National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Ocean Service
Geodetic Support System
006-48-01-15-02-3403-00-117-057
Operational Analysis
FY 2008

Table of Contents

Executive Summary

The Geodetic Support System constitutes the IT component of NOAA's Continuously Operating Reference Station (CORS) program. The CORS program comprises a nationwide network of over 1,270 stations that continuously collect radio signals broadcast from Global Positioning System (GPS) satellites. NOAA's National Geodetic Survey (NGS) provides access to these data free of charge via the Internet. The primary goal of CORS is to enable GPS users to determine precise positional coordinates relative to the National Spatial Reference System (NSRS). The following figure illustrates the current coverage provided by the CORS network. Additional information may be found in Annex A and at www.ngs.noaa.gov/CORS/.



This report focuses on the operational state of the program as of October 1, 2008 and is based on guidance developed by the Department of Commerce. The Geodetic Support System directly supports the objective "Enhance the conservation and management of coastal and marine resources to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs" under the Department of Commerce strategic goal to "Observe, protect, and manage the Earth's resources to promote environmental stewardship." Specifically, this activity supports the NOAA Goal to "Support the Nation's commerce with information for safe, efficient, and environmentally sound transportation." The current program meets established cost, schedule, and performance parameters.

This operational analysis (OA) is an annual, in-depth review of the program's performance based on the following:

- Customer Results
- Strategic and Business Results
- Financial Performance
- Innovation

1.0 Customer Results

Users of CORS data can determine positional coordinates with centimeter-level accuracy by postprocessing a minimum of 15 minutes of their GPS data with data from the CORS network. Users can also determine the travel path of a moving platform—such as an aircraft, boat, or land vehicle—with decimeter-level accuracy by postprocessing GPS data from a receiver mounted on the platform with data from the CORS network.

Additionally, CORS data are used by:

- Earth scientists to monitor crustal motion
- Meteorologists to monitor the distribution of moisture in the atmosphere
- Atmospheric scientists to monitor the distribution of free electrons in the ionosphere

1.1 Customer Requirements and Costs

The principal customers of CORS data include land surveyors, Geographic Information System (GIS) professionals, engineers, scientists, and others who process these data with their own GPS data to determine accurate positional coordinates for locations of interest to them, such as property boundaries, transportation arteries, and other map-worthy objects.

Customers obtain CORS data and associated metadata primarily in three ways: (1) via the Internet using the anonymous file transfer protocol (FTP), (2) via the World Wide Web using the "User Friendly" CORS (UFCORS) utility, and (3) via the World Wide Web using the Online Positioning User Service (OPUS) utility. NOAA estimates that (1) each FTP download saves the customer $30 in what it would cost him/her to obtain the information otherwise, (2) each UFCORS download saves the customer $200, and (3) each OPUS solution saves the customer $600. Note that there are three versions of the OPUS utility: (i) OPUS-S (static) that was released in March 2001, (ii) OPUS-RS (rapid static) that was released in January 2007, and (iii) OPUS-DB (database) that was just released in September 2008. The following graphic summarizes the financial benefits provided by the CORS program for each fiscal year from FY2003 to FY2008:



	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
OPUS-RS	0	0	0	0	19	43
OPUS-S	33	59	76	100	121	109
UFCORS	92	92	114	158	190	209
CORS FTP	128	165	101	146	158	282
TOTAL	253	316	291	404	488	643

This graphic reveals that the FY2008 CORS benefits ($643M) grew by 32% relative to the FY2007 CORS benefits ($488M). Furthermore, this graphic reveals that CORS benefits grew at an average rate of 22% per year over the period from FY2003 to FY2008.

1.2 Performance Measures

In FY 2008, NOAA used two performance measures to quantify the success of the Geodetic Support System: (1) the percent of U.S. counties that were substantially enabled to perform positioning activities relative to the National Spatial Reference System, and (2) the number of CORS data packages downloaded via the UFCORS Web utility.

For a county to be substantially enabled, users must have applied the OPUS utility at least 25 times in FY 2008 to accurately position points located within the county. To apply OPUS, a user must collect at least 15 minutes of GPS data and then submit these data to NOAA via the Web (www.ngs.noaa.gov/OPUS). Once submitted to NOAA, NOAA automatically processes the submitted data with data from at least three CORS sites to compute accurate positional coordinates for the location at which the submitted data were collected. NOAA subsequently emails the resulting coordinates to the user, usually within minutes.

Users apply UFCORS to obtain CORS data and related information more conveniently than currently possible using anonymous FTP. Anonymous FTP better serves users downloading large volumes of CORS data on a regular basis—users already familiar with the structures of our online storage formats. UFCORS is easier for users downloading small volumes of CORS data on an infrequent basis, as they simply fill out a Web-based form. UFCORS users do not need to be computer savvy, nor do they need to know the directory structure used to store CORS information.

The measures presented in Table 1 align with the "Customer Results Measurement Area" of the Performance Reference Model developed by the Federal Enterprise Architecture Program Management Office (FEA-PMO). Table 1 summarizes the performance measures.

Table 1: Customer Results Performance Measure

Measurement Area	Indicator	FY2005	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008
Customer Requirements	Percentage of counties that are substantially enabled	32.2%	42.7%	50.75%	58.5%
	Number of CORS data packages downloaded via UFCORS	583,261	797,165	958,964	1,042,733



2.0 Strategic and Business Results

The Geodetic Support System program is meeting its own goals and objectives, as well as those of the agency. Program management controls are in place to ensure the program continues to meet its goals and objectives and to monitor the performance of the Geodetic Support System program.

2.1 Geodetic Support System Helps to Achieve Strategic Goals

The Geodetic Support System program directly supports the objective "Enhance the conservation and management of coastal and marine resources to meet America's economic, social, and environmental needs" under the Department of Commerce strategic goal to "Observe, protect, and manage the Earth's resources to promote environmental stewardship." Specifically, the program supports the NOAA Goal to "Support the Nation's commerce with information for safe, efficient, and environmentally sound transportation."

Program management controls are in place to ensure that the Geodetic Support System program continues to meet its goals and objectives and to monitor how well the program is performing.

2.2 Business Results

2.2.1 Program Management and Controls

The CORS program is a highly leveraged system that benefits from the voluntary contributions of over 200 partner organizations. These organizations include foreign, federal, state, and local government agencies, as well as academic and commercial institutions. The non-NOAA partners sponsor and/or operate 92% of the stations contained in the CORS network. That is, NOAA currently sponsors and/or operates only 8% of the stations. The following pie chart summarizes the composition of the CORS partners.

Percentage of CORS Stations by Type of Partner



NOAA has not entered into formal agreements with other CORS partners, except in two cases: (1) the U.S. Coast Guard, in connection with the Maritime Differential GPS program and (2) the U.S. Department of Transportation and others, in connection with the Nationwide Differential GPS program.

NOAA's National Geodetic Survey (NGS) coordinates the contributions of the over 200 partner organizations through its CORS Website (www.ngs.noaa.gov/CORS/) which features the electronic CORS Newsletter and other pertinent information. The CORS Newsletter is immediately updated whenever a news-worthy event occurs. NGS emails the latest CORS Newsletter to several hundred addresses every week (Monday), however anyone may download the most current edition via the CORS website at any time.

On a daily basis, NGS checks incoming GPS data provided by CORS partners and will contact a partner directly (by phone or email) if a station is underperforming (see www.ngs.noaa.gov/CORS/Establish_Operate_CORS.html for performance criteria).

NOAA operates two parallel CORS Data Management and Online Storage Facilities: one (CORS-East), located in Silver Spring, Maryland, is hosted by NGS, and the other (CORS-West), is located in Boulder, Colorado and is hosted by NOAA's National Geophysical Data Center (NGDC). Both facilities independently collect, store, and distribute all CORS data. Annex B contains a copy of the formal agreement between NGS and NGDC for the operation of all essential CORS activities in Boulder during FY 2004 through FY 2009.

The overall CORS program is managed within NGS, primarily through a Program Manager and several informal teams: CORS Site Management Team, CORS Data Management Team, CORS West Team, CORS Data Analysis Team, CORS Systems Development Team, CORS Technical Innovation Team, and CORS Outreach Team. Members from all the teams attend joint meetings once a month at the CORS All-Hands meeting to coordinate their activities. Numerous other meetings occur regularly among various team representatives to address specific issues. In addition, the CORS Program is represented by the Chief of NGS' Spatial Reference System Division at weekly meeting of the NGS Director's staff and at monthly meetings of the NGS Executive Steering Committee.

