev:	0.002 m	0.003 m	0.002 m
PRN 9	A, C, R:	0.003 m	0.003 m	0.003 m
	Std Dev:	0.002 m	0.003 m	0.002 m
PRN 11	A, C, R:	0.003 m	0.004 m	0.003 m
	Std Dev:	0.002 m	0.003 m	0.003 m
PRN 12	A, C, R:	0.004 m	0.003 m	0.003 m
	Std Dev:	0.003 m	0.003 m	0.002 m
PRN 13	A, C, R:	0.003 m	0.003 m	0.003 m
	Std Dev:	0002 m	0.002 <sub>m</sub>	0.002 m

Subcase G) (11th)	60 seconds versus 1800 seconds						
	PRN 6		0.008 m 0.006 m		0.010 m 0.008 m		
	PRN 8		0.007 m 0.008 m		0.007 m 0.005 m		

.

	PRN 9	A, C, R: Std Dev:			
	PRN 11	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.013 m 0.015 m	0.026 m 0.022 m	0.021 m 0.020 m
	PRN 12	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.007 m 0.009 m	0.012 m 0.013 m	0.007 m 0.005 m
	PRN 13	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.007 m 0.006 m	0.008 m 0.008 m	0.010 m 0.007 m
Subcise H)	60 second	ds versus 24	400 seconds	5	
(11th)	PRN 6	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.198 m 0.123 m	0.073 m 0.068 m	0.200 m 0.182 m
	PRN 8	A, C, R: Std Dev:			
	PRN 9	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.053 m 0.047 m	0.151 m 0.150 m	0.206 m 0.231 m
	PRN 11	A, C, R: Std Dev:			
	PRN 12	A, C, R: Std Dev:			
	PRN 13	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.240 m 0.162 m	0.093 m 0.114 m	0.205 m 0.136 m

Conclusions based on Case II: The conclusions are the same as in Case I except that Case I, Subcase G is comparable to Case II, Subcase H.

#### Case III

The truth file in this case has exactly 900 seconds. We consider Bix subcases, A-F. For this case satellites 3, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13 are studied. Results are given in meters unless otherwise stated. Comparisons are presented as A, C, R (i.e., along track, cross track, radial). In this case the comparison period is approximately four orbital revolutions. This leads to similar results for components and satellites. In all cases means and standard deviations of absolute values are presented.

9th order interpolator cases A-D

A. Epoch interval = 1200 seconds
B. Epoch interval = 1440 seconds
C. Epoch interval = 1800 seconds
D. Epoch interval = 2400 seconds

11th order interpolator cases E-F							
	E. Epoch F. Epoch	interval - interval -	1800 secon 2400 secon	nds nds			
Subcase A)	900 seco	onds versus	1200 secon	nds			
(9th)	PRN 3	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.009 m 0.008 m	0.010 m 0.009 m	0.008 m 0.009 m		
	PRN 6	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.008 m 0.007 m	0.008 m 0.008 m	0.007 m 0.005 m		
	PRN 8	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.008 m 0.007 m	0.008 m 0.007 m	0.008 m 0.008 m		
	PRN 9	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.008 m 0.009 m	0.008 m 0.009 m	0.007 m 0.006 m		
	PRN 11	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.009 m 0.008 m	0.010 m 0.009 m	0.009 m 0.010 m		
		A, C, R: Std Dev:					
	· PRN 1.3	A, C, R: Std Dev:					
Subcase B) (9th)	900 seco	nds versus	1440 secon	nds			
() ===	PRN 3	A, C, R: Std Dev:					
	PRN 6	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.041 m 0.033 m				
	PRN 8	A, C, R: Std Dev:					
	PRN 9	A, C, R: Std Dev:					
	PRN 11	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.043 m 0.041 m				
	PRN 12	A, C, R: Std Dev:					
	PRN 13	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.039 m 0.031 m				

. .

.

37

	C) 900	second	s versus	1800 seco	nds	
(9th)	PRN				0.346 m 0.306 m	0.267 m 0.253 m
	PRN			0.293 m 0.253 m	0.275 m 0.271 m	0.243 m 0.191 m
	PRN	8 <u> </u>	, C, R: td Dev:	0.290 m 0.253 m	0.290 m 0.256 m	0.290 m 0.196 m
	PRN				0.308 m 0.321 m	
	<b>PRN</b> 	11 A S	, C, R: td Dev:	0.310 m 0.309 m	0.344 m 0.308 m	
	PRN	12 A S	, C, R: td Dev:	0.294 m 0.282 m	0.309 m 0.276 m	0.247 m 0.215 m
	PRN	13 A · · S	, C, R: td Dev:	0.281 m 0.232 m	0.270 m 0.249 m	0.231 m 0.184 m
Subcase (9th)	D) 900	second	s versus	2400 seco	nds	
() [	PRN				4.50 m 3.80 m	
	PRN	6 A St	, C, R: td Dev:	3.79 m 3.18 m	3.64 m 3.42 m	3.15 m 2.48 m
	PRN	8 A . S	, C, R: td Dev:	3.74 m 3.18 m	3.81 m 3.26 m	3.14 m 2.49 m
	PRN				4.00 m 4.03 m	
	PRN				4.42 m 3.88 m	
	PRN				4.03 m 3.52 m	
	PRN				3.58 m 3.15 m	
Subcase	E) 900	second	s versus	1800 seco	nds	
(11th)	PRN					0.016 m 0.024 m

	PRN	6	A, C, R: Std Dev:			
	PRN	8	A, C, R: Std Dev:		0.012 m	
	PRN		A, C, R:			
			Std Dev:			
	PRN		A, C, R: Std Dev:			
	PRN	12	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.011 m 0.013 m	0.015 m 0.015 m	0.011 m 0.013 m
	PRN		A, C, R:	0.009 m	0.009 m	0.012 m
· ·			Std Dev:	0.008 m	0.009 m	0.015 m
Subcase F) (11th)	900	secor	nds versus 2	2400 second	İs	
	PRN	3	A, C, R: Std Dev:			
	PRN	6.	A, C, R:			
•	PRN	8	A, C, R: Std Dev:			
	PRN	9	A, C, R:			
			Std Dev:	0.460 m	0.445 т	0.307 m
	PRN	11	A, C, R: Std Dev:			
	PRN	12	A, C, R: Std Dev:			
	PRN	13	A, C, R: Std Dev:	0.206 🖬	0.210 m 0.202 m	
•						

Conclusions based on Case III: The conclusions are the same as that in Case I except that Case I, Subcase D is comparable to Case III, Subcase C and Case I, Subcase G is comparable to Case III, Subcase F.

# Position Study (Relative)

Here we wish to verify that the absolute interpolation errors above get reduced in two-station range differences by the factor (baseline length km)/(26000 km).

For computing two-station range differences, hypothetical stations have been selected at geodetic coordinates (40°N, 280°E, 0V) and (41°N, 281°E, 0V). This baseline is 140 km in length. For example we would expect the interpolation error of Case III, Subcase D of the absolute position study above to yield a 0.1 - 0.2 ppm single-difference range error contribution (or 14-28 mm) over our hypothetical baseline.

The means and standard deviations of the absolute value of this singledifference range are computed based on 5-minute sampling over approximately 10 orbital revolutions for the following cases. The truth file used was an NSWC/DMA precise orbit (Swift, 1985). PRNs 3 and 13 were used. Results, here, are in millimeters.

9th order interpolator

B) 9 C) 9	00 second 00 second	is versus is versus	1,200 seconds 1,440 seconds 1,800 seconds 2,400 seconds
. 1	lth order	interpo	lator
·E) 90	00 second	ls versus	2,400 seconds
CASE A. 900	seconds	versus 1	,200 seconds (9th)
PRN	3 mear	n: 0.051	mm
		r: 0.063	
PRN	13 mean	a: 0.050	mm
	stdv	v: 0.057	mm
			•
CASE B. 900	seconds	versus 1	,440 seconds (9th)
PRN	3 mear	a: 0.211	mm
-		: 0.222	•
PRN	13 mear	a: 0.178	mm
	stdv	·: 0.163	mm
CASE C. 900	seconds	versus l	,800 seconds (9th)
PRN	3 mear	n: 1.46	mm
	stdv	1.56	mm
PRN	13 mear	1.24	mm
	stdv	r: 1.11	mm
CASE D. 900	seconds	versus 2,	,400 seconds (9th)
PRN	3 mear	18.8 it	mm
		19.0	
PRN		16.1	
	stdv	<b>: 14.2</b>	mm

CASE E. 900 seconds versus 2,400 seconds (11th)

PRN	3	mean:	1.58	
		stdv:	2.01	mm
PRN	13	mean:	0.98	min
		stdv:	0.83	mm

Conclusions based on this relative position study: In all cases the interpolation error was reduced, approximately, by the ratio of 140/26000, as expected.

Position Study (Baseline)

The final positional test case is an actual baseline. NGS collected data at stations VAN5 and EVEL in February 1989. Here we process this 75-km baseline with an NSWC/DMA precise orbit file based on a 15-minute epoch interval and then reprocess it based on different epoch intervals and different interpolators. Only the last four places of the vector components and length are shown. Also the estimated double difference ambiguities are shown.

9th order interpolator

Case A 900 seconds CASE B 1,200 seconds CASE C 1,440 seconds CASE D 1,800 seconds CASE E 2,400 seconds 11th order interpolator CASE F 900 seconds

CASE G 1,800 seconds CASE H 2,400 seconds

CASE	dx(m)	dy(m)	dz(m)	L(m)	Ambl	Amb2	Amb 3	Amb4	Amb5
A)	.9267	.1932	.2805	.2254	.918	.016	.978	.961	.107
B)	.9267	.1932	.2805	. 2254	.918	.017	.978	.962	.107
C)	.9268	.1931	.2804	.2254	.918	.018	.978	.963	.107
D)	.9272	.1928	.2805	.2254	. 907	.026	.979	.967	.112
E)	.9342	.1918	.2735	.2216	.832	.144	.031	.102	.060
F)	.9267	.1932	. 2805	.2254	.918	.016	.978	.961	.107
G)	.9266	.1934	.2802	.2253	.916	.016	.976	.959	.113
		.1931							

Conclusions based on this baseline study: No significant error manifests in Cases D and H. Since this is a 75,000,000 mm baseline, Cases D and H agree with Case A at the 0.01 ppm level.

. . . . . . .

#### Accuracy of EF13 Versus ECF2

The question to be answered here is whether the process of rounding the orbit data to the nearest 5 cm will degrade the geodetic solutions. We know a priori that it does not but choose to demonstrate and document this in case a question

should arise. In fact, the current NSWC/DMA precise ephemerides have a precision of 10 cm. These agencies are certainly aware that this contributes no error to applications and will not until the orbital accuracies reach the 5 cm realm. Thus, when the orbit source is NSWC/DMA there is no difference between the positional coordinates in the EF13 binary file and those in the ECF2 binary file since the EF13 file contains positional information rounded to the nearest 5 cm. On the other hand, the NGS orbit files are generated with a precision of 1 cm. (This is not accuracy; the accuracy of the NGS-orbits at the time of this report is better than 10 m but not better than 1 m. On the other hand, a format with a precision of 1 cm could potentially accommodate positional data accurate to 0.5 cm.)

EF13 Versus ECF2 (Absolute)

CASE I (NSWC/DMA)900 second EF13 versus 900 second ECF2CASE II (NGS)900 second EF13 versus 900 second ECF2

CASE I (10-cm precision). For PRN 3 we take 5-minute samples for approximately four orbital revolutions. A 9th order interpolator was used; however, the order of the interpolator is not a factor. Results are given in meters.

> PRN 3 A, C, R: 0.000 m 0.000 m 0.000 m Std Dev: 0.000 m 0.000 m 0.000 m

CASE II (1-cm precision). For PRN 3 we take 5-minute samples for approximately four orbital revolutions. A 9th order interpolator was used; however, the order of the interpolator is not a factor. Results are given in meters.

> PRN 3 A, C, R: 0.011 m 0.011 m 0.010 m Std Dev: 0.008 m 0.007 m 0.007 m

Conclusion based on this EF13 versus ECF2 absolute study: As expected, only the error due to precision manifests itself. This will introduce no orbital error until the orbital accuracy reaches the 2.5-cm realm. Until geodetic accuracies reach 1 part per billion, this will not be a factor.

EF13 Versus ECF2 (Relative)

Here we compute two-receiver ranges with the EF13 file and the ECF2 file and compare them. We assume the same station separation as earlier, that is, 140 km. One expects the 1-cm A, C, R results above to map into the two-receiver range by a factor of approximately 140/26000. Results are in millimeters.

CASE I (NSWC/DMA)900 second EF13 versus 900 second ECF2CASE II (NGS)900 second EF13 versus 900 second ECF2

CASE I (10-cm precision). For PRN 3 we take 5-minute samples for approximately four orbital revolutions. A 9th order interpolator was used; however, the order of the interpolator is not a factor.

PRN 3	Mean:	0.000 mm
	Std Dev:	0.000 mm

CASE II (1-cm precision). For PRN 3 we take 5-minute samples for approximately four orbital revolutions. A 9th order interpolator was used; however, the order of the interpolator is not a factor.

> PRN 3 Mean: 0.048 mm Std Dev: 0.041 mm

Conclusion based on this EF13 versus ECF2 relative study: The interpolation error manifests itself on the two-receiver one-satellite range differences, approximately, in proportion to 140/26000 as expected. This is equivalent to a single-difference carrier phase modeling error. This is less than 1 part per billion.

EF13 versus ECF2 (Baseline)

Here we compute the 75-km baseline example, used earlier, with the EF13 and the ECF2 binary position files. Because the NSWC/DMA precise ephemeris is used and because it has a precision of 10 cm, we expect to see no difference in the results. An epoch interval of 1,440 seconds and a 9th order interpolator were used. The only difference detected was 0.001 in the fifth double difference ambiguity. This appears to be a result of insufficient computer precision. The scaling operation can cause orbit position differences at the micron (0.001 mm) level; this can lead to insignificant differences when the final results are written in ASCII.

CASE	dx(m)	dy(m)	dz(m)	L(m)	Ambl	Amb2	Amb3	Ашb4	Amb 5
-			.2804 .2804						

Conclusions based on this EF13 versus ECF2 baseline study: As expected there is no significant difference between the results obtained from EF13 and ECF2 file.

### Velocity Study (Absolute)

The purpose of the velocity study is to verify that there is essentially no useful information in the velocity data and therefore it should not be distributed for applications processing. The approach used to demonstrate this is to compare the velocity obtained by differentiating the position with the velocity obtained by interpolating velocity in the position-velocity file. In all cases the truth file is a position-velocity (ECF1) file having a 900-second epoch interval. All velocity means and standard deviations are in mm/sec. The case studies designed for this demonstration are as follows:

#### CASE I (9th versus 9th)

In this case the position is fit with a 9th order polynomial and the polynomial is differentiated to obtain velocity. This velocity is compared to that obtained by interpolating velocity with a 9th order Lagrange interpolator. There are three subcases: Subcase A: Truth versus 900-second position-only (ECF2) file. Subcase B: Truth versus 1,440-second position-only (ECF2) file. Subcase C: Truth versus 2,400-second position-only (ECF2) file.

CASE II (11th versus 11th)

In this case the position is fit with an 11th order polynomial and the polynomial is differentiated to obtain velocity. This velocity is compared to that obtained by interpolating velocity with an 11th order Lagrange interpolator. There are three subcases:

Subcase A: Truth versus 900-second position-only (ECF2) file. Subcase B: Truth versus 1,440-second position-only (ECF2) file. Subcase C: Truth versus 2,400-second position-only (ECF2) file. CASE I: SUBCASE A: (900 seconds/9th)

	· .	x (mm/sec)	y (mm/sec)	z (mm/sec)
PRN 03	mean:	0.091	0.084	0.068
	stdv:	0.070	0.069	0.035
		x (mm/sec)	y (mm/sec)	z (mm/sec)
PRN 13	mean:	0.089	0.088	0.069
	stdv:	0.065	0.067	0.035

CASE I: SUBCASE B (1,440 seconds/9th)

x (mm/sec) y (mm/sec) z (mm/sec) PRN 03 mean: 0.147 0.141 0.070 stdv: 0.113 0.115 0.045

x (mm/sec) y (mm/sec) z (mm/sec) PRN 13 mean: 0.137 0.136 0.069 stdv: 0.098 0.097 0.036

CASE I: SUBCASE C (2,400 seconds/9th)

		x (mm/sec)	y (mm/sec)	z (mm/sec)
PRN 03	mean:	6.20	6.08	1.33
	stdv:	5.13	5.08	1.25

PRN 13 ma s	ean:	5.70	(mm/sec) : 5.67 4.08	0.515
CASE II: S	UBCASE A	. (900 se	conds/11th)	
	x	(mm/sec) y	(mm/sec) a	z (mm/sec)
			0.083 0.068	
	x	(mm/sec) y	(mm/sec) 2	: (mm/sec)
			0.087 0.067	
CASE II: ST	JBCASE B	(1,440	seconds/11t	:h)
	x	(mm/sec) y	(mm/sec) z	: (mm/sec)
	ean: - tdv:		0.084 0.068	0.068 0.034
	x	(mm/sec) y	(mm/sec) z	: (mm/sec)
			0.087 0.067	0.069 0.035
CASE II: SU	JBCASE C	(2,400	seconds/11t	ch)
	x	(mm/sec) y	(mm/sec) z	: (mm/sec)
		0.549 0.544	0.514 0.524	0.164 0.152
	x	(mm/sec) y	(mm/sec) z	: (mm/sec)
		0.370 0.270	0.367 0.267	0.074 0.044

Conclusions based on this absolute velocity study: Velocity can be derived from a 2,400-second position-only ephemeris file using an 11th order interpolator within a fraction of 1 mm/sec. One can estimate that 0.5 mm/sec velocities could be derived from an 1,800-second ephemeris with a 9th order interpolator and 0.2 mm/sec from an 11th order interpolator.

45

## Velocity Study (Relative)

The purpose of this relative velocity test is to verify that the absolute velocity interpolation error gets scaled according to the ratio of the baseline length and 26,000 km, approximately. The method used is similar to that employed in the earlier position comparisons. The hypothetical baseline selected is the same 140-km baseline used before. We compute the two-receiver (one-satellite) range-rate using the test position-only file (ECF2) and compare this with the two-receiver range-rate using the velocity from the 900second position-velocity (truth) ECF1 file. Magnitudinal range-rate difference means and standard deviations are, as before, in mm/sec. Of course the intent is to verify that the velocity from the ECF2 file is effectively identical to that from the ECF1 file. The cases parallel those in the absolute test.

> CASE I (9th versus 9th) Subcase A: Truth versus 900-second position-only (ECF2) file. Subcase B: Truth versus 1,440-second position-only (ECF2) file. Subcase C: Truth versus 2,400-second position-only (ECF2) file. CASE II (11th versus 11th) Subcase A: Truth versus 900-second position-only (ECF2) file. Subcase B: Truth versus 1,440-second position-only (ECF2) file. Subcase C: Truth versus 2,400-second position-only (ECF2) file. CASE I: SUBCASE A (900-second/9th) mean (mm/sec) stdv (mm/sec) 0.000436 PRN 3 0.000319 **PRN 13** 0.000435 0.000339 CASE I: SUBCASE B (1,440-second/9th)mean (mm/sec) stdv (mm/sec) PRN 3 0.00060 0.00057 PRN 13 0.00055 0.00049

CASE I: SUBCASE C (2,400-second/9th)

•	•	mean (mm/sec)	stdv (mm/sec)
PRN	3	0.0244	0.0239
PRN	13	0.021	0.018

CASE II: SUBCASE A (900-second/11th)

	mean (mm/sec)	stdv (mm/sec)
PRN 3 PRN 13	0.000433	0.000316 0.000336

CASE II: SUBCASE B (1,440-second/llth)

	mean (mm/sec)	stdv (mm/sec)
PRN 3	0.00039	0.00039
PRN 13	0.00043	0.00034

CASE II: SUBCASE C (2,400-second/llth)

		mean (mm/sec)	stdv (mm/sec)
PRN	3	0.0021	0.0026
PRN	13	0.0013	0.0011

Conclusions based on this relative velocity study: The velocity error on the two-receiver one-satellite range-rate quantity is reduced, approximately, by the factor (baseline length km)/(26,000 km) or 140/26,000, as expected.

Higher Order Interpolators (2,400-Second Epoch Interval)

Here we want to demonstrate that an epoch interval of 2,400 seconds is consistent with 1 part per billion applications. Stated differently, we will demonstrate that a 17th order polynomial interpolator can recover position to approximately 2.5 cm and velocity to 0.1 mm/sec from a 40-minute epoch interval ECF2 file. The results, of course, are nearly identical with a 40-minute EF13 file. This implies that NGS should distribute GPS positional data on 40-minute epochs just so long as NGS provides the appropriate interpolation and I/O access tools.

In all cases below, a polynomial interpolator similar to that in figure 6, and developed by the author as a part of this investigation, will be used. Ninth, 11th, 13th, 15th, and 17th order interpolators will be used on the 40minute position-only ECF2 file. An 11th order Lagrangian interpolator, similar to the 9th order Lagrangian interpolator presented in figure 3, will be used on the truth file. The truth file will be a 900-second epoch interval position and velocity file in the ECF1 format. All results are in mm and mm/s.

PRN 3 Truth minus test positional comparison (mm)

	x	stdv x	У	stdv y	z	stdv z
9th:	4708.	4040.	4807.	4070.	1031.	986.
11th:	392.	412.	397.	429.	118.	111.
13th:	60.0	62.4	62.2	66.6	17.6	19.3

15th:	13.4	14.1	15.1	17.6	5.63	5.85
17th:	5.15	5.20	7.57	10.2	4.44	4.79

PRN 3 Truth minus test velocity comparison (mm/s)

	xdot	stdv xdot	ydot	stdv ydot	zdot	stdv zdot
9th:	6.10	5.09	6.24	5.15	1.33	1.25
11th:	0.520	0.530	0.546	0.542	0.165	0.149
13th:	0.117	0.104	0.127	0.100	0.070	0.040
15th:	0.088	0.070	0.089	0.072	0.066	0.032
17th:	0.085	0.070	0.087	0.071	0.065	0.033

PRN 6 Truth minus test positional comparison (mm)

	x	stdv x	У	stdv y	Z	stdv z
9th:	4567 <sup>.</sup> .	3566.	4608.	3609.	559.	559.
11th:	309.	258.	292.	285.	53.	42.
13th:	29.8	28.1	31.2	28.9	6.89	5.89
15th:	4.86	4.68	5.65	4.98	3.04	2.75
17th:	2.84	2.25	3.05	2.36	2.93	2.52
		۰.				