2.2.2 Monitoring Cost, Schedule and Performance

Cost – The CORS Program Manager and the NGS Financial Officer meet monthly to review the CORS budget.

Schedule – The NGS Annual Execution Plan is used to track the progress of key milestones. The CORS Program Manager reports quarterly to the NGS Director on the status of all CORS-related milestones. All FY 2008 milestones were met. These milestones are documented in the next section of this report.

Performance – On a monthly basis, NGS monitors (1) the percentage of counties substantially enabled to perform positioning activities relative to the National Spatial Reference System, (2) the number and distribution of operational CORS sites, (3) the volume of CORS data being downloaded by CORS users, and (4) the number of times CORS-related tools, such as UFCORS and OPUS are used. The first item is reported to NOAA as a GPRA measure. The latter three items are reported publicly in the CORS Newsletter. The following graph displays the number of files processed each month by OPUS-S and OPUS-RS since 2002. It should be noted that in October 2006, the

Department of Commerce showcased the OPUS utility as its primary E-Gov success in its annual report to the Office of Management and Budget. Also, The Department of Commerce awarded Gold Medals to Dr. Gerald Mader and Dr. Neil Weston in December 2007 for their roles in developing the OPUS utility.



The following text describes CORS-related milestones achieved by NGS in FY2008:

- NGS has assumed the role of the Analysis Center Coordinator for the International Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) Service (IGS) from January 2008 to December 2011. As such, NGS is now responsible for coordinating the generation of precise GPS and GLONASS products; including orbits, satellite clock parameters, Earth rotation parameters, and atmospheric refraction.
- NGS contracted with Irving Leveson to perform a scoping study on the socio-economic value of the CORS system. Dr. Leveson provided the final report on this study in January, 2009. Preliminary estimates indicate that the annual socio-economic benefits to the Nation are over $750 million dollars. The benefit measurements examined in this study will set the stage for a

full analysis and validation of benefits, and will contribute to other studies, including a planned multi-agency study of geodetic infrastructure by the National Academy of Sciences.

- The OPUS-DB (database) utility achieved operational status in September 2008.
- Neil Weston, Gerald Mader, and Tomas Soler published the article "OPUS-Projects—a Web-Based Application to Administer and Process Multi-day GPS Campaign data" with the International Federation of Surveyors who awarded this article the status of "Article of the Month" for March 2008.
- NGS personnel delivered CORS/OPUS presentations at 20 events in FY2008.
- William Henning of NGS drafted guidelines for "classical" real-time GNSS positioning activities.
- Charlie Schwarz of NGS published the scientific paper "Heuristic Weighting and Data Conditioning in the National Geodetic Survey's Rapid Static GPS (RSGPS) Software" in the *Journal of Surveying Engineering*.
- NGS started broadcasting GPS data in real-time over the Internet for the 7 CORS shown in the following graphic. NGS currently classifies this service as an "operational prototype".

- NGS adopted a policy statement to support real-time positioning.
- NGS has initiated the reprocessing of all IGS and CORS data observed between 1994 and the present. The results will provide rigorous estimates of 3D positional coordinates and velocities for all of the IGS & CORS reference stations.
- Since 1994, NGS has added a total of 1,426 new or upgraded GNSS reference stations to the CORS network. Of these, 263 were added in FY2008 alone. To keep pace with this rapid growth, the CORS team—under the leadership of Giovanni Sella—has developed highly automated and efficient procedures to better handle the increasing volume of CORS data and metadata.
- With support from the U.S. Agency for International Development, NGS established four CORS in Ethiopia.
- NGS incorporated, into the CORS network, 13 new sites established by the Federal Aviation Administration as part of the Wide Area Augmentation System. These new sites significantly improve CORS coverage in Alaska, Canada, and Mexico.
- CORS users successfully downloaded 9,391,009 CORS datasets via anonymous file transfer protocol (FTP) in FY2008. This number represents at 78% increase relative to FY2007.

- CORS uses successfully downloaded 1,042,733 CORS datasets via the "user friendly" CORS (UFCORS) Web service. This number represents a 10% increase relative to FY2007.
- The CORS Team introduced a new Google map to the CORS web server to provide better access to CORS information.
- Richard Snay and Tomás Soler published the scientific paper "Continuously Operating Reference Stations (CORS): History, Applications, and Future Enhancements" in the *Journal of Surveying Engineering*. This paper is reproduced as Annex A of this document.
- NGS conducted numerous tests to document the accuracy achievable when a user submits 15 minutes of GPS data to OPUS-RS (rapid static). The accuracy at a given location depends highly on the local geographic distribution of the CORS stations. The following map illustrates the vertical (ellipsoid height) standard error achievable as a function of the location where the user collected his/her GPS data. The standard error in the north-south or east-west dimension may be obtained from this map by dividing the corresponding vertical standard error by 3.6.



Vertical standard error achievable when a user submits 15 minutes of GPS data to OPUS-RS.

2.3 Reviews

The NOAA IT Review Board reviewed the Geodetic Support System on September 11, 2007.

2.4 Security

The CORS program is accredited under requirements documented in Office and Management and Budget Circular A-130, NIST Special Publication 800-37 and the Department of Commerce policy on security accreditation. System Security Plans, Risk Assessments, and Contingency Plans were certified and approved for CORS in June 2007. Management, operational, and technical security controls are adequate to ensure the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information. System security is reviewed annually as part of the NOS Continuous Monitoring program. The Geodetic Support System is scheduled to go through the full Certification and Accreditation (C&A) cycle in FY2010.

2.5 Performance Measures

The performance measures in Table 2 indicate the Geodetic Support System's performance regarding Strategic and Business Results. Strategic and Business Results performance measures introduced in 2006 include "the number of CORS/OPUS workshops presented" and "the number of new CORS sites added to the network". These measures align with the "Mission and Business Results Measurement Area," "Processes and Activities Measurement Area," and the "Technology Measurement Area" of the Performance Reference Model developed by the FEA-PMO.

Table 2: Business Results Performance Measures

Measurement Area	Indicator	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY2008
Strategic and Business Results	Number of CORS/OPUS workshops presented	6	7	12	20
	Number of new stations added to the CORS network	221	189	210	263

2.6 Other

NGS organizes several public meetings each year to receive feedback on the CORS program from partners, stakeholders, and users. In particular, NGS organizes an annual CORS Users Forum. In each of the past six years, the Forum has been an integral part of the Civil GPS Service Interface Committee's annual meeting organized by the U.S. Coast Guard and the U.S. Department of

Transportation. Annex C contains a copy of the final report for the 8th Annual CORS Users Forum held in Savannah, GA in September 2008.

3.0 Financial Performance

3.1 Current Performance vs. Baseline

The Geodetic Support System had a modest budget of $1,720K in FY 2008. The expenses are dominated by the salaries (and benefits) of 5.0 Government FTE's and 4.6 contractors ($975K). Other expenses include a contract with NOAA's National Geophysical Data Center to operate the Parallel CORS Data Management Facility in Boulder, Colorado ($265K), IT Security ($250K), telecommunications ($70K), the procurement of IT equipment ($130K), common services ($25K) and training ($5K). The budget remains relatively constant from year to year, once it is normalized for the influence of inflation.

3.2 Performance Measures

Considering the small budget and the fact that the budget is dominated by salaries, no financial performance measures have been established for the Geodetic Support System. Nevertheless, the CORS network continues to grow by approximately 200 stations per year. In FY2008, the CORS network increased by 263 stations—the largest ever yearly increase—to bring the total number of active CORS to 1,271 on September 30, 2008. This continuing growth may require that the number of people on the CORS staff be increased in the near future.

3.3 Cost Benefit Analysis

As reported in Section 1.1 of this report, the total benefits provided by the CORS program in FY 2008 amounted to $643M. The FY 2008 cost to NGS for managing the CORS program (of which the Geodetic Support System constitutes the IT component) was $3.2M, yielding a benefit-to-cost ratio of 201-to-1. The primary reason NGS has been able to achieve this impressive benefit-to-cost ratio relates to the fact that 92% of the stations in the CORS network are sponsored and/or operated by other organizations, often for purposes not directly related to CORS activities. For example, the U.S. Coast Guard and the U.S. Department of Transportation jointly sponsor a network of approximately 170 stations to provide real-time navigation information for maritime vessels and land vehicles. NGS has incorporated these 170 stations into the CORS network to enable after-the-fact positioning activities. Also, the National Science Foundation is sponsoring a network to maintain more than 1,100 continuous GPS base stations to monitor crustal motion in the western United States. NGS will incorporate many of these stations into the CORS network, again to enable after-the-fact positioning activities.