PRN 6 Truth minus test velocity comparison (mm/s)

	xdot	stdv xdot	ydot	stdv ydot	zdot	stdv zdot
9th:	5.92	4.51	5.95	4.54	0.729	0.697
llth:	0.409	0.345	0.420	0.346	0.121	0.079
13th:	0.132	0.075	0.142	0.065	0.106	ି . <b>053</b>
15th:	0.132	0.055	0.136	0.054	0.106	ü <b>.052</b>
17th:	0.132	0.054	0.136	0.055	0.106	0.052

PRN 8 Truth minus test positional comparison (mm)

	x	stdv x	У	stdv y	Z	stdv z
9th:	4519.	3440.	4540.	3559.	565.7	565.6
11th:	300.	264.	299.	276.	53.5.	50.8
13th:	32.9	31.4	31.1	32.5	9.94	9.33
15th:	9.91	12.9	7.34	6.47	5.61	6.85
17th:	7.06	12.8	4.39	4.30	5.08	6.74

PRN 8 Truth minus test velocity comparison (mm/s)

	xdot s	tdv xdot	ydot	stdv ydot	zdot	stdv zdot
9th:	5.87	4.35	5.88	4.48	0.748	0.724
11th:	0.410	0.345	0.408	0.409	0.097	0.076
13th:	0.099	0.079	0.107	0.065	0.070	0.035

•

15th:	0.091	0.064	0.092	0.060	0.069	0.034
17th:	0.091	0.064	0.091	0.061	0.069	0.034

.

-

.

PRN 9 Truth minus test positional comparison (mm) ·

	x	stdv x	У	stdv y	Z	stdv z
9th:	4843.	4349.	4945.	4476.	1252.	1150.
llth:	456.8	471.7	467.4	481.4	142.8	136.7
13th:	73.3	75.0	75.9	74.3	21.8	21.8
15th:	14.8	17.0	15.9	15.8	5.36	4.57
17th:	4.80	4.73	4.88	4.68	3.10	2.45

PRN 9 Truth minus test velocity comparison (mm/s)

	xdot s	stdv xdot	ydot	stdv ydot	zdot	stdv zdot
9th:	6.23	5.50	6.44	5.69 ·	1.622	1.448
llth:	0.621	0.585	0.621	0.621	0.218	0.176
13th:	0.173	0.098	0.146	0.133	0.109	0.060
15th:	0.134	0.067	0.127	0.072	0.106	0.051
17th:	0.131	0.068	0.126	0.067	0.106	0.051
		••				

PRN 11 Truth minus test positional comparison (mm)

•	x	stdv x	У	stdv y	z	stdv z
9th:	4678.	4192.	4781.	4123.	1135.	1096.
11th:	409.	455.	410.	455.0	133.0	134.0
13th:	68.9	69.2	65.5	72.8	22.1	25.1
15th:	15.4	17.8	15.4	17.9	7.00	8.44
17th:	6.94	9.13	6.92	5.51	4.84	6.00

PRN 11 Truth minus test velocity comparison (mm/s)

	xdot	stdv xdot	ydot	stdv ydot	zdot	stdv zdot
9th:	6.07	5.31	6.21	5.17	1.48	1.39
11th:	0.553	0.579	0.563	0.563	0.186	0.170
13th:	0.132	0.103	0.139	0.094	0.073	0.046
15th:	0.094	0.064	0.096	0.061	0.068	0.034
17th:	0.094	0.060	0.094	0.059	0.068	0.033

PRN 12 Truth minus test positional comparison (mm)

	x	stdv x	У	stdv y	Z	stdv z
9th:	4633. 353.		4644. 337.	3749. 368.	843.8 94.4	826.4 82.1
11th: 13th:	48.4	49.0	47.8	48.8	13.1	12.8

15th:	9.42	9.83	9.49	9.10	3.98	3.21
17th:	3.51	3.02	3.48	2.90	2.98	2.41

PRN 12 Truth minus test velocity comparison (mm/s)

	xdot	stdv xdot	ydot	stdv ydot	zdot	stdv zdot
9th:	5.97	4.88	6.04	4.79	1.11	1.04
11th:	0.474	0.460	0.483	0.456	0.162	0.113
13th:	0.134	0.102	0.147	0.087	0.107	0.055
15th:	0.123	0.077	0.127	0.074	0.107	0.051
17th:	0.123	0.075	0.125	0.075	0.107	0.051

PRN 13 Truth minus test positional comparison (mm)

·	x	stdv x	У	stdv y	Z	stdv z <sub>.</sub>
9th:	4409°.	3239.	4421.	3240.	391.	338.
llth:	269.	207.	267.	203.	19.7	19.1
13th:	19.7	18.7	18.1	18.0	6.11	7.41
15th:	6.04	7.38	6.51	12.5	4.92	7.52
17th:	4.88	6.96	6.45	12.5	4.89	7.55
		••				

PRN 13 Truth minus test velocity comparison (mm/s)

•	xdot	stdv xdot	ydot	stdv ydot	zdot	stdv zdot
9th:	5.70	4.06	5.74	4.09	0.517	0.436
11th:	0.367	0.269	0.367	0.270	0.072	0.043
13th:	0.092	0.073	0.096	0.066	0.068	0.032
15th:	0.089	0.067	0.089	0.065	0.067	0.032
17th:	0.089	0.067	0.089	0.066	0.067	0.033

Conclusions based on the interpolation test: It is clear that the NGS distribution of 40-minute position-only GPS orbit data is consistent with 1 part per billion geodetic activities. It should be obvious, based on the earlier studies, that both ECF2 and EF13 are consistent with this conclusion. It should be equally obvious, based on numerous earlier case studies, that the absolute position errors in the tables above would be reduced by, approximately, the ratio 140/26,000 over our hypothetical 140-km baseline.

### Baseline Processing Study

The higher-order-interpolator study is concluded with the results from processing the 75-km baseline from VAN5 to EVEL. In this case a 40-minute epoch interval EF13 file was used along with a 17th order interpolator. The baseline results are as follows:

- -

. .

	dx(m)	dy(m)	dz(m)	L(m)	Ambl	Amb2	Amb3	Amb4	Amb5
EF13)	.9266	.1932	.2805	. 2254	.918	.017	.978	.962	.106

. .

Comparing this with the "truth" results given earlier, one sees that there is a difference of 0.1 mm in dx and 0.001 cycle in ambiguities 2, 4, and 5. This is an upper bound in that roundoff is likely a factor. Even at 0.1 mm over 75,000,000 mm we have achieved 1 part per billion agreement.

## GPS Week Crossover Problems

The one item that has not yet been addressed is the week crossover problem. Actually there are two week crossover problems: (1) The problem of knowing which file has the desired orbit data, and (2) the increased interpolation error at the beginning and end of a given file. This discussion applies to all formats of this report. The EF13 will be used as the example due to the author's belief that it maintains full accuracy and is, unquestionably, the most efficient and practical.

The problem of knowing which file has the desired orbit data can be solved in numerous ways. In fact the solution may be application dependent. The main solution offered here assumes that the orbital requests do not jump rapidly back and forth between orbit weeks. If such an application exists, the solution is to combine consecutive weeks of orbital data into a single file and provide that filename to the processing program. In the typical case where data are processed across a GPS-week boundary, the switch from one file to the other is done occasionally depending on design. If the orbital positions are computed in the preprocessing phase then each orbit file should only be opened once. On the other hand, if orbital data are requested again during each iteration, then a dozen or so openings and closings would be typical and would not be problematic.

In the first solution the NGS program JOINEF13.EXE would be run. This program requires that the distribution files be named according to the GPS week (e.g., GPS497.E13 or GPS1003.E13). One could combine GPS497.E13 and GPS498.E13 by running JOINEF13.EXE. The output would be SP2ASCII. This procedure allows the user to inspect the contents and verify proper execution. The user would then run program SP2 EF13.EXE. The resulting file is named EF13BIN. The user would then rename it according to his/her convention. To achieve full accuracy the epoch interval of EF13BIN must be the same as the individual files that were combined. (This assumes that both input files have the same epoch interval; e.g., 40 minutes.) This is the only way to guarantee that there is no interpolation error in the process of combining files. The interpolator returns the exact value from the orbit file when the file contains data exactly at the requested time. This concern about interpolation error is real when consecutive files do not overlap; in the case of no overlap, all interpolation error can be avoided if the procedure above is carefully followed. On the other hand, if the NGS distribution files contain a small overlap, this problem disappears and the user does not have to be so careful. More will be said about this below.

In the second solution the name of the file is computed based solely on the GPS week. This is a simple solution but it is still subject to the interpolation error mentioned above if no overlap is built into the distribution files. In this solution one places all EF13 orbit files (e.g., GPS497.E13, GPS498.E13, ...) in a directory (e.g., D:\ORBITS ). On each orbit request the GPS week is compared with the file currently opened. If there is disagreement, the file is closed and the filename based on the requested GPS week is computed and opened as shown in figure 8. The parameters "initl" and "last\_gps\_week" are both set to "0" at program start time. "mjdt" is the Modified Julian Day of the

```
int ef13_bwr17( int isv, long mjdt, double fmjdt, double recf[], double vecf[])
                           wr17 This function performs the orbit file access.
The coefficients are saved to avoid unnecessary file
reads. The time is normalized in units of epoch
                ef13_bwr17
           reads. The time is normalized in units of epoch
intervals. An error message is returned if: the
satellite is not in the file (3); the request is
out of range early or late (1 or 2); or the orbit
data good/bad flag is set to bad(4).
Benjamin W. Remondi, Author
 void open required orbit file( int gps week );
void bwr_17th( double tin, double *xout, double *yxout, double xt[],
                double dtmin, char comp_coef, double coef[] );
char comp_coef;
static double xx[17], yy[17], zz[17];
register int i, j;
static int lread1[24], lerr[24];
static int initl = 0;
static int last_gps_week = 0;
int this_gps_week;
static double tzero1[24];
static double coefx[24][17], coefy[24][17], coefz[24][17];
char orbit_filename[80];
double tfroms, tnorm, trefno, tzero;
static double dtmin, dnorm, arcl, half, perday;
int ierr=0, itype, ksv, irefep, iread1;
  char_comp_coef;
 int ierr=0, itype, ksv, irefep, iread1;
 long irn;
static int iorder, iom1, iod2;
 static struct ef13_header {
    int jyear; char imon; char iday; char ihr; char imin; long nepoch;
char numsv; char sparea[2]; double seci; char spareb[5]; double deltat;
char sparec[5]; long mjds; double fmjds; char spared; char idsv[34];
char sparee[5]; char coordsys; char wk1000; int wk; char sparef[9];
 loh
 struct ef13_pos_record { char flag; long x; long y; long z; } sat_vec;
 this_gps_week = (mjdt - 44244)/7;
 if(last_gps_week==0) {
    init1 = 0;
 open_required_orbit_file( this_gps_week );
last_gps_week = this_gps_week;
} else if(this_gps_week != last_gps_week ) {
    initl = 0;
}
           close( fp_ef13 );
open_required_orbit_file( this_gps_week );
last_gps_week = this_gps_week;
 } else
           initl = 1;
 ł
 if { init1 == 0 } {
     initl = 1;
    for(i=0; i<24; i++) {
    lread1[i] = -999;
    lerr[i] = 0;</pre>
         t_{zerol[i]} = -999.0;
    fread( &o_h, sizeof(o_h), 1, fp_ef13);
     iorder = 17;
    dtmin = o_h.deltat/60.0;
dnorm = 1.0;
```

Figure 8.--A demonstration of filename computing and a 17th order polynomial interpolator performing I/O on an EF13 file.

```
arcl = (o_h.nepoch - 1)*dnorm;
iom1 = iorder - 1;
iod2 = iorder/2;
half = iod2*dnorm;
      perday = 86400.0/o_h.deltat;
  tfroms = ((mjdt - o_h.mjds) + (fmjdt - o_h.fmjds))*perday;
  if( tfroms < (-dnorm) ) return(ierr = 1);
/*if( tfroms > (arcl + half) ) return(ierr = 2);*/
if( tfroms > (arcl + dnorm) ) return(ierr = 2);
  itype = 1;
if( tfroms < half ) itype=2;
if( tfroms >= (arcl - half) ) itype = 3;
                                                                                       • .. .
  for(ksv=0; ksv(o_h.numsv; ksv++) {
    if(o_h.idsv[ksv] == isv) goto I_KNOW_SAT;
  return(ierr = 3);
  I_KNOW_SAT:;
  switch(itype) {
               case 1: irefep = tfroms + 1 + dnorm*0.001;
    trefno = irefep - 1;
    tzero = trefno - iod2;
                      iread1 = 8 + ksv + (irefep - iod2 - 1)*o_h.numsv;
        break;
case 2: iread1 = 8 + ksv;
                             tzero = 0;
        break;
case 3: iread1 = 8 + ksv + (o_h.nepoch - iom1 - 1)*o_h.numsv;
                             tzero = o_h.nepoch - iorder;
                            break;
  comp_coef = 't';
  if( lerr[ksv] == 0 ) {
   tnorm = tfroms - tzerol[ksv];
   if(abs(iread1-lread1[ksv])==0) {comp_coef = 'f'; goto NO_NEED_2_READ;}
 tnorm = tfroms - tzero;
tzerol[ksv] = tzero;
lread1[ksv] = iread1;
 for(i=0; i(iorder; i++) {
    irn = iread1 + o h.numsv*i;
    fseek(fp_ef13, (Iong)(irn*13L), 0);
    fread(&sat_vec, sizeof(sat_vec), 1, fp_ef13);
    xx[i] = sat_vec.x/20000.0;
    yy[i] = sat_vec.y/20000.0;
    iz[i] = sat_vec.z/20000.0;
    if( sat_vec.flag == 1 ) ierr = 4;
    lerr[ksv] = ierr:
     lerr[ksv] = ierr;
  1
NO_NEED_2_READ:;
if( lerr[ksv] ) = return( ierr );
bwr_17th(tnorm, &recf[0], &vecf[0], xx, dtmin, comp_coef, coefx[ksv]);
bwr_17th(tnorm, &recf[1], &vecf[1], yy, dtmin, comp_coef, coefy[ksv]);
bwr_17th(tnorm, &recf[2], &vecf[2], zz, dtmin, comp_coef, coefz[ksv]);
return(ierr = 0);
Figure 8.--A demonstration of filename computing and a 17th
                    order polynomial interpolator performing I/O on an
                                                 EF13 file (continued).
```

11 bwr\_17th: A 17-th order polynomial interpolator where the 17 equations in 17 unknowns have been solved symbolically rather than by least-squares. Once the polynomial is fit to the provided 17 points, the position is computed. Similarly the velocity is computed from the differentiated polynomial. In practice the polynomial coefficients rarely change and the file I/O program is responsible to inform this function through the comp\_coef parameter. Benjamin W. Remondi, Author register int ii; if( comp\_coef =='t' ) {
 for(ii=1; ii<=8; ii++) {
 alpha[ii-1] = ( x[8+ii]+x[8-ii]-2.0\*x[8] )/(2.0\*ii\*ii);
 beta[ii-1] = ( x[8+ii]-x[8-ii] )/(2.0\*ii);
 }
}</pre> a[0] = x[8]; compute\_odd\_or\_even\_coeff( alpha, a+9); compute\_odd\_or\_even\_coeff( beta, a+1); ł t2 = t1\*t1; t7 = t4\*t3; t12 = t6\*t6; t1 = tin-8.0; t6 = t3\*t3; t11 = t5\*t6; t3 = t2\*t1;t5 = t4 \* t1; $t4 = t2 \star t2;$ t8 = t4\*t4; t9 = t4\*t5;t13 = t7\*t6; t14 = t7\*t7;t10= t5\*t5 t15 = t7 \* t8:t16 = t8 \* t8;\*fout = a[0] + a[1]\*t1 + a[9]\*t2 + a[2]\*t3 + a[10]\*t4 + a[3]\*t5 + a[11]\*t6 + a[4]\*t7 + a[12]\*t8 + a[5]\*t9 + a[13]\*t10 + a[6]\*t11 + a[14]\*t12 + a[7]\*t13 + a[15]\*t14 + a[8]\*t15 + a[16]\*t16;\*vfout = a[1] + 2.0\*a[9]\*t1 + 3.0\*a[2]\*t2 + 4.0\*a[10]\*t3 + 5.0\*a[3]\*t4 +
 6.0\*a[11]\*t5 + 7.0\*a[4]\*t6 + 8.0\*a[12]\*t7 + 9.0\*a[5]\*t8 + 10.0\*a[13]\*t9 +
 11.0\*a[6]\*t10 + 12.0\*a[14]\*t11 + 13.0\*a[7]\*t12 + 14.0\*a[15]\*t13 +
 15.0\*a[8]\*t14 + 16.0\*a[16]\*t15; \*vfout /= dtmin\*60.0; 

> Figure 8.--A demonstration of filename computing and a 17th order polynomial interpolator performing I/O on an EF13 file (continued).

```
yoid compute_odd_or_even_coeff( double p[], double a[])
                                       ***************************
                                                                                                                                                                            ************************
                                       compute_odd or_even coeff: This function simply computes
the coefficients for bwr_17th. The equations for
computing the odd and even coefficients are
exactly the same ones.
                                 Benjamin W. Remondi, Author
   double b8, b7, b6, b5, b4, b3, b2;
double c8, c7, c6, c5, c4, c3;
double d8, d7, d6, d5, d4;
double e8, e7, e6, e5;
double f8, f7, f6;
double g8, g7;
                                                 - p[0])/63.0;
- p[0])/48.0;
- p[0])/35.0;
- p[0])/24.0;
- p[0])/15.0;
- p[0])/8.0;
- p[0])/3.0;
                           (P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
(P.6)
    b8 =
b7 =
    b6
               =
    b5 =
    Ъ4
Ъ3
Ъ2
               =
                =
                          (b8 - b2)/60.0;
(b7 - b2)/45.0;
(b6 - b2)/32.0;
(b5 - b2)/21.0;
(b4 - b2)/12.0;
(b3 - b2)/5.0;
   c8
c7
                5
              =
   c6
c5
c4
c3
               =
               =
               =
                *
                         \begin{array}{l} (c8 - c3) / 55.0; \\ (c7 - c3) / 40.0; \\ (c6 - c3) / 27.0; \\ (c5 - c3) / 16.0; \\ (c4 - c3) / 7.0; \\ (d8 - d4) / 48.0; \\ (d7 - d4) / 33.0; \\ (d6 - d4) / 20.0; \\ (d5 - d4) / 9.0; \end{array}
    đ8
               z
   d7 =
d6 =
   ā5
d4
              =
              =
   e8 =
e7 =
    e6
               =
    ē5
 \begin{array}{l} f8 = (e8 - e5)/39.0;\\ f7 = (e7 - e5)/24.0;\\ f6 = (e6 - e5)/11.0;\\ g8 = (f8 - f6)/28.0;\\ g7 = (f7 - f6)/13.0; \end{array}
   │╨<del>┥┥┥╡╡╡╡╡╡╡╡╡╡╡╡╡╡╡╡</del>┥┥┥┙
    void open_required_orbit_file( int current_gps_week )
   char orbit_filename[80];
char agency[] = "GPS"; /* Also "D:\\ORBITS\\GPS" */
char format[] = "_EI3";
    char week_string[5];
            itoa( current_gps_week, week_string, (int)10 );
orbit_filename[0] = '\0';
strcat( orbit_filename, agency );
strcat( orbit_filename, week_string );
strcat( orbit_filename, format );
printf("Now opening %s\n", orbit_filename );
if( (fp_ef13 = fopen(orbit_filename, "rb")) == NULL) {
    printf("Problem opening %s.\n", orbit_filename);
}
}
                      Figure 8.--A demonstration of filename computing and a 17th
                                    order polynomial interpolator performing I/O on an
                                                                                                          EF13 file (continued).
```

orbit request which is converted to "this\_gps\_week." This conversion is not necessary if GPS time is used instead of Modified Julian Date.

I shall next demonstrate the beginning-of-file and end-of-file interpolation error one can expect. It will then be shown that this error disappears if a week of orbit data has a few extra epochs into the previous and into the subsequent week. It should be emphasized that this overlap is not required, but without it the JOINEF13.EXE procedure has to be done correctly and the last 1-2 hours of the last-received GPS week will have significantly greater interpolation error. That error would disappear when the subsequent week's distribution file is received; only the last couple of hours of the most recently received orbit file would have this few-meter interpolation problem.

Figure 9 is a PRN 3 positional comparison between the 2,400-second EF13 file and the 900-second ECF1 file. In this example the files are just 2 days long to permit a better presentation scale; the end-of-file/start-of-file interpolation problem, nevertheless, will be the same as for a full-week file. The former was computed using a 17th order interpolator and the latter an 11th order Lagrangian interpolator. We know from our earlier studies that the interpolation error is in the 1-2 cm range. This is not true, however, at the beginning and end of the week unless the techniques under discussion are used. Figure 10 shows that the end-of-week/start-of-file interpolation errors disappear when the EF13 file has 4 hours of overlap into the following and prior weeks. These 4 hours of overlap are adequate for all interpolators (9-17) and all epoch intervals (up to 2,400) in this study. (Mr. Everett Swift of NSWC suggested that the spikes in figure 10 are due to PRN 3 going into eclipse; this was verified.) The velocity comparison plots are similar but are not included.

The following unnumbered table shows the means and standard deviations associated with figure 9 positional errors plus the velocity components not shown in figure 9. Results are given in millimeters (mm) and millimeters per second (mm/s).

	X	У	Z	xdot	ydot	zdot
mean:	291.	511.	69.7	0.678	1.100	0.178
std dev:	2473.	3425.	501.	6.417	8.641	1.310

In the following table are the means and standard deviations associated with figure 10 positional errors plus the velocity components not shown in figure 10. Results are given in mm and mm/s.

	x	У	Z		xdot	ydot	zdot
mean:	5.08	8.11	5.34		0.090	0.094	0.049
std dev:	5.68	13.8	7.86	•	0.079	0.080	0.026

It should be clear that the interpolation results in figure 9 are identical with figure 10 except for the first and last 4 hours; in fact most of the difference between the two plots is in the first and last 1 to 2 hours.

It should be pointed out that the above discussion does not imply that users of orbital data must use a 17th order interpolator in their applications




Figure 9.--End-of-File/Start-of-File interpolation error example.




Figure 9.--End-of-File/Start-of-File interpolation error example (continued).





Figure 9.--End-of-File/Start-of-File interpolation error example (continued).






Figure 10.--Elimination of the Start-of-File/End-of-File interpolation error.

2-component residuats (mm)

programs. The user can use the NGS supplied (17th order) programs to accurately convert the distribution (40-minute) files to files which have a smaller epoch interval and then use a lower order interpolator. In fact, the users can convert the 40-minute position-only EF13 file to an SP1 or ECF1 (positionvelocity) file with near perfect fidelity and with a smaller epoch interval. In general, however, this is not recommended as such files use more disk space, provide no accuracy benefit, furnish little program speed benefit, and supply little program size benefit.

The error removed by using 4 hours of overlap comes from keeping the interpolation near the center of the fitted polynomial. This is distinct from the orbit discontinuity from one week to the next. When consecutive orbit weeks are combined into one orbit file this end of week discontinuity is smoothed. Most of the effect of this smoothing is from the last epoch of one GPS week to the first epoch of the following GPS week. This smoothing will impact the neighboring epoch intervals to a lesser extent. This effect is smaller than the week-to-week discontinuity, itself, and should not be significant (i.e., the interpolation error is small compared to the orbit error). The exception to this would be when one of the two orbit files is significantly more accurate than the other. In this case one might choose to use the more accurate file (plus overlap) in the neighborhood of the week crossover. This situation should be rare.