3.4 Financial Performance Review

Financial performance is typically subjected to a periodic review for reasonableness and cost efficiency. Monthly budget reviews are held with the CORS Program Manager and the NGS Financial Officer in attendance. A detailed review of work and priorities is undertaken if cost is significantly above baseline values. Any necessary corrective actions are identified and implemented.

4.0 Innovations to Meet Future Customer Needs

During the next few years, NGS will

- Adopt an XML (extensible markup language) format for reporting OPUS results,
- Install OPUS-S, OPUS-RS and UFCORS at the Parallel CORS Data Facility located in Boulder, Colorado (these utilities are currently installed only at the NOAA campus located in Silver Spring, Maryland),
- Implement OPUS-Projects,
- Provide to public those GLONASS data collected at CORS sites,
- Enable OPUS to process GLONASS data as well as GPS data, and
- Continue promoting the use of the CORS system to support real-time GNSS positioning.

Also, the number of stations in the National CORS network is expected to continue growing for a few more years, because many organizations are installing eligible stations in the process of establishing several Real-Time GNSS Networks (RTN) across the United States and its territories.

4.1 Number and Types of Users

Approximately 100,000 people have used CORS data for precise positioning. Perhaps millions of people have benefited from the use of CORS data to monitor weather and/or space weather.

NGS records reveal that over 45,000 unique users have used the OPUS utility since it was introduced in March 2001. It is estimated that at least an additional 55,000 people have used other CORS services (the CORS anonymous FTP server or UFCORS) for precise positioning. These 100,000 users include land surveyors, GIS professionals, construction engineers, remote sensing professionals, environmentalists, educators, and hydrologists.

In addition, CORS data are used by:

- Earth scientists to monitor crustal motion,
- Meteorologists to monitor the distribution of moisture in the atmosphere (for weather), and
- Atmospheric scientists to monitor the distribution of free electrons in the ionosphere (for space weather).

The fact that annual CORS benefits have grown at an average rate of 22% per year between FY2003 and FY2008 suggests that the CORS user base has been expanding. The fact that the annual CORS benefits for FY2008 grew by 32% relative to FY2007 suggests that the CORS user base will continue to grow for at least the next few years.

4.2 Providing OPUS Results in XML Format

When a user submits a GPS data set to NGS for processing by the OPUS utility, this utility automatically emails the user an ASCII file that contains the computed coordinates for the location where the GPS observations were performed, together with appropriate metadata. Currently, NGS is testing a new feature to be added to OPUS which allows users of this software to also elect to receive the OPUS output file in XML format. The advantage of XML format over ASCII format is that third parties can more easily develop software capable of reading the XML format. This software can then instruct the user's computer to perform some action based on the information in the CORS report, all without any human interaction. That is, the XML format would facilitate the possibility for machine-to-machine conversation between the computer executing OPUS and a user's computer.

4.3 Installing OPUS-S, OPUS-RS and UFCORS in Boulder, Colorado

As part of the CORS Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP), NGS has entered into agreement with NOAA's National Geophysical Data Center (NGDC) to maintain a Parallel CORS Data Facility in Boulder, CO which is to perform all essential CORS activities along with the Primary CORS Data Facility located in Silver Spring, MD (see Annex B). NGS has determined that the essential CORS activities now include the operation of OPUS-S, OPUS-RS and UFCORS. Hence, NGS and NGDC are collaborating to make these three utilities operational in Boulder by the summer of 2009. These utilities are already operational in Silver Spring. If the facility in Silver Spring or Boulder is down (because of a power outage or a telecommunications problem or non-operational IT equipment, for example) then the other facility should be able to run these three utilities. When both facilities are operational, then they will share the workload.

4.4 Implementing OPUS-Projects

NGS has developed a working prototype of the OPUS-Projects utility, and the agency is testing it on a FEMA-sponsored $3M surveying project to upgrade the National Spatial Reference System in the Gulf States. The project will assist reconstruction efforts in the areas required due to the devastation caused by Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005. OPUS-Projects differs from the standard OPUS utility in that it enables users to submit GPS data from several simultaneously deployed receivers, as well as data from multiple observation sessions, whereby OPUS-Projects will compute positional coordinates for all locations associated with the submitted data and in a manner consistent with all submitted data. Recall that the standard OPUS utility computes positional coordinates for only one location using data from only one observation session. NGS expects to declare the OPUS-Projects utility to be "initially" operational in FY 2009.

4.5 Providing GLONASS Data

Other countries are currently developing various Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) that emulate the U.S.-sponsored Global Positioning System (GPS). In particular, Russia is developing GLONASS, the European Union is developing "Galileo", and China is developing "Compass". Of these three, GLONASS has progressed the farthest, and the Russians hope to have GLONASS fully operational by 2010. Currently, the GLONASS constellation includes approximately 19 operational satellites, whereas no operational satellites have been launched for either the Galileo constellation or for the global component of the Compass constellation. Together, GPS and GLONASS will provide more than 50 satellites, enabling users to obtain positional coordinates more accurately and more reliably.

In December 2006, NGS installed its first GPS+GLONASS receiver to establish a CORS site collocated with the tide gauge station located in Key West, FL. NGS will install several additional GPS+GLONASS receivers in the future, and the agency will encourage our CORS partners to do the same. The following figure identifies stations in the CORS network which currently collect both GPS and GLONASS data. Starting in FY2009, NGS plans to distribute GLONASS data, as well as GPS data, from such stations.



Red circles identify CORS that collect both GPS and GLONASS data.

4.6 Processing GLONASS data

NGS has started developing software for processing GLONASS data as is needed for differential positioning between GLONASS receivers. Eventually, this software will be embedded into the various versions of OPUS so that users may submit GPS and/or GLONASS data to these utilities for obtaining coordinates for the location where the observations were collected. Also, NGS will develop software to compute the path of the GLONASS satellites as they orbit the Earth.

The development and implementation of the GLONASS-data-processing software should take a couple of years because there are significant differences between GLONASS data and GPS data. The dominant difference is that each GLONASS satellite broadcast data on a different radio frequency than the other GLONASS satellites. As a result, the widely used GPS-data-processing technique of differencing data between two satellites can not readily be used for processing GLONASS data. NGS is planning to build two separate GLONASS processing engines, although there will be many similarities between them. One engine would be for GLONASS data sets that span several hours; the other for those that span shorter time periods. The former would be like the GPS-data-processing engine contained in OPUS-S, and the latter would be like that contained in OPUS-RS. It is anticipated that the upgraded OPUS-RS utility will be able to compute centimeter-level positions using only 5-minutes of GPS+GLONASS data, because the combined GPS+GLONASS constellation will contain over 50 satellites.

4.7 Supporting Real-Time GNSS Positioning

Several organizations are establishing Real-Time GNSS Networks (RTN) in various regions of the United States. These organizations include state and local government agencies, as well as commercial institutions. Approximately 75 RTN's currently exist in our country. CORS and RTN's are similar in that they both enable centimeter-level positioning accuracies. They are different in that CORS has traditionally addressed post-processing applications whereas RTN's address real-time applications. They are similar in that both rely on ground-based GNSS tracking stations. They are different in that CORS is a highly coordinated nationwide effort, whereas the existing RTN's are comprised of a somewhat disjoint collection of regional and local networks. In some instances, two or more RTN's may even compete for the same customer base. Some coordination, however, exists among those RTN's that share the use of their GNSS tracking stations with each other.

To better coordinate the growth of RTN's across the United States, NGS adopted the following policy statement in FY2008:

<u>NGS Policy Statement: Supporting Real-Time GNSS Positioning</u>

<u>Rationale:</u>
NOAA's National Geodetic Survey (NGS) endorses the development of Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) technology to provide accurate and reliable real-time positioning services that are consistent with the U.S. National Spatial Reference System (NSRS).

<u>Goals:</u>
NGS will support real-time GNSS positioning by implementing an action plan to:

1) Provide low-latency access to GNSS data from selected CORS via the Internet. All streaming data from these CORS will be provided free of charge, without correctors, in current Radio Technical Commission for Maritime Services (RTCM) formats.
2) Develop standards, specifications, and guidelines to help users obtain optimal results from real-time GNSS positioning technologies. This would include specific documents for users of single-base technology as well as for users of real-time GNSS networks (RTN).
3) Develop standards, specifications, and guidelines for administrating RTN. This may include:
   a. Reference station siting and construction considerations
   b. Policy to promote the use of open source, generic data formats such as RTCM
   through the use of the most current Networked Transport of RTCM via Internet Protocol

(NTRIP) programs
c. Policy to encourage the RTN to support as many different GNSS hardware and firmware packages as possible
d. Guidelines to enable RTN results to be consistent within the NSRS. This may include methods to archive and quality check RTN data
e. Guidelines to determine accurate positional coordinates and velocities for RTN reference stations

4) Provide a service to RTN administrators and users to verify that the positional coordinates obtained from their RTN are consistent with the NSRS.
5) Maintain a strong participatory presence and seek leadership roles at various conferences, meetings and venues where real time positioning is addressed.
6) Participate in education and outreach to both disseminate relevant information as well as to acquire feedback regarding the suitability of guidelines promoted by NGS.
7) Research phenomena affecting accurate positioning, including but not limited to: satellite orbits, refraction, multipath, antenna calibration, and crustal motion.

4.8 Funding Levels

NGS expects to serve many more customers in the near future by offering several new products and services as has been documented in this document. NGS proposes meeting the challenges associated with the new level of service with a modest 20 percent increase to its base budget by 2011.

Project to Address Challenge: Customer Support

As a result of the introduction of several new CORS-related products and services, NGS expects that its day-to-day customer base will grow, perhaps by a factor of 10, over the next few years. It will be a challenge to provide quality customer support to a community this large.

Project to Address Challenge: Technical Expertise

To keep pace with technological progress, NGS will need to recruit additional scientists, engineers, and IT-personnel familiar with space-based positioning and modern telecommunications.

Annex A

Continuously Operating Reference Station (CORS): History, Applications, and Future Enhancements

Richard A. Snay and Tomás Soler

This paper is part of the *Journal of Surveying Engineering*, Vol. 134, No. 4, November 1, 2008.

Abstract: The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's National Geodetic Survey (NGS) manages the National Continuously Operating Reference Station (CORS) system that comprises a network of over 1,350 sites, each containing a geodetic quality Global Navigation Satellite System receiver. This network is currently growing at a rate of about 15 sites per month. NGS collects, processes, and distributes data from these sites in support of high-accuracy three-dimensional positioning activities throughout the United States, its territories, and a few foreign countries. CORS data are also used by geophysicists, meteorologists, atmospheric and ionospheric scientists, and others in support of a wide variety of applications. This paper addresses the history of the CORS network, some of its applications, and plans for enhancing it within the next few years.

DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9453(2008)134:4(95)

Historical Introduction

The history of the Continuously Operating Reference Stations (CORS) system is intimately connected to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA's) National Geodetic Survey (NGS) and this agency's mission to define, maintain, and provide access to the U.S. National Spatial Reference System (NSRS). The NSRS constitutes the official system of the civilian government for enabling a user to determine geodetic latitude, longitude and height, plus orthometric height, geopotential, acceleration of gravity, and deflection of the vertical at any point within the United States and its territories. The NSRS contains information about its orientation and scale relative to international reference frames, as well as the precise orbits of all satellites used in defining or accessing the NSRS. Last, the NSRS also contains all necessary information to describe how all of these quantities change over time. The NSRS is crucial for meeting our nation's economic, social, and environmental needs.

NGS recognized the potential contributions of the Global Positioning System (GPS) for enhancing the NSRS in the early stages of GPS development. Hence, in the late 1980s, this agency embarked on applying GPS instrumentation and field techniques to improve the NSRS. NGS quickly converted its traditional horizontal field operations (which applied line-of-sight instruments) to three-dimensional (3D) field operations using GPS instrumentation. Snay (1989) reported that traditional line-of-sight techniques provided positional coordinates with relative accuracies of approximately 1:250,000 among the primary horizontal reference stations in the NSRS. GPS, on the other hand, easily yields relative accuracies exceeding 1:1,000,000. In addition, because of the line-of-sight requirement, many of the older reference stations had been installed in locations, like mountain tops, which are difficult to access.

NGS first applied GPS to determine positional coordinates for the brass disks and other monuments that served as traditional reference stations. Starting with Tennessee in 1987, NGS collaborated with various state and federal agencies and others to establish a high accuracy reference network (HARN)—also called a high precision geodetic network—in each of the 50 states. For each HARN survey, many new reference marks were positioned so that, as compared to the preexisting reference marks, the new ones would be located in more accessible places (e.g., near public roads) and/or they would provide a relatively less obstructed view of the sky. These statewide HARNs were embedded into a more accurate sparse nationwide network whose points were also positioned using GPS techniques, first in 1987 and again in 1990 (Soler et al. 1992). Once a HARN survey was completed in a particular state, NGS performed a statewide readjustment of the HARN data, together with all archived classical geodetic surveys and local GPS projects performed in that state, to compute consistent positional coordinates for the associated ground marks.

Anticipating the need to perform accurate HARN surveys, NGS introduced, in the fall of 1986, the Cooperative International GPS Network (CIGNET) (Chin et al. 1987), the forerunner of the CORS network. Each CIGNET site was equipped with a high quality dual frequency GPS receiver that continuously recorded signals from GPS satellites. The primary intention was to make dependable tracking data available from a network of ground stations to compute precise ephemeredes (orbits) for the GPS satellites. In 1989, CIGNET contained three stations in the United States (MOJA in Mojave, Calif.; RICH in Richmond, Fla.; and WEST in Westford, Mass.). These early CORS were equipped with Mini-Mac 2816-AT dual-frequency codeless receivers (Aero Service Division, Western Geophysical Company of America, Houston). In 1990, CIGNET expanded into the southern hemisphere. By the end of 1991, CIGNET comprised a total of 21 sites spanning all continents except Antarctica. As is now the case, all tracking data were collected by several partners and made freely available to GPS investigators through NGS archives (Schenewerk et al. 1990). Gradually, NGS augmented the CIGNET network, generating the core of the first public global GPS network that, unknowingly at the time, evolved into the current International Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) Service (IGS) network under the auspices of the International Association of Geodesy.

The concept of covering the entire United States with a network of CORS to enhance the NSRS was first postulated by Strange (1994). Soon after, Strange and Weston (1995) published a preliminary description of the CORS system. Around this same time, several other federal agencies were also starting to establish networks of continuously operating GPS base stations, but for different reasons. The U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) wished to supplement its LORAN radionavigation service by offering the differential GPS (DGPS) service to support safe marine navigation in U.S. coastal waters. Similarly, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) sought a cost efficient navigation system to support their inland waterway operations (dredging, hydrographic surveys, etc.). They collaborated with the USCG to extend the DGPS service inland along several of the major rivers. Finally, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) wanted to use some type of CORS to support safe air navigation. The FAA developed their Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS). Other federal agencies like NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) and the U.S. Geological Survey were already heavily invested in using CORS sites to determine satellite orbits and study crustal motion. Because of the similarities between these projects, the U.S. General Accounting Office directed these agencies to work together and to coordinate activities and equipment procurements to reduce the expense to the federal government and the U.S. taxpayer. NGS found itself in an advisory role helping to define the GPS equipment specifications needed to support the missions of all these agencies (Spofford and Weston 1998).

Since the late 1980s, data from both CIGNET and JPL sites were used to support global GPS orbit computations (Schutz et al. 1990). In 1994, NGS officially began building the CORS network by installing a GPS receiver on the campus of the National Institutes of Standards and Technology, formerly called the National Bureau of Standards, in Gaithersburg, Md. Six months later, NGS installed a GPS receiver near Boulder, Colo. and with time incorporated into the CORS network a number of continuously operating GPS fiducial stations that originally were part of CIGNET. Data from all these sites were made available via the Internet and, progressively, NGS added selected U.S. permanent GPS base stations to the CORS network.

The USCG and USACE began installing their DGPS sites and FAA their WAAS sites in 1995. NGS worked with these agencies to incorporate both the DGPS and WAAS sites into the CORS network. The initial phase of the USCG network was largely completed by January 1996, although more sites have since been added. Other federal, state, and locally sponsored continuously operating receivers were identified and gradually included into the CORS network from 1995 onwards. By 1995 NGS obtained access to more than 50 geodetic quality GPS receivers, most of them deployed by USCG and other participating agencies without the need by NGS to install, maintain, or operate any of the sites. The Texas Department of Transportation was the first state agency to join the CORS system with the inclusion of their ten-station Regional Reference Point network that provided significant coverage in Texas. By 1996 the number of CORS sites had increased to 85. By making contact with interested agencies and arranging to exchange data, NGS expanded the network to 108 sites by December 1997. The 200-site milestone was surpassed in 2000, and since then the CORS network has grown to its current size of approximately 1,350 sites, and it continues to grow in importance as the primary way for the geodetic-surveying community to access the NSRS. At present, the CORS network contains stations in the United States, Canada, Mexico, Central and South America, the Caribbean, and Iraq. More than 200 organizations participate in the program. Recently some sites of EarthScope's Plate Boundary Observatory (PBO), established in the western part of the North American continent to detect crustal motions, have been incorporated into the CORS network.