A limited test was run to provide the reader with a rough comparison on interpolation speeds. The 9th order polynomial interpolator used a 900-second file, whereas the 17th order interpolator used a 2,400-second file. Orbital positions (x, y, and z) were requested every 20 seconds for a 24-hour period for two satellites. When the polynomial coefficients were recomputed every 20 seconds the 8,642 positional requests took 33 seconds and 67 seconds for the 9th and 17th order polynomial, respectively. On the other hand, when the polynomial coefficients were saved in arrays and only recomputed after 900 or 2400 seconds, respectively, these 8,642 positional requests took 21 and 25 seconds, respectively. Thus, when the coefficients were saved (as in fig. 8) the difference was extremely small. Saving 51 coefficients for 24 satellites takes 9,792 bytes.

#### SECTION III. A PROPOSAL FOR A NEW NGS ORBIT FORMAT

In this last section a new NGS orbital format for GPS (and possibly other satellites) is proposed. (See fig. 11.) The major addition to earlier formats is the satellite clock correction information which is computed simultaneously with the orbits. This is a position and clock format; it does not contain velocities. However, velocities can be derived as demonstrated above with near-perfect fidelity. Numerous other minor enhancements will be indicated. Should this proposed format be adopted, and this is the current intent, NGS software would be provided for backward compatibility to the NGS orbital formats discussed in section I. Standard Product #3 ASCII SP3 Format (Refer to example given in fig. 11.)

# SP3 First Line

Columns	-	Symbols	#_
Column	3	Unused	_
Columns	4-7	Year Start	1988
Column	8	Unused	_
Column	9-10	Month Start	10
Column	11	Unused	
Columns	12-13	Day of Mon St	30
Column	14	Unused	
Columns		Hour Start	_0
Column	17	Unused	-
Columns	18-19	Minute Start	_0
Column	20	Unused	_
Columns	21-31	Second Start	0.00000000
Column	32	Unused	-
Columns		Number of Epochs	337
Column	40	Unused	
Columns	41-45	Data Used	- U
Column	46	Unused	·
Column	47-51	Coordinate Sys	IER85
Column		Unused	
Columns		Orbit Type	FIT
Column		Unused	
Columns		Agency	NGS
~~~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~			

•

# SP3 Line Two

.

Columns		Symbols	##
Column	3	Unused	_
Columns	4-7	GPS Week	460
Column	8	Unused	_
Columns	9-23	Seconds of Week	0.00000000
Columns	24	Unused	
Columns	25-38	Epoch Interval	1800.0000000
Column	39	Unused	-
Columns	40-44	Mod Jul Day St	<del>4</del> 7464
Column	45	Unused	
Columns	46-60	Fractional Day	0.000000000000000000

# SP3 Line Three

Columns	1-2	Symbols	+_
Columns	3-4	Unused	_
Columns	5-6	Number of Sats	7
Columns	7-9	Unused	
Columns	10-12	Sat #l Id	3

##+++++++\$%%%%%%\///*VVVVVVV*VVV	COCCC8 CCCCC29 CCCCC29 1123136 1123136	0 C C C O O C C C C C C S S S S S S S S S					10000000000000000000000000000000000000		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			00000000000000000000000000000000000000			-360 -360 -120 -224 37		00000000000000000000000000000000000000	
• • •	• • •		• • •					• • •	,			•	•			• • •		
V V V V	8 9 11 12 13	177 137 173	23. 44. 26. 52.	2906 3228 4667 1317 6346	200 200 200	-10 20 -19 7	428	.27	260 240 5050 500	8 -	163 103	90. 92. 62.	45 91 04	260( 480) 360( 560) 190(	28 -13 -20 74 37	7.4	868 554 094 256 621	575
X V V V V V V E O	9 11 12 13	111 63 58 151 148 161	66. 14	62152 3062 3064 80 80 1152 80 1152		-4 11 23 -6 21	417 398 253 682 867	.98	000 250 590 2590 5850 200 5380		2392 2212 204 104 17	81 42 86 77	16 37 63	070( 190( 580( 380(	-37 29 -13 -20 74	0.3 0.0 2.2 5.1 7.4	286 833 325 708 194 351 680	50 30 20 40 80

Figure 11.--SP3 ASCII format.

Columns 13-15 *	Sat #2 Id	6	
*			
*			
Columns 58-60	Sat #17 Id	0	
SP3 Line Four			
Columns 1-2	<sup>•</sup> Symbols	+ .	
Columns 3-9	Unused	-	
Columns 10-12			
Columns 13-15		0	
*	•		
*			
*			
Columns 58-60	Sat #34 Id	_0	
SP3 Line Five			
Columns 1-2	Symbols	+_	
Columns 3-9	Unused		
Columns 10-12	Sat #35 Id	0	
Columns 13-15	Sat #36 Id	0	
*			
* •			
*	0-6 461 73	•	
Columns 58-60	Sat #51 Id	_0	
SP3 Line Six	`		
Columns 1-2	Symbols	т	
Columns 3-9	Unused	т <u> </u>	
Columns 10-12	Sat #52 Id	0	
Columns 13-15	Sat #53 Id	—°	
*			
*			
*			
Columns 58-60	Sat #68 Id	0	
		—	
SP3 Line Seven			
	<b>-</b>		
Columns 1-2	Symbols	+_	
Columns 3-9	Unused		
Columns 10-12	Sat #69 Id	°	
Columns 13-15 *	Sat #70 Id	0	
*			
*			
	Sat #85 Id	0	
		<b>_</b> `	

```
SP3 Line Eight
Columns 1-2
                  Symbols
                                   ++
Columns 3-9
                  Unused
                                   13
                  Sat #1 Accuracy
Columns 10-12
Columns 13-15
                  Sat #2 Accuracy _14
       *
       *
       *
Columns 58-60
                 Sat #17 Accuracy __0
        .
SP3 Line Nine
Columns 1-2
                  Symbols
                                   ++
                  Unused
Columns 3-9
Columns 10-12
                  Sat #18 Accuracy _
                                     0
                  Sat #19 Accuracy 0
Columns 13-15
       *
       *
       *
Columns 58-60
                 Sat #34 Accuracy __0
SP3 Line Ten •••
                  Symbols
Columns 1-2
                                   ++
Columns 3-9
                  Unused
                  Sat #35 Accuracy _
                                     0
Columns 10-12
                  Sat #36 Accuracy _0
Columns 13-15
       *
       *
       *
                  Sat #51 Accuracy __0
Columns 58-60
SP3 Line Eleven
Columns 1-2
                  Symbols
                                   ++
Columns 3-9
                  Unused
Columns 10-12
                  Sat #52 Accuracy _
                                     0
                  Sat #53 Accuracy 0
Columns 13-15
       *
       *
       *
Columns 58-60
                  Sat #68 Accuracy 0
SP3 Line Twelve
                  Symbols
Columns 1-2
                                   ++
Columns 3-9
                  Unused
Columns 10-12
                  Sat #69 Accuracy
                                     0
                  Sat #70 Accuracy _0
Columns 13-15
       *
```