Although the number of CORS sites is currently growing at a rate of about 15 sites per month, the total number of permanent GPS tracking stations in the United States is probably growing perhaps twice as fast. An ongoing project, that may take several years to complete, is to determine an accurate orthometric height for each CORS site. Determining the orthometric height of a CORS site may require special methodology (Greenfeld and Sens 2003) depending on the location and the type of antenna mounting.

The latest international installation by NGS of a CORS antenna was done near Fortaleza, Brazil, where the local tie between the new and the old reference points was remeasured to about 1 mm accuracy. The receiver at this site is connected to an external atomic hydrogen-maser clock. According to Ray et al. (2007), the performance of this clock is among the best of any H-maser station in the combined CORS-IGS network.

The National CORS system is rapidly becoming the preferred method for accurate 3D positioning in the United States and abroad. The advantage to GPS practitioners is that they only need to deploy one GPS receiver and download corresponding CORS data via the Internet to process these data in differential mode. The Web-based utility, UFCORS (see the following), has made such downloads easy. As part of the CORS project, NGS is working with scientists around the world to develop digital models and techniques that will enable GPS users to determine accurate positions economically and in a timely manner. Fig. 1 shows the geographic distribution of CORS sites as of May 2008. The primary access for CORS information is via the Web (http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/CORS/). See also the articles by Snay et al. (2002b) and Stone (2006).

The CORS network continues to evolve as we speak. It is expected to increase by ~200 stations in 2008 due to the large number of organizations establishing real-time positioning networks and the project to build EarthScope's PBO for monitoring crustal motion. This rate of growth will result in a CORS network with average intersite distances on the order of 100 km in the contiguous United States. In light of this growth, NGS has recently updated its guidelines for establishing CORS sites (NGS 2006), improved its tracking of metadata, upgraded its GPS analysis software (called PAGES), and is planning a complete reanalysis of all IGS plus CORS data observed since 1994. The latter activity will be performed in collaboration with several other IGS Analysis Centers, and it is expected to be completed within the year 2010.



Fig. 1. Operational CORS sites as of May 2008

CORS and the Definition of the NSRS

NGS derived the original realization of the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83) in 1986 by performing a rigorous adjustment of most of the classical geodetic observations in its archives together with Doppler observations and a few very long baseline interferometry (VLBI) baselines (Schwarz 1989). This original realization is called NAD 83 (1986). With improvements in our knowledge of terrestrial reference frames, NGS has introduced several newer realizations of NAD 83, refining at each step the adopted coordinates. In 1998 NGS introduced the current realization, called NAD 83 (CORS96), which is based on the CORS network by defining a transformation from the International Terrestrial Reference Frame of 1996 (ITRF96) to NAD 83 (Craymer et al. 2000). In both reference systems, ITRF and NAD 83 (CORS96), the 3D positional coordinates of each CORS is complemented by a 3D velocity to account for crustal motion. A more recent ITRF realization is known as the ITRF2000. ITRF2000 coordinates and velocities may be transformed to corresponding NAD 83 (CORS96) values using equations and parameters described by Soler and Snay (2004). The NAD 83 (CORS96) positional coordinates are published for an epoch date of 2002.0, except in Alaska and California where epoch dates of 2003.0 and 2004.0, respectively, have been adopted because of recent earthquakes. One must apply the adopted velocities to compute positional coordinates for any other epoch date. At this writing, the coordinates and velocities of the CORS sites form the foundation of the NSRS and the recently completed NAD 83 (NSRS2007) readjustment (Vorhauer 2007).

It is important to note that CORS sites located in Hawaii and other Pacific islands have been used to define the NAD 83 (PACP00) reference frame for points located on the Pacific tectonic plate. Similarly CORS sites located in Guam have been used to define the NAD83 (MARP00) reference frame for points located on the Mariana tectonic plate. More information about the procedures used to define these two reference frames is available in Snay (2003). CORS sites have been also employed to establish accurate geodetic control in other countries such as Mexico (Soler and Hernández-Navarro 2006a) and Jamaica (Newsome and Harvey 2003).

When a CORS site first comes on line, NGS uses at least ten 24-h GPS data sets to compute this station's ITRF2000 positional coordinates relative to other stations in the global IGS network. Also, NGS uses the horizontal time-dependent positioning (HTDP) software (Snay 1999) to predict the station's ITRF2000 velocity. NGS then transforms the ITRF2000 positional coordinates and velocity for this CORS site into their corresponding NAD 83 (CORS96) values via the adopted 14-parameter similarity transformation (Soler and Snay 2004).

Every few years, NGS reprocesses all CORS data collected since 1994 to compute provisional positions and velocities for all CORS relative to the current ITRF realization: call it ITRFxx. If, for any station, these provisional ITRFxx positional coordinates differ from the currently adopted ITRFxx positional coordinates by more than 1 cm in the north-south or east-west component or by more than 2 cm in the vertical component, then NGS adopts the provisional position and velocity to supersede the previously adopted ITRFxx position and velocity.

In addition to this validation process, NGS performs a solution for each day to monitor the quality of adopted CORS positional coordinates. Each solution includes all CORS data collected during the 24-h period spanning that day. As a by-product, NGS compiles plots showing differences between the published ITRF2000 coordinates and the values obtained from the daily solutions, corrected for crustal motion, for the latest 60 days. The results are plotted relative to a local horizon (north-east-up) coordinate frame and are made available to the general public through the CORS Web page (ftp://www.ngs.noaa.gov/cors/Plots/xxxx.pdf; where xxxx denotes the site's four-character identification). The movement or replacement of the antenna or an unexpected natural phenomenon may displace the position of the CORS reference point. Geophysical processes (earthquakes, volcanic activity, etc.) may also produce significant station displacements that should be documented. This information is critical to CORS users if they want to use CORS data to determine accurate positional coordinates for points of interest to them. When the trend of the 60-day series of daily estimates differ from this station's adopted positional coordinates by more than the tolerances described in the preceding paragraph (1 cm horizontal; 2 cm vertical), then NGS carefully analyzes the available data to determine whether or not this station's published positional coordinates and velocities should be updated. Similar analysis is done with respect to the adopted NAD 83 (CORS96) coordinates. When the daily provisional transformed coordinates referred to the NAD 83 frame differ by more than 2 cm in the north-south or east-west component or by more than 4 cm in the vertical component, then NGS adopts the provisional NAD 83 positional coordinates and velocity to supersede the previously adopted NAD 83 values. As a result of these less stringent tolerances, adopted NAD 83 (CORS96) positional coordinates and velocities are less likely to be updated than their ITRF counterparts. However, this NGS policy, established in 1999 is currently being discussed at NGS for possible revision to lower tolerances in response to both internal and external requests.

For those agencies whose sites are included in the CORS network, NGS computes highly accurate 3D positional coordinates and velocities in the NSRS for their site antennas, provides an international data distribution mechanism, monitors the positions of the antennas on a daily basis, and notifies the agencies when movements of the antennas are detected. In exchange, the agencies notify NGS when they change equipment or software so that NGS can keep CORS users abreast of the status of the CORS sites. Scientific users who monitor very small movements of the Earth's crust are especially interested in any antenna changes so that they can account for those effects when they undertake long-term analyses of site locations. When antenna changes are detected and corrections made, NGS immediately publicizes this information through the CORS Newsletter (http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/CORS/newsletter1/).