```
65
```

\* \* Columns 58-60 Sat #85 Accuracy \_0

# SP3 Lines Thirteen and Fourteen

Columns	1-2	Symbols	\$c
Columns	3	Unused	• •
Columns	-	2 characters	- cc
Column	6	Unused	
Columns	7-8	2 characters	- cc
Column	9	Unused	
Columns	10-12	3 characters	- ccc
Column	13	Unused	
Columns		3 characters	- CCC
Column	17	Unused	
Columns		4 characters	
Column		4 characters Unused	
			-
Columns		4 characters	CCCC
Column		Unused	
Columns	28-31	4 characters	CCCC
Column	32	Unused	_
Columns	33-36	4 characters	cccc
Column	37 • •	Unused	
Columns	38-42	5 characters	
Column	43	Unused	
Columns		5 characters	-
		•	CCCCC
Column	49	Unused	-
Columns	50-54	5 characters	CCCCC
Column	55	Unused	_
Columns	56-60	5 characters	22222

# SP3 Lines Fifteen and Sixteen

Columns	1-2	Symbols	<b>%f</b>
Column	3.	Unused	· ·
Columns	4-13	10-column float	0.000000
Column	14	Unused	_
Columns	15-26	12-column float	_0.00000000
Column		Unused	_
Columns	28-41	14-column float	_0.0000000000
Column	42	Unused	—
Columns	43-60	18-column float	_0.00000000000000000

# SP3 Lines Seventeen and Eighteen

.

Columns	1-2	Symbols	%i
Column	3	Unused	
Columns	4-7	4-column int	0
Column	8	Unused	·
Column	9-12	4-column int	0
Column	13	Unused	
			—

Columns	14-17	4-column	int	0
Column	18	Unused		-
Column	19-22	4-column	int	0
Column	23	Unused		_
Columns	24-29	6-column	int	0
Column	30	Unused		-
Column	31-36	6-column	int	0
Column	37	Unused		_
Columns	38-43	6-column	int	0
Column	44	Unused	•	
Column	45-50	6-column	int	0
Column	51	Unused		
Column	52-60	9-column	int	0

## SP3 Lines Nineteen to Twenty two

• •

Columns	_	Symbols	/*
Column Columns		Unused Comment	<u> </u>

.

.

,

# SP3 Line Twenty three (The Epoch Header Record)

Columns		Symbols	*_
Column	3	Unused	
Columns	_	Year Start	1988
Column	8 .	Unused	<b>T</b> 0
Column		Month Start	10
Column	11	Unused	-
Columns		Day of Mon St	30
Column	- ·	Unused	
Columns		Hour Start	_0
Column	17	Unused	<b>-</b>
Columns		Minute Start	_0
Column	20	Unused	_
Columns	21-31	Second Start	_0.0000000

## SP3 Line Twenty four (The Position and Clock Record)

Column	1	Symbol	<b>v</b> .
Columns	2-4	Vehicle Id.	3
Columns	5-18	x-coordinate(km)	8906.498000
Columns	19-32	y-coordinate(km)	-8999.896800
Columns	33-46	z-coordinate(km)	-23468.514100
Columns	47-60	clock (microsec)	390.868180
	*		
	•••		
	*		

\*

#### SP3 Line 22+NUMEPS\*(NUMSATS+1)+1 (i.e., The Last Line)

Columns 1-3 Symbols EOF

### Discussion of the SP3 Format

The first line comprises the Gregorian date and time of day, the number of epochs in the ephemeris file (up to 10 million), the data used descriptor, the orbit type descriptor, and the agency descriptor. The data used descriptor was included for ease in distinguishing between multiple orbital solutions from a single organization. This will have primary use for the organization generating the orbit as it seems unlikely that an organization would distribute more than one ephemeris file for a given week. A possible convention is given below; this is not considered final and suggestions are welcome.

u -- undifferenced carrier phase du -- change in u with time s -- 2-rcvr/1-sat carrier phase ds -- change in s with time d -- 2-rcvr/2-sat carrier phase dd -- change in d with time U -- undifferenced code phase dU -- change in U with time S -- 2-rcvr/1-sat code phase dS -- change in S with time D -- 2-rcvr/2-sat code phase dD -- change in D with time + -- type separator

Combinations such as "\_\_u+U" seem reasonable. If the measurements used were complex combinations of standard types, then one could use "mixed" where mixed could be explained on the comment lines.

Orbit type and agency are the same as for the SP1 and SP2 formats except that agency now has four columns.

The second line has: the GPS week (which will exceed 1000 in the year 1999); the seconds of week ( $0.0 \le$  seconds of week < 604800.0); the epoch interval ( $0.0 \le$  hor interval  $\le 100000.0$  s); the Modified Julian Day Start (where 44244 represents GPS zero time -- January 6, 1980); and fractional day ( $0.0 \le$  fractional day < 1.0).

The third line to the seventh line have the number of satellites followed by their respective identifiers. The identifiers must use consecutive slots, and they continue on lines 4-7 if required. The value 0 should only appear after all the identifiers are listed.

The 8th line to the 12th line have the orbit accuracy exponents... The value 0 is interpreted as accuracy unknown. A satellite's accuracy exponent appears in the same slot on lines 8-12 as the identifier on lines 3-7. The accuracy is computed from the exponent as in the following example. If the accuracy exponent is 13, the accuracy is 2\*\*13 mm or 8 m. The quoted orbital error should represent one standard deviation and be based on the orbital data in the

entire file for the respective satellite. This may lead to some distortion when orbit files are joined; however this should be rare.

Lines 13 - 18 allow the SP3 ASCII file contents to be modified without impacting the binary file formats in that these lines establish how such changes will appear in the binary files. Stated differently, the SP3 ASCII format has been designed so that additional parameters may be added to the SP3 ASCII file while no modification to the associated binary files would be required.

Lines 19-22 are free form comments. Line 23 is the epoch header date and time.

Line 24 is the position and clock line. The positional values are in kilometers and are precise to 1 mm. The clock values are in microseconds and are precise to 1 picosecond. Bad or absent positional values are to be set to 0.000000. Bad or absent clock values are to be set to \_999999.999999.

# Standard Product #3 Binary ECF3 Format (Refer to fig. 12.)

The following file is the binary (direct or random access) ECF3 file associated with the SP3 ASCII file above. (See fig. 12.) The importance of binary and ASCII files was noted earlier in this report. The information contained in the ECF3 file is exactly that contained in the SP3 file. This precludes the need to keep the larger ASCII file on the hard disk as the exact ASCII file can be regenerated from the binary file. The ECF3 binary format is slightly more general than the EF18 format introduced later. The ECF3 format permits exact duplication of the quantities in the SP3 format. The price one pays for this, however, is 8-byte real storage of positional and clock data. In contrast to this, the EF18 format uses 4-byte integer storage of positional and clock data. For this, one gives up a little precision but not to compromise accuracy. The additional accuracy potential of ECF3 might be useful for exotic future applications but is not a factor for mainstream GPS applications. (ECF3 might be more convenient on (old) machines that do not support 4-byte integers.) The SP3 file could be regenerated from the EF18 file with full accuracy but not full precision. Differences are smaller than 1 part per billion so that the EF18 binary file should be the generic distribution file. In summary, EF18 is a clearly superior choice for almost everyone; it should be maintained on the storage medium rather than SP3 or ECF3.

ECF3 Record #1

Bytes	1-2	Year Start	2-byte	int
Bytes	3	Month Start	1-byte	char
Byte	4	Day of Month Start	1-byte	char
Byte	5	Hour Start	1-byte	char
Byte	6	Minute Start	1-byte	char
Bytes	7-14	Second Start	8-byte	float
Bytes	15-18	Number of Epochs	4-byte	int
Bytes	19-23	Data Used	i 1-byte	char
Bytes	24-28	Coordinate Sys.	i 1-byte	char

1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Busker of sats         Pr           Pra[34]         Fra[35]         Pr           Pra[34]         Fra[35]         Pr           Pra[48]         Pra[35]         Pr           Pra[48]         Pra[35]         Pr           Pra_acc[1]         Pra_acc[2]         Pra_acc[2]           Pra_acc[35]         Pra_acc[2]         Pra_acc[2]           Pra_acc[69]         Pra_acc         Pra_acc           CL2         CL2         CL2           CL3         CL3         CL3           CL4         CL2         CL3           CL5         CL3         CL3           CL4         CL4         CL4           CL5         CL5         CL5           CL6         CL7         CL3           CL2         CL3         CL3           CL4         F18         F18           F14         F18         F14           LJ2         IL4         CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	rn[1]       Frn[2]       Frn[3]         rn[70]	_interval (8)   BJ 	D_Start (4) 7 F1	ractional_day_sta Pr . Pra[44] Pra . Pra_acc[33 . Pra_acc[43] Pra_acc[43] Pra_acc[67] .acc[84] Pra_a CH4 CT5 CT4 CU5 IC2 I IP2 I	a [32] Pri [33] a [66] Pra [67] [45] Spare B } Pra acc [36] ] Pra acc [68] cc [85] Spare C Spare B Spare B Spare F Spare F Spare F Spare I B Spare I B Spare J
21 22 23 24 4+0*Bumprn+1 4+0*Bumprn+2	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	Pra_x[1] Pra_x[2]	Prn y [1] Prn y [2]	Prn_z[1] Prn_z[2]	Spare L Pra_clock[1] Pra_clock[2]
f4+1*202972+202972 f4+2*202972+202972 f4+2*202972+1	Pra_xyz_flag[numprn] Pra_xyz_flag[1]		Pra z[auapra] Fra_z[1]	Prs_y[susprs] Prs_y[1]	Pra_z[auayra] Pr Pra_z[1]	a_clock[aunyra] Pra_clock[1]
8		t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ray[1]	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2
\$4+ausep*auspra	: Pra_xyz_flag[aumpra]	Pra_clock_flag[auapra]	: Pra_z[nuspra]	: Pra_y(auapra)	: Pra_2(auapra) Pr	a_clock{aunpra}

.

Figure 12.--ECF3 binary format.

Bytes 29-3	1 Orbit Type	3 1-byte char
Bytes 32-3	4 Spare A	3 1-byte char

ECF3 Record #2

Bytes 1-2	GPS Week Start	2-byte	int
Bytes 3-10	Second of Week	8-byte	float
Bytes 11-18	B Epoch interval (sec)	8-byte	float
Bytes 19-22	2 Mod. Jul Day Start	4-byte	int
Bytes 23-30	) Fractional Day St.	8-byte	float
Bytes 31-34	Agency 4	1-byte	char

.

.

ECF3 Record #3

	Byte Byte Byte	2	*	Number of Satellite Satellite	#1 Id.	l-byte char l-byte char l-byte char
			*			
	Byte	34		Satellite	#33 Id.	1-byte char
•	ECF3	Reco	rd #4	• •		
	Byte	1		Satellite	#34 Id.	1-byte char
	Byte	_		Satellite	#35 Id.	1-byte char
	Byte			Satellite		1-byte char
	<i>Dy</i> cc	5	*	DUUULLUU		2 0,00 0
			*			
			*			
	Byte	34	~	Satellite	#67 Id.	1-byte char

ECF3 Record #5

Byte Byte Byte	2	*	Satellite Satellite Satellite	#69	Id.	1-byte 1-byte 1-byte	char
Byte Bytes	18 19-:	*	Satellite Spare B	#85		1-byte 1-byte	

ECF3 Record #6

Byte	1	Sat #1 Accuracy	l-byte char
Byte	2	Sat #2 Accuracy	1-byte char
	*		
	*		
	*		
Byte	34	Sat #34 Accuracy	1-byte char

•..

ECF3 Record #7 1-byte char Sat #35 Accuracy Byte 1 1-byte char Sat #36 Accuracy Byte 2 \* \* 4 Sat #68 Accuracy 1-byte char Byte 34 ECF3 Record #8 1-byte char Sat #69 Accuracy Byte 1 Byte 2 Sat #70 Accuracy 1-byte char \* \* \* Byte 17 Sat #85 Accuracy 1-byte char 17 1-byte char Bytes 18-34 Spare C ECF3 Record #9 (characters from SP3 line 11) Bytes 1-2 First cc 2 1-byte char (ca2) Second cc 2 1-byte char (cb2) Bytes 3-4 First ccc 3 1-byte char (cc3) Bytes 5-7 Bytes 8-10 Second ccc 3 1-byte char (cd3) First cccc 4 1-byte char (ce4) Bytes 11-14 4 1-byte char (cf4) Bytes 15-18 Second cccc Bytes 19-22 Third cccc 4 1-byte char (cg4) Fourth cccc 4 1-byte char (ch4) Bytes 23-26 Bytes 27-31 5 1-byte char (ci5) First ccccc 3 1-byte char Spare D Bytes 32-34 ECF3 Record #10 (characters from SP3 line 11) Bytes 1-5 Second ccccc 5 1-byte char (cj5) 5 1-byte char (ck5) Bytes 6-10 Third ccccc 5 1-byte char (cl5) Bytes 11-15 Fourth ccccc Bytes 16-34 Spare E 19 1-byte char ECF3 Record #11 (characters from SP3 line 12) Bytes 1-2 First cc 2 1-byte char (cm2) Bytes 3-4 2 l-byte char (cn2) Second cc Bytes 5-7 First ccc 3 1-byte char (co3) 3 1-byte char (cp3) Bytes 8-10 Second ccc Bytes 11-14 First cccc 4 l-byte char (cq4) Bytes 15-18 Second cccc 4 1-byte char (cr4) Bytes 19-22 Third cccc 4 1-byte char (cs4) Bytes 23-26 Fourth cccc 4 1-byte char (ct4) 5 l-byte char (cu5) Bytes 27-31 First ccccc Bytes 32-34 Spare F 3 1-byte char

ECF3 Record #12 (characters from SP3 line 12)

Bytes	1-5	Second ccccc	5	1-byte	char	(cv5)
Bytes	6-10	Third ccccc	5	1-byte	char	(cw5)
Bytes	11-15	Fourth ccccc	5	1-byte	char	(cx5)
Bytes	16-34	Spare G	19	1-byte	char	

ECF3 Record #13 (floats from SP3 line 13)

Bytes 1	-8	First float	8-byte	float	(fa8)
Bytes 9	-16	Second float	8-byte	float	(fb8)
Bytes 1	7-24	Third float	8-byte	float	(fc8)
Bytes 2	5-32	Fourth float	8-byte	float	(fd8)
Bytes 3	3-34	Spare H 2	1-byte	char	

ECF3 Record #14 (floats from SP3 line 14)

Bytes 1-8 '	First float	8-byte float (fe8)
Bytes 9-16	Second float	8-byte float (ff8)
Bytes 17-24	Third float	8-byte float (fg8)
Bytes 25-32	Fourth float	8-byte float (fh8)
Bytes 33-34	Spare I	2 1-byte char

...

ECF3 Record #15 (integers from SP3 line 15)

• •

Bytes	1-2	First int	2-byte int (ia2)
Bytes	3-6	Second int	4-byte int (ib4)
Bytes	7-10	Third int	4-byte int (ic4)
Bytes	11-14	Fourth int	4-byte int (id4)
Bytes	15 <b>-18</b>	Fifth int	4-byte int (ie4)
Bytes	19-22	Sixth int	4-byte int (if4)
Bytes	23-26	Seventh int	4-byte int (ig4)
Bytes	27-30	Eighth int	4-byte int (ih4)
Bytes	31-34	Ninth int	4-byte int (ii4)

ECF3 Record #16 (integers from SP3 line 16)

Bytes 1-2	First int	2-byte int (ij2)
Bytes 3-6	Second int	4-byte int (ik4)
Bytes 7-10	Third int	4-byte int (il4)
Bytes 11-14	Fourth int	4-byte int (im4)
Bytes 15-18	Fifth int	4-byte int (in4)
Bytes 19-22	Sixth int	4-byte int (io4)
Bytes 23-26	Seventh int	4-byte int (ip4)
Bytes 27-30	Eighth int	4-byte int (iq4)
Bytes 31-34	Ninth int	4-byte int (ir4)

ECF3 Record #17 (Comments from SP3 line 17)

Bytes 1-34 Characters 1-34 34 1-byte char

ECF3 Record #18 (Comments from SP3 line 17) 23 1-byte char Characters 35-57 Bytes 1-23 Spare J · 11 1-byte char Bytes 24-34 ECF3 Record #19 (Comments from SP3 line 18) Characters 1-34 34 1-byte char Bytes 1-34 ECF3 Record #20 (Comments from SP3 line 18) Bytes 1-23 Characters 35-57 23 1-byte char Bytes 24-34 Spare K 11 1-byte char ECF3 Record #21 (Comments from SP3 line 19) Characters 1-34 34 1-byte char Bytes 1-34 ECF3 Record #22 (Comments from SP3 line 19) Characters 35-57 23 1-byte char Bytes 1-23 Bytes 24-34 Spare L. 11 1-byte char ECF3 Record #23 (Comments from SP3 line 20) Bytes 1-34 Characters 1-34 34 1-byte char ECF3 Record #24 (Comments from SP3 line 20) Bytes 1-23 Characters 35-57 23 1-byte char Bytes 24-34 Spare M 11 1-byte char ECF3 Record #25 to the Last Record Byte 1 xyz good/bad flag 1-byte char Byte 2 clock good/bad flag 1-byte char Bytes 3-10 x-coordinate (km) 8-byte float y-coordinate (km) Bytes 11-18 8-byte float Bytes 19-26 z-coordinate (km) 8-byte float Bytes 27-34 8-byte float clock (microseconds)

## Standard Product #3 Binary EF18 Format (A compact 18-byte binary format) (Refer to fig. 13.)

EF18 Record #1

Bytes	1-2	Year Start	2-byte	int
Byte	3	Month Start	1-byte	char
Byte	4	Day of Month Start	1-byte	char
Byte		Hour Start	1-byte	char
Byte		Minute Start	1-byte	char
Bytes		Second Start	8-byte	float
	15-18	Number of Epochs	4-byte	int

EF18 Record #2

.

Bytes	1-5	Data Used	5	1-byte char
Bytes	6-10	Coordinate	Sys. 5	l-byte char
Bytes	11-13	Orbit Type	3	l-byte char
Bytes	14-17	Agency	4	1-byte char
Byte	18	Spare A		1-byte char

۰.

## EF18 Record #3

Bytes 1-2	GPS Week Start	2-byte int
Bytes 3-10	Second of Week St.	8-byte float
Bytes 11-18	Epoch Interval (sec)	8-byte float

### EF18 Record #4

.

Bytes	1-4	Mod Jul Day Start	4-byte	int
Bytes	5-12	Fractional Days Start	8-byte	float
Byte	13	Number of Satellites	1-byte	char
Bytes	14-18	Spare B	5 1-byte	char
-		- ·	-	

.

## EF18 Record #5

Byte 1 Byte 2		Satellite #1 Id Satellite #2 Id	l-byte char 1-byte char
•	*		
	*		
	*		
Byte 1	.8	Satellite #18 Id	1-byte char

### EF18 Record #6

Byte 1	Satellite #19 Id	l-byte char
Byte 2	Satellite #20 Id	1-byte char

.

.

#1 #2 #3 #4 #5 #6 #7 #8 #9 #10 #11 #12 #13 #14 #15 #16 #17	Data_used(5) GPS_week_star MJD_StarE(4) Prn[1] Prn 19] Prn 37] Prn 55] Prn 73] Acc[1] Acc[19] Acc[37] Acc[55]	Practional_day           Prn [2]         Prn [3]           Prn [20]         Prn [21]           Prn [38]         Prn [39]           Prn [56]         Prn [57]           Prn [74]         Prn [75]           Acc [2]         Acc [3]           Acc [20]         Acc [21]           Acc [38]         Acc [39]           Acc [56]         Acc [57]           Acc [74]         Acc [75]           CB2         CC3           CB4         CI5	Orb_type(3) of week_st(\$) start(\$)	Second(8)
\$18 \$19 \$20 \$21 \$22 \$23 \$24 \$25 \$26 \$27 \$28 \$29 \$30	CE2 CS4 CV5 FA8 FC8 FG8 IA2 IF4 IJ2 I04 CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	CH2 CO3 CT4 CU5 CI5 FB8 FD8 FF8 IB4 IC4 IG4 IH4 IG4 IH4 IF4 IQ4 CCCCC	CP3 CV5 ID4 I14 IN4 IR4	CQ4 Spare F(8) Spare G(2) Spare H(2) Spare I(2) Spare J(2) IB4 Spare L(2) IM4 Spare L(2)
#30 #31 #32 #33 #34 #35 #36 #35 #36 #37 #38 #39 #40 #41				Spare #(15) Spare #(15) Spare #(15) 
#42 #43 #44 #4+0*numprn+1 #4+0*numprn+2 #4+0*numprn+numprn #4+1*numprn+1	CCCCCCCCCCCC CCC xyz_flag[1] xyz_flag[2] xyz_flag[nump: xyz_flag[1]	clock_flag[1]	x[1] y[1] x[2] y[2] x[numprn] y[numprn x[1] y[1]	Spare P[15] z[1] clock[1] z[2] clock[2] z[numprn] clock[numprn] z[1] clock[1]
#4+1*nupprn+numprn #4+2*numprn+1 #4+2*numprn+numprn # # # # # # # # 4+ (numep-1) *numprn+1 # # # 4+numep*numprn	xyz_flag[nump * xyz_flag[1]	rn] clock_flag[numprn] * clock_flag[1]	x[auapra] y[auapra x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	<pre>z[numprn] clock[numprn] z[1] clock[1] z[numprn] clock[numprn] z[1] clock[1] z[1] clock[1] z[numprn] clock[numprn]</pre>

.

•

Figure 13.--EF18 binary format.

· .

\* \* \* Satellite #36 Id 1-byte char Byte 18 EF18 Record #7 1-byte char Byte 1 Satellite #37 Id Byte 2 Satellite #38 Id 1-byte char \* . . \* \* 1-byte char Satellite #54 Id Byte 18 EF18 Record #8 1-byte char Byte 1 Satellite #55 Id Satellite #56 Id 1-byte char Byte 2 \* . . \* \* Byte 18 Satellite #72 Id 1-byte char EF18 Record #9 Byte 1 Satellite #73 Id 1-byte char Byte 2 Satellite #74 Id 1-byte char \* \* \* 1-byte char Byte 13 Satellite #85 Id Bytes 14-18 Spare C 5 1-byte char EF18 Record #10 Byte 1 Satellite #1 Accuracy 1-byte char Byte 2 Satellite #2 Accuracy 1-byte char \* \* + Satellite #18 Accuracy 1-byte char Byte 18 EF18 Record #11 Byte 1 Satellite #19 Accuracy 1-byte char Byte 2 1-byte char Satellite #20 Accuracy \* \* \* Byte 18 Satellite #36 Accuracy 1-byte char

EF18 Record #12 Satellite #37 Accuracy 1-byte char Byte 1 Satellite #38 Accuracy 1-byte char Byte 2 \* \* \* Satellite #54 Accuracy 1-byte char Byte 18 EF18 Record #13 Satellite #55 Accuracy 1-byte char Byte 1 Satellite #56 Accuracy 1-byte char Byte 2 \* \* \* Satellite #72 Accuracy 1-byte char Byte 18 EF18 Record #14 Satellite #73 Accuracy 1-byte char Byte 1 Satellite #74 Accuracy 1-byte char Byte 2 \* \* \* Byte 13 Satellite #85 Accuracy 1-byte char 5 1-byte char Bytes 14-18 Spare D EF18 Record #15 (characters from SP3 line 11) 2 1-byte char (ca2) Bytes 1-2 First cc Bytes 3-4 Second cc 2 1-byte char (cb2) 3 1-byte char (cc3) Bytes 5-7 First ccc 3 1-byte char (cd3) Second ccc Bytes 8-10 Bytes 11-14 First cccc 4 1-byte char (ce4) Second cccc 4 1-byte char (cf4) Bytes 15-18 EF18 Record #16 (characters from SP3 line 11) 4 1-byte char (cg4) Bytes 1-4 Third cccc Fourth cccc 4 1-byte char (ch4) Bytes 5-8 5 1-byte char (ci5) First ccccc Bytes 9-13 Bytes 14-18 Second ccccc 5 l-byte char (cj5) EF18 Record #17 (characters from SP3 line 11) Third ccccc 5 l-byte char (ck5) Bytes 1-5 Bytes 6-10 Fourth ccccc 5 1-byte char (cl5) 8 1-byte char Bytes 11-18 Spare E

EF18 Record #18 (characters from SP3 line 12) Bytes 1-2 First cc 2 1-byte char (cm2) 2 1-byte char (cn2) Bytes 3-4 Second cc 3 1-byte char (co3) Bytes 5-7 First ccc 3 1-byte char (cp3) Second ccc Bytes 8-10 4 1-byte char (cq4) Bytes 11-14 First cccc Bytes 15-18 Second cccc 4 1-byte char (cr4) EF18 Record #19 (characters from SP3 line 12) • . 4 1-byte char (cs4) Bytes 1-4 Third cccc Fourth cccc 4 1-byte char (ct4) Bytes 5-8 5 1-byte char (cu5) Bytes 9-13 First ccccc Bytes 14-18 Second ccccc 5 1-byte char (cv5) EF18 Record #20 (characters from SP3 line 12) 5 l-byte char (cw5) Bytes 1-5 Third ccccc 5 1-byte char (cx5) Bytes 6-10 Fourth ccccc Bytes 11-18 Spare F 8 1-byte char • . EF18 Record #21 (floats from SP3 line 13) Bytes 1-8 First float 8-byte float (fa8) . Bytes 9-16 Second float 8-byte float (fb8) 2 1-byte char Bytes 17-18 Spare G EF18 Record #22 (floats from SP3 line 13) Bytes 17-24 Third float 8-byte float (fc8) Bytes 25-32 Fourth float 8-byte float (fd8) Bytes 33-34 2 1-byte char Spare H EF18 Record #23 (floats from SP3 line 14) Bytes 1-8 First float 8-byte float (fe8) 8-byte float (ff8) Bytes 9-16 Second float Bytes 17-18 Spare I 2 1-byte char EF18 Record #24 (floats from SP3 line 14) Bytes 17-24 Third float 8-byte float (fg8) 8-byte float (fh8) Bytes 25-32 Fourth float Bytes 33-34 Spare J 2 1-byte char EF18 Record #25 (integers from SP3 line 15) 2-byte int (ia2) Bytes 1-2 First int Bytes 3-6 Second int 4-byte int (ib4)

.

Bytes	7-10	Third int	4-byte	int	(ic4)
Bytes	11-14	Fourth int	4-byte	int	(id4)
Bytes	15-18	Fifth int	4-byte	int	(ie4)

EF18 Record #26 (integers from SP3 line 15)

Bytes	1-4	Sixth int	4-byte	int (if4)
Bytes .	5-8	Seventh int	4-byte	int (ig4)
Bytes	9-12	Eighth int	4-byte	int (ih4)
Bytes	13-16	Ninth int	4-byte	int (ii4)
Bytes	17 <b>-18</b> '	Spare K	2 1-byte	char

EF18 Record #27 (integers from SP3 line 16)

Bytes 1	1-2	First int	2-byte	int	(ij2)
Bytes 3	3-6	Second int	4-byte	int	(ik4)
Bytes 7	7-10	Third int	4-byte	int	(il4)
Bytes 1	11-14	Fourth int	4-byte	int	(im4)
Bytes 1	15-18	Fifth int	4-byte	int	(in4)
•					

EF18 Record #28 (integers from SP3 line 16)

Bytes	1-4	Sixth int	4-byte	int (io4)
Bytes	5-8	Seventh int	4-byte	int (ip4)
Bytes	9-12	Eighth int	4-byte	int (iq4)
Bytes	13-16	Ninth int	4-byte	int (ir4)
Bytes	17-18	Spare L	2 1-byte	char

EF18 Record #29 (Comments from SP3 line 17)

Bytes 1-18 Characters 1-18 18 1-byte char

EF18 Record #30 (Comments from SP3 line 17)

Bytes 1-18 Characters 19-36 18 1-byte char

EF18 Record #31 (Comments from SP3 line 17)

Bytes 1-18 Characters 37-54 18 1-byte char

EF18 Record #32 (Comments from SP3 line 17)

Bytes 1-3Characters 55-573 1-byte charBytes 4-18Spare M15 1-byte char

EF18 Record #33 (Comments from SP3 line 18)

Bytes 1-16 Characters 1-18 18 1-byte char

EF18 Record #34 (Comments from SP3 line 18)

Bytes 1-18 Characters 19-36 18 1-byte char

EF18 Record #35 (Comments from SP3 line 18)

Bytes 1-18 Characters 37-54 18 1-byte char

EF18 Record #36 (Comments from SP3 line 18)

Bytes 1-3Characters 55-573 1-byte charBytes 4-18Spare N15 1-byte char

EF18 Record #37 (Comments from SP3 line 19)

۰.