In March 2001, efficient access to the NSRS through GPS was introduced with the release of the On-line Positioning User Service (OPUS) utility. OPUS is an automatic service that requires the user to input only a minimal amount of information; its instructions are self-explanatory and its Web page contains enough details to be followed easily (http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/OPUS/). However, OPUS has a few restrictions users should be aware of: first, and most importantly, OPUS provides a differential GPS static solution. Second, a minimum of 2 h of GPS observations are recommended to obtain surveying-geodetic accuracies (Soler et al. 2006b). Third, a maximum of 48 h of GPS data is permitted (the GPS data can cross midnight only once). Fourth, the submitted data file must contain dual-frequency (L1/L2) carrier phase observables. Finally, GLONASS observations cannot be processed at this time, although in the future they will be accepted along with Galileo data, as the constellation of this European navigational system becomes available. Strictly based on Internet access, OPUS provides the geospatial community with positioning referred to both the ITRF2000 and the NAD 83 (CORS96) reference frames. OPUS routinely achieves accuracies (reported as "peak-to-peak" values) better than 2 cm in the horizontal dimensions and 5 cm in the vertical dimension by using corresponding data from three nearby CORS sites. Readers interested in knowing the statistical meaning of the peak-to-peak values reported by OPUS should read Schwarz (2006). More detailed information about OPUS may be obtained in a number of references, e.g., Mader et al. (2003); Stone (2006); Soler et al. (2006c); and Weston et al. (2007). The infrastructure of OPUS is the GPS data and the fiducial control available from the CORS sites. The original idea of creating CORS to support GPS surveying activities reached a new level of efficiency with the introduction of OPUS. The geodetic, surveying, mapping, and GIS communities have embraced OPUS with great enthusiasm. The progress of this Internet-based utility has been nothing less than spectacular since its inception in 2001. Fig. 2 depicts OPUS usage by county during the 12-month period from May 2007 to April 2008. As Fig. 2 shows, during this period a total of 171,573 OPUS solutions were successfully processed. For completeness, it should be reported that during the same 1-year period OPUS processed a total of 23,502 data sets observed outside the United States. The number of OPUS users is expected to increase significantly now that NGS is broadening the functionality of OPUS. On January 31, 2007, the first variation of OPUS, called OPUS-RS (rapid static), was declared "initially" operational. Like the original implementation of OPUS, OPUS-RS computes positions in differential mode for dual-frequency data collected by a GPS receiver. What's new about OPUS-RS is a new processing engine (Schwarz 2008), allowing as little as 15 min of data, while (generally) maintaining the accuracy of the original OPUS. The user can send GPS data to OPUS-RS by accessing: http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/OPUS/. For a brief introduction to OPUS-RS, see Martin (2007); Meade (2007). A practical example of how OPUS-RS can be applied to day-to-day work in surveying engineering is described in Lazio (2007). Another variation of OPUS will be OPUS-DB (database) that will require a minimum of 4 h of observations but will give surveyors, geodetic engineers, and others the option of archiving the resulting positional coordinates in an NGS database for public accessibility. Finally, OPUS-Mapper is being developed to process L1 code data to determine positional coordinates accurate enough for mapping and GIS applications. Although each of these functionalities are being developed in phases, they will ultimately all be part of an integrated "OPUS" utility.



Fig. 2. OPUS usage by county from May 2007 to April 2008

Data Archives

All CORS data are collected at two facilities, one located in Silver Spring, Md. and the other in Boulder, Colo. At each facility, the GPS data are organized into various types of formatted files (RINEX, Hatanaka, etc.) for public distribution. People may freely access these data files and related metadata either via anonymous file transfer protocol (ftp://www.ngs.noaa.gov/cors/) or via the World Wide Web (http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/CORS/). In January 2000, NGS introduced a new interface to the CORS web site. This new interface is known as CORSAGE (CORS Amiable Geographic Environment) because it enables people to access CORS data and metadata through a series of geographic maps. The CORS homepage itself features an index map in which the total area of CORS coverage has been partitioned into several color-coded regions, each usually involving a few states. On a regional map, a user can click his/her mouse on the map symbol representing a particular CORS site to obtain a window containing a local map that pinpoints this site's location relative to nearby population centers, major roads, and other geographic features. A menu appears to the left of the local map which enables users to view/download particular information about this site, for example, a file containing the site's positional coordinates and velocity. Another item on this menu enables users to view a calendar displaying—with 10-min resolution—the time span when CORS data are available for this site. Inspecting such calendars can save users from downloading and processing files that contain undesirable data gaps. Other menu items provide access to the site's GPS data and to files containing certain descriptive information about this site (type of GPS equipment, responsible institution, contact person, history of receiver and antenna replacements, etc.). Access to CORS information using a geographic Google interface has recently been added.

UFCORS

In November 1998, NGS introduced the "user-friendly" CORS (UFCORS) information server that enables users to request and receive GPS data and associated metadata (satellite ephemeris and station-specific descriptive information) for stations in the CORS network via the World Wide Web. UFCORS provides a convenient alternative to both the anonymous FTP information server and the Web-based "standard" CORS information server for retrieving CORS information. UFCORS allows a user to select a comprehensive package of information for a particular station and a particular time interval. Anonymous FTP and the standard CORS information server provide the information only in the format that is stored at NGS, whereas UFCORS can repackage the information into any of several different formats. For example, with UFCORS a person can download GPS data files for any discretionary number of hours ($\leq$24). Also UFCORS allows users to select how the requested data files should be compressed. UFCORS also can interpolate GPS data to sampling rates, other than the standard 30-s rate. Finally, UFCORS can decimate archived CORS data of one sampling rate to a user specified sampling rate of greater value. Anonymous FTP remains the most popular CORS information server in terms of data volume. More than 581 gigabytes of CORS data were distributed via anonymous FTP in April 2008 (Fig. 3(a)), whereas UFCORS distributed about 66 Gbytes in April 2008 (Fig. 3(b)). Anonymous FTP is the server of choice among users that download GPS data from many CORS sites on a regular basis. Users who download CORS data only occasionally or only from a few stations prefer to use UFCORS.





Figure 3. Monthly volumes of CORS data downloaded (a) using FTP; (b) using UFCORS

CORS Applications

In addition to the primary application of CORS, to enable accurate positioning relative to the NSRS, CORS has been pivotal in advancing other, well documented, multidisciplinary investigations. The scientific literature is flooded with articles citing CORS as the basis for their experiments and/or research projects. The realm of applications is diverse and multifaceted and it is expected that this trend will continue in the future. CORS has already made an impact on solid Earth science and is on the fringe of significantly impacting atmospheric science. In the following sections, we describe a few areas where the use of CORS data was significant in advancing scientific knowledge.

Upgrading the NSRS

NGS recently completed an adjustment involving GPS data observed at ~70,000 geodetic marks during the past 20 years. This adjustment termed NAD 83 (NSRS2007) held fixed the published NAD 83 (CORS96) 3D positional coordinates of the CORS sites, to obtain a solution whose coordinates are consistent with the NAD 83 (CORS96) frame. Once more, the CORS network fulfills its primary mission of implementing the NSRS. Thus, because of the procedure followed Vorhauer 2007) these ~70,000 geodetic marks now have positional coordinates that are compatible with the NAD 83 (CORS96) reference frame.

Assessing GPS Observational Accuracies

The availability of continuous GPS data from stations well distributed throughout the United States makes it possible to design experiments aimed to answer many questions related to GPS methodologies now in vogue and to expand our understanding of scientific phenomena. Eckl et al. (2001) and Snay et al. (2002a) studied the accuracy of GPS-derived relative positions as a function of interstation distance and observing-session duration using data from 19 CORS sites. Eleven baselines connecting pairs of these 19 sites were formed with lengths ranging from 26 to 300 km. GPS data for each baseline was partitioned into 10 nonoverlapping 24-h sessions. These same data were also subdivided into 20 nonoverlapping 12-h sessions, 30 nonoverlapping 8-h sessions, 40 nonoverlapping 6-h sessions and, finally, 60 nonoverlapping 4-h sessions. The results of this investigation empirically demonstrated that the dependence of accuracy on baseline length is negligibly small, whereas the dependence on the duration time of the observing session obeys the following simple mnemonic rule when the observing time spans 4 h or longer:

$$RMSE = k / \sqrt{T} \qquad (1)$$

where k = 1.0 in each horizontal dimension (north and east) and k = 3.7in the vertical dimension.

In Eq. (1), the root mean square error (RMSE) is given in centimeters when T denotes the duration of the observation session in hours and k is a constant (cm√h). Soler et al. (2006b) reached similar conclusions for data sets having durations of 2, 3, and 4 h. Experiments involving 1-h data sets suffered from an inability to reliably determine the integer values of the carrier phase ambiguities caused by the nonaveraging atmospheric conditions at the control stations. Preliminary results, however, demonstrate that OPUS-RS can produce accurate positional coordinates for observing sessions as short as 15 min in duration by interpolating atmospheric conditions measured at CORS sites to the location where the OPUS-RS user collected his/her GPS data.