Bytes 1-18 Characters 1-18 18 1-byte char

EF18 Record #38 (Comments from SP3 line 19)

.

.

Bytes 1-18 Characters 19-36 18 1-byte char

EF18 Record #39 (Comments from SP3 line 19) Bytes 1-18 Characters 37-54 18 1-byte char

EF18 Record #40 (Comments from SP3 line 19)

Bytes 1-3Characters 55-573 1-byte charBytes 4-18Spare 015 1-byte char

EF18 Record #41 (Comments from SP3 line 20)

Bytes 1-18 Characters 1-18 18 1-byte char

EF18 Record #42 (Comments from SP3 line 20)

Bytes 1-18 Characters 19-36 18 1-byte char

EF18 Record #43 (Comments from SP3 line 20)

Bytes 1-18 Characters 37-54 18 1-byte char

EF18 Record #44 (Comments from SP3 line 20)

Bytes 1-3	Characters 55-57	3 1-byte char
Bytes 4-18	Spare P	15 1-byte char

EF18 Record #45 to the Last Record

Byte	1	xyz good/bad flag	1-byte	char
Byte	-	clock good/bad flag	1-byte	char
Bytes	3-6	x-coordinate (5 cm)	4-byte	int
Bytes		y-coordinate (5 cm)	4-byte	int
Bytes	11-14	z-coordinate (5 cm)	4-byte	int
Bytes		clock (0.1 nanoseconds)	4-byte	int

#### SUMMARY

In Section I we documented the current NGS orbit formats. They were designed around the GPS system, but they were designed to accommodate nearly all relevant orbits including extremes such as lunar or Lagrange-point orbits, low-Earth orbits, circular or highly elliptical geostationary orbits, and fixed or moving pseudolites. SP1 and SP2 ASCII files can accommodate positional coordinates to +/- 1,000,000 km. The same is true for ECF1 and ECF2 binary formats. On the other hand, EF13 has no practical restrictions for GPS or GLONASS or other satellite orbits up to 100,000 km. EF13 5-cm precision limits the orbital accuracy to 2.5 cm; this is no restriction whatsoever. Thus, EF13 is a highly efficient orbital format which is consistent with geodetic survey activities at the 1 part per billion level of accuracy.

These formats can be used for inertial coordinates as well as Earth-centered body fixed coordinates. These formats have been updated to include the parameters "orbit type," "coordinate system," and "GPS week start."

In Section II we concentrated on three questions. The first question had to do with finding the optimum epoch interval as a function of the order of the interpolator. The optimum epoch interval is clearly dependent on the accuracy required and on the order of the interpolator used. Either a 9th order interpolator with a 30-minute epoch interval or an 11th order interpolator with a 40-minute epoch interval is consistent with 0.01 - 0.02 ppm geodetic survey activities. Naturally, these conclusions assume small eccentricities (e.g., ecc < 0.02); however the increase in interpolation error from eccentricity = 0.006 to 0.06 is only a factor of approximately 4 and, therefore, eccentricity should not be a problem. Toward the end of Section II, it was demonstrated that a 2,400-second epoch interval is consistent with 1 part per billion geodetic activities. With a 2,400-second epoch-interval EF13 or ECF2 file and a 17th order interpolator, position can be recovered with a mean and standard deviation of approximately 2 cm and velocity can be recovered with a mean and standard deviation of approximately 0.1 mm/s.

The second question concerned whether NGS should distribute velocity data with the positional data. That is, does velocity contain any additional information not contained in the positional data? The answer is that velocity could be derived from positional data to within a fractional part of 1 mm/sec and, therefore, velocity should not be distributed for applications purposes. In fact, the absolute velocity components can be derived from the position to approximately 0.2 mm/sec using an 11th order polynomial interpolator on an EF13 file whose epoch interval is 30 minutes and 0.5 mm/sec if the epoch interval is 40 minutes. With a 17th order interpolator velocity can be recovered from a 40-minute positional file at 0.1 mm/s in the mean.

The third question was somewhat didactic since the answer was known a priori. Does the 5-cm precision of the EF13 file reduce the orbital accuracy in any significant way? The answer is no.

The essence of Section II has to do with the optimum file size for GPS orbits. This is, admittedly, a mundane question. File size is important for many reasons. If the file is too large, it might not fit on a floppy disk. A year of orbit files might not fit on the hard disk; The modem transfer of orbital data at 1,200 or 2,400 baud could be very time-consuming. The issue of file size is important because most users still have storage media limitations and low-rate modems. If this question had been ignored in 1985, we would have maintained 300-second orbit files instead of the more recent 900-second or 1,200-second orbit files. With ASCII position and velocity formats, this amounts to nearly 4 megabytes per week for 24 satellites. Having completed this study it is now clear that 79 kilobytes per week is all that is required for 24 satellites (on 2,400-second epochs). Below is a file-size table in bytes which comprises the eight formats discussed in this report as a function of epoch interval in seconds. In all cases the file spans one GPS week and includes 24 satellites. With 4 hours of overlap at the beginning and end of the file, these values would be approximately 5 percent larger.

	300	900	1440	1800	2400
SP1	3933379	1311235	819583	655699	491815
ECF1	2516176	838864	524368	419536	314704
SP2	2191571	730643	456719	365411	274103
ECF2	1354976	451808	282464	222016	169568
EF13	629096	209768	131144	104936	78728
SP3	2966859	989835	619143	495579	371015
ECF3	1645872	549168	343536	274992	206448
EF18	871704	291096	182232	145944	109656

• •

In Section III a new orbital format (ASCII and binary) is proposed which contains position and clock correction data and no velocity data. If adopted, NGS would provide the necessary programs to convert backwards to the current NGS formats. This new format includes orbit accuracy information and is general enough to accommodate changes.

#### CONCLUSIONS

1. With an 1,800-second epoch interval and using an 11th order interpolator, velocity can be derived from positional data to approximately 0.2 mm/sec. With a 2,400-second epoch interval and using an 17th order interpolator, velocity can be derived from positional data to approximately 0.1 mm/sec.

2. Velocity should not be distributed by NGS. The NGS orbit archive should, nevertheless, remain in the SPI/ECF1 formats for traditional reasons such as being able to share and compare orbital elements with other organizations which generate orbits.

3. An 1,800-second epoch interval position-only ephemeris is consistent with one part per billion geodetic survey activities where an 11th order interpolator is assumed. A 2,400-second epoch interval position-only ephemeris is consistent with one part per billion geodetic survey activities where a 17th order interpolator is assumed.

4. A 2,400-second epoch interval position-only ephemeris is consistent with 0.01 - 0.02 parts per million geodetic survey activities where an 11th order interpolator is assumed. If more accuracy than 0.02 ppm is required, one should switch to a higher order interpolator rather than using a smaller epoch interval.

5. Without exception, the 2,400-second EF13 file will easily satisfy all applications requirements for orbital data. This file consumes a mere 79 kilobytes per week for 24 satellites. With 4 hours of overlap with the prior and the subsequent weeks, this would still be less than 83 kilobytes.

6. These conclusions require the proper use of the interpolators and assume the correct handling of week crossovers.

7. NGS programs are available to manipulate the NGS-distributed files in accordance with the user's environment.

8. Only the 2,400-second SP2 and the 2,400-second EF13 files should be distributed by NGS. The EF13 file should be the primary distribution file. They should include 4 hours of overlap. As explained in the text, the full accuracy can be achieved without the overlap; however, the user has to be more careful. For this reason the overlap is strongly recommended. The data overlap will be easier and safer for the user at a cost of a mere 5 percent in file size. When the user receives the distribution file (e.g., EF13) he/she can create the data base according to specific needs and wishes with assistance from NGS-provided software.

9. NGS should adopt the new SP3/ECF3/EF18 formats. These comprise position and satellite clock corrections and are more flexible than current formats. Intentionally omitted are the component velocities. Files in these formats can now be generated for experimental purposes at NGS and will be employed on an experimental basis. Should these new formats supersede the current formats, NGS programs for generating files in the current formats (SP1/ECF1; SP2/ECF2/EF13) will be provided to the public. Also, programs similar to those given in this report for the current formats, for the manipulation of files in the proposed formats, would be written and provided to the public. If adopted, the EF18 binary file is recommended to be the primary distribution file, and the recommended epoch interval is 2,400 seconds. For 24 satellites its file size is 109,656 bytes. It is further recommended that these files span from Saturday 20:00:00 of the prior GPS week to Sunday 04:00 of the subsequent GPS week. These additional 13 epochs, for 24 satellites, increases the EF18 file size by 5,616 bytes.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my appreciation to Mr. Everett Swift (NSWC), Mr. Robert Dulaney (NOAA), Mr. Charles Challstrom (NOAA), Dr. P. A. M. Abusali (CSR, UT), Dr. Mark Schenewerk (NOAA), and Lt. Cdr David Minkel (NOAA) for carefully reading and commenting on the draft manuscript.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Remondi, Benjamin W., 1985: Distribution of Global Positioning System ephemerides by the National Geodetic Survey. Presented at the First Conference on Civil Applications of GPS-ION, Warminister, PA, September 12. National Geodetic Information Branch, NOAA, Rockville, MD 20852.
- Remondi, Benjamin W. and Hofmann-Wellenhof, B., 1989: Accuracy of Global Positioning System broadcast orbits for relative surveys. <u>NOAA Technical Report</u> NOS 132, NGS 45. National Geodetic Information Branch, NOAA, Rockville, MD 20852.
- Remondi, Benjamin W. and Hofmann-Wellenhof, B., 1989: GPS broadcast orbits versus precise orbits: A comparison study. Presented at 125th Anniversary General Meeting of the International Association of Geodesy, Edinburgh, Scotland, Aug. 3-12. (Proceedings will be published.)
- Swift, E. R., 1985: NSWC's GPS orbit/clock determination system. <u>Proceedings of the First International Symposium on Precise Positioning</u> <u>with the Global Positioning System</u>, Rockville, MD, April 15-19, Vol. 1, pp. 51-62.

#### 

The programs and supporting information associated with this report are furnished by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). They are accepted and used by the recipient with the understanding that NOAA provides no warranties, expressed or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, reliability, and suitability of these programs, their constituent parts, or supporting data.

85