Multipath Studies

For GPS antennas, multipath errors are caused by the interference of signals that have reached the receiver antenna by two or more different paths, usually caused by one path being bounced or reflected from the ground or nearby surfaces (buildings, fences, etc.). The understanding of multipath effects is important to decipher the systematic errors associated with a particular station and antenna and the possibility to correct for them. Hilla and Cline (2004) conducted an investigation to evaluate the amount of multipath occurring at each of 390+ sites contained in the National CORS network. This study identified the most and least affected sites in the network, compared different receiver/antenna combinations, and investigated closely those sites that appeared to be severely affected by multipath. Dual-frequency carrier phase and pseudorange measurements were used to estimate the amount of L1 and L2 pseudorange multipath at each site over a one-year period. A similar study (Park et al. 2004) combining CORS and IGS sites also found that the postfit phase residuals were highly dependent on the GPS antenna type. This investigation concluded that antenna types with choke rings are very effective in suppressing multipath and that multipath is highly dependent on the unique environment at each site.

Crustal Motion

Crustal motion monitoring is perhaps one of the most obvious of all CORS applications. If CORS data are rigorously processed and analyzed during a period of several years, then the motion of the Earth's crust can be determined wherever the CORS network provides sufficient coverage.

Gan and Prescott (2001) analyzed GPS data observed between 1996 and 2000 for 62 CORS sites distributed throughout the central and eastern United States. Their results suggest that no significant horizontal crustal motion occurred during this time period in this part of the country, except possibly in the lower Mississippi River Valley. This particular area appears to be moving southward relative to the rest of the continent at an average rate of 1.7±0.9 mm/ year. Although this rate is not statistically significant at the 95% confidence level, the fact that the motion occurs near New Madrid, Mo.—where earthquake risk is thought to be high—argues that the motion may be real.

Sella et al. (2002) applied GPS data from the CORS network, together with data from a worldwide distribution of stations, to produce a global "recent velocity" (REVEL) model that quantifies the motions of 19 tectonic plates and continental blocks during the 1993–2000 time interval.

Park et al. (2002) used data from 60 CORS sites to estimate the upper and lower mantle viscosity by comparing radial site velocities with velocities inferred from glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA) models. In addition, their GPS-derived velocities are consistent with previous estimates obtained using different methods and data over different time spans.

Recently, the deformation occurring within the North American plate interior was estimated using 10 years of GPS data from the CORS network processed using both the GIPSY and GAMIT software packages (Calais et al. 2006). These authors analyzed data from about 300 CORS stations covering the central and eastern United States. The investigation indicates that the velocity field is described within uncertainties by a simple rigid plate rotation that is distorted in some areas by a deformation pattern consistent with GIA. Similarly, using CORS sites and episodic GPS data in Canada and the United States, Sella et al. (2007) show that the strongest signal within the nominally stable interior of the North American plate is the effect of GIA due to mass unloading during deglaciation. On a more local scale, Dokka et al. (2006) used GPS data from CORS sites to infer that southeast Louisiana, including New Orleans and the larger Mississippi Delta, are both subsiding vertically and moving southward with respect to the interior of the North American plate.

Sea Level Changes

The variations of vertical crustal velocities at CORS sites near tide gauge stations may be used to determine the "absolute" sea level change with respect to the International Terrestrial Reference Frame. This type of analysis was impossible to conduct before the proliferation of CORS in coastal areas. Recently, a study by Snay et al. (2007) involving 37 tide gauge stations, distributed along the U.S. and Canadian coasts, such that each is located within 40 km of a CORS site, determined rigorously the crustal velocity near tide gauge stations from GPS observations spanning between 3 and 11 years. After calibrating historical tidal data with these derived crustal velocities, the results show that the mean rate of absolute sea level change equals 1.80±0.18 mm/ year for the 1900–1999 interval. The same investigation determined the absolute rate of sea level change equals −1.19±0.70 mm/ year along the southern Alaskan coastline. This lowering of absolute sea level near southern Alaska is probably due to ongoing melting of mountain glaciers and ice masses. With time, more CORS data will become available near tide gauges to conduct investigations able to accurately estimate vertical crustal velocities and thereby absolute sea level rates with greater certainty.

Tropospheric Studies

The delay of GPS signals, which is caused by the refractivity of the troposphere or electrically neutral atmosphere, is associated with temperature, pressure, and the distribution of water vapor up to a height of about 16 km. If the atmospheric pressure is known with reasonable accuracy at the elevation of the GPS antenna, then the total "wet" and "dry" delay at the site can be effectively separated with little error. Mapping the resulting wet signal delay into the integrated (total column) precipitable water vapor (IPW) is accomplished in a straightforward manner if the mean vapor-weighted temperature of the atmosphere is known. Water vapor is one of the most important constituents of the Earth's atmosphere. It is the source of clouds and precipitation, and an ingredient in most major weather events. IPW varies greatly over the planet: ~5 mm near the poles and ~50 mm near the equator. Most (~95%) of the water in the atmosphere resides below 5 km (or essentially below the 500 hPa pressure surface). Significant changes in the vertical and horizontal distribution of water vapor can occur rapidly _minutes to hours_ during active weather.

NOAA's Earth Systems Research Laboratory (ESRL), formerly called NOAA's Forecast Systems Laboratory, has developed the capability to estimate the spatial and temporal variation of tropospheric delay within the contiguous United States (CONUS) (Gutman et al. 2004). Their prediction process is based on modeling the delay using GPS observations from the CORS network in combination with other meteorological data. They update their model every hour. It is possible to use CORS sites to estimate the tropospheric signal delay at each station with high accuracy because of stringent instrument requirements for high accuracy GPS positioning. Data from a network of approximately 385 CORS sites are assimilated hourly into the operational version of the rapid update cycle (RUC) numerical weather prediction model which refers the results to a two-dimensional (2D) horizontal grid having a 13-km nodal spacing.

The Global Systems Division of NOAA's ESRL has developed NOAATrop, a new way to improve GPS positioning, navigation and timing accuracy using real-time weather data at CORS sites (Gutman et al. 2004). NOAATrop is available for download at ftp://aftp.fsl.noaa.gov/gpsmet/zwdgrids/. This information provides zenith wet delay and ALT (a proxy for zenith hydrostatic delay) for a 2D grid with 13-km resolution over the CONUS. NOAATrop is based on RUC, an operational model that is updated hourly. The root mean square accuracy of the modeled delays is currently ~2 cm in cold seasons and ~4 cm in warm seasons.

Ionospheric Studies

Wide area ionospheric models have been developed to model and mitigate local ionospheric effects. Such models are based on dual frequency observations from a subset of the CORS network. The ionosphere is a dispersive medium located in the region of the upper atmosphere that begins at an altitude of around 50 km and extends upwards several hundred kilometers. The radiation from the Sun and particles precipitating from the magnetosphere produces free electrons and ions that cause phase advances and group delays in radio waves. The state of the ionosphere is a function of the intensity of solar and magnetic activity, position on the Earth, local time and other factors. As GPS signals traverse the ionosphere, they are delayed by an amount proportional to the total electron content (TEC) within the ionosphere at a given time. Daily maps showing the estimation of TEC over the CONUS based on CORS data from about 180 stations have been produced at NGS and distributed through the Internet since 1997 (Musman et al. 1997).

Recently NOAA's Space Weather Prediction Center (SWPC) began modeling TEC in 3D for CONUS using CORS data (Fuller-Rowell et al. 2006). This model is updated every 15 min with a latency of 30 min (http://www.sec.noaa.ustec/index.html). This product is designed to quantify TEC over CONUS in near real time and has evolved through collaboration between the SWPC, NGS, ESRL, and NOAA's National Geophysical Data Center.

Data from the CORS network have been used in studies of large-scale ionospheric disturbances caused by geomagnetic storms on a continental scale (Tsugawa et al. 2003). Investigations to correct for ionospheric effects in local CORS networks (e.g., Ohio State) established for real-time kinematics (RTK) applications have proliferated lately with the deployment of state-operated CORS networks (Wielgoz et al. 2005a,b; Grejner-Brzezinska et al. 2007). GPS data from CORS sites have been used to test ionospheric models aimed to improve long baseline differential GPS positioning of rovers using only L1 data (Mohino et al. 2007). Finally, Smith (2004) experimentally introduced an interesting alternative approach to compute absolute (unambiguous) TEC values relying only on dual frequency ambiguous carrier phase data from the CORS network, though the approach was only a research prototype.

Geolocation of Aerial Moving Platforms

Data available from CORS sites has been used in many remote sensing applications. The accurate positioning of aircrafts employed in aerial mapping is crucial to improve the reliability of photogrammetric restitution primarily for large-scale aerial survey applications over remote or inaccessible terrain. The same concepts implemented for geolocating landmarks from the air with digital cameras has been extended to a broad array of mapping terrain applications using cutting edge technologies such as scanning radar, light detection and ranging (LiDAR), inertial systems, interferometric synthetic aperture radar, and/or sonar. The use of CORS data in airborne mapping processes has proven to provide a significant alternative (Mostafa 2005). The utility of CORS sites in differential GPS aircraft positioning was investigated by Booth and Lunde (2003) showing that very accurate carrier phase differential results can be obtained using much longer baselines than originally thought. The aerial mapping community will certainly benefit from the growing number of CORS sites. Perhaps, the decisive factor in all these applications is the accessibility to GPS data at a 1-s sampling rate instead of the standard of 30-s sampling rate. NGS has cooperated with federal, state, and private institutions to schedule ahead of time changes at specified CORS sites to the 1-s sampling rate. This facilitates the postprocessing of airborne GPS data to accurately estimate the position of a plethora of aerial moving platforms. For example, NGS's Remote Sensing Division obtains aerial imagery to assess hurricane damage. These missions are well served by CORS data, collected at a 1-s sampling rate, to accurately determine the travel path of the aircraft being used to collect aerial imagery. NGS personnel worked closely with their CORS partners to temporarily increase data sampling rates after the hurricanes of 2005. The imagery of areas affected by individual hurricanes is available at www.ngs.noaa.gov.

On the CORS Horizon

In December 2006, NGS installed a new CORS near the tide gauge station located in Key West, Fla. This CORS will help relate local sea level change at Key West to the globally consistent, rigorously defined International Terrestrial Reference Frame. Indeed, NGS plans to install a CORS at each of several additional tide gauge stations contained in the U.S. National Water Level Observation Network (NWLON). Established in 1913, the Key West tide gauge station is one of the longest continually operational stations contained in the NWLON.

The new CORS at Key West is also significant because it is the first CORS, installed by NGS, which collects both GPS and GLONASS data. A number of CORS partners have begun upgrading stations to collect both GPS and GLONASS data, and NGS will soon begin distributing such GNSS data to CORS users.

Additionally, several CORS are streaming GPS data in real time to NGS headquarters in Silver Spring, Md. NGS will broadcast these data to the public in real time to support the growth of regional GNSS networks that enable real-time positioning in the United States. In response to user demands, more than 40 organizations, both public and private, are now establishing such regional GNSS networks. Also, many more of these regional real-time positioning networks are expected to be established in the near future. NGS needs to support these networks by developing appropriate standards and guidelines so that:

• Promulgated positional coordinates and velocities for the corresponding GNSS base stations are compatible with the NSRS;
• User equipment can operate with services from different real-time GNSS networks to the greatest extent possible; and
• Stations contained in each real-time network meet prescribed criteria in terms of stability and data quality.

Accordingly, NGS is considering the possibility of streaming GNSS data from about 200 federally funded CORS so that this agency may understand the intricacies involved in operating a real-time GNSS network to the extent necessary to develop appropriate standards and guidelines.

NGS encourages the institutions, who are providing real-time positioning services, to use the NGS-provided data in their operations so as to (1) supplement the data from other GNSS base stations, and (2) use the positional coordinates and velocities of the GNSS stations contained in the NGS real-time network as fiducial values for determining positional coordinates and velocities of other real-time GNSS stations.

Also, NGS is planning to stream these data because U.S. citizens should have real-time access to data from federally funded stations in the CORS network whenever it is economically and technically feasible to do so. It is important to emphasize that NGS intends to stream only the GNSS observables and *not* "correctors" to these observables. Also, NGS does not intend to stream GNSS data that are already being streamed by another organization. In all likelihood, NGS will use NTRIP (networked transport of RTCM standard via internet protocol) to broadcast the stream of GNSS observables over the Internet.

Conclusions

The intent of this paper was to summarize the history, applications, and future prospects of the CORS network by describing the more important contributions of the CORS system to the scientific community. Many surveying engineers, geodesists, mapping specialists, as well as scientists from different backgrounds, are using CORS on a daily basis by downloading GPS data through UFCORS and anonymous FTP, and then postprocessing these data for a variety of applications. The CORS network has contributed significantly to geodetic positioning by providing easy and accurate access to the NSRS. The CORS network should also be recognized for supporting the research of numerous scientific investigators. Finally, the CORS network serves as the primary data source for all types of OPUS solutions.

Acknowledgments

This paper is dedicated to Bill Strange, the "Father of CORS." Bill's vision and impetus when he served as NGS's Chief Geodesist provided the inspiration that shaped the early days of the CORS program. Although initially designed to support geodetic activities, the CORS program has contributed to several additional scientific applications. Other important contributors from NGS include, in alphabetical order: Gordon Adams, Donna Amoroso, Nancy Brantner, Hong Chen, Miranda Chin, Michael Cline, Cindy Craig, Dave Crump,William Dillinger, Dave Doyle, Nancy Doyle, Jim Drosdak, Robert Dulaney, Mark Eckl, Joseph Evjen, Richard Foote, Steve Frakes, Don Haw, Steve Hilla, Michelle Ho, Toni Hollingsworth, Ying Jin, William Kass, Gerald Mader, Richard Male, Ernie Marion, Frank Mowry, Linda Nussear, Julie Prusky, Jim Ray, Jim Rohde, Bruce Sailer, Donna Sailer, Mark Schenewerk, Charles Schwarz, Giovanni Sella, Dru Smith, Paul Spofford, Lijuan Sun, Vicki Veilleux, and Neil Weston. Their continuous striving for perfection has been exemplary. Finally, the success of the CORS program is due to contributions from more than 200 organizations, with each organization operating at least one CORS. For a current list of these organizations, please see the CORS Newsletter at www.ngs.noaa.gov/CORS/. Comments on the draft made by John Hamilton and two anonymous reviewers are greatly appreciated.

References

Booth, J., and Lunde, T. (2003). "Carrier-phase DGPS system accuracy tests: Increasing baseline distance and using CORS network data." *Proc., ION GPS/GNSS 2003*, Institute of Navigation (ION), Fairfax, Va., 889–896.

Calais, E., Han, J. Y., DeMets, C., and Nocquet, J. M. (2006). "Deformation of the North American plate interior from a decade of continuousGPS measurements." *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111(B06402), 1–23.

Chin, M. M., Crump, D. R., and Berstis, K. A. (1987). "The status of the NGS GPS orbit tracking network (abstract)." *EOS Trans. Am. Geophys. Union*, 68(44), 1239–1239.

Craymer, M., Ferland, R., and Snay, R. (2000). "Realization and unification of the NAD 83 in Canada and the U.S. via the ITRF." *Towards an integrated global geodetic observing system (IGGOS)*, R. Rummel, H. Drewes, W. Bosch, and H. Hornik, eds., International Association of Geodesy Symposia, Vol. 120, Springer, Berlin, 118–121.

Dokka, R. K., Sella, G. F., and Dixon, T. H. (2006). "Tectonic control of subsidence and southward displacement of southeast Louisiana with respect to stable North America." *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33(L23308), 1–5.

Eckl, M. C., Snay, R., Soler, T., Cline, M. W., and Mader, G. L. (2001). "Accuracy of GPS-derived relative positions as a function of interstation distance and observing-session duration." *J. Geodesy, Berlin*, 75(12), 633–640.

Fuller-Rowell, T., Araujo-Pradere, E., Minter, C., Codrescu, M., Spencer, P., Robertson, D., and Jacobson, A. R. (2006). "US-TEC: A new data assimilation product from the Space Environment Center characterizing the ionospheric total electron content using real-time GPS data." *Radio Sci.*, 41(RS6003), 1–8.

Gan, W., and Prescott, W. H. (2001). "Crustal deformation rates in central and eastern U.S. inferred from GPS." *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28(19), 3733–3736.

Greenfeld, J., and Sens, J. D. (2003). "Determination of orthometric height of NJI2 CORS station." *J. Surv. Eng.*, 129_3_, 110–114.

Grejner-Brzezinska, D. A., Kashani, I., Wielgoz, P., Smith, D. A., Spencer, P. S. J., Robertson, D. S., and Mader, G. L. _2007_. "On efficiency and reliability of ambiguity resolution in network-based RTK GPS." *J. Surv. Eng.*, 133(2), 56–65.

Gutman, S. I., Sahm, S. R., Benjamin, S. G., Schwartz, B. E., Holub, K. L., Stewart, J. Q., and Smith, T. L. (2004). "Rapid retrieval and assimilation of ground based GPS precipitable water observations at the NOAA Forecast Systems Laboratory: Impact on weather forecast." *J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn.*, 82(1B), 351–360.

Hilla, S., and Cline, M. (2004). "Evaluating pseudorange multipath effects at stations in the National CORS network." *GPS Solutions*, 7(4), 253–267.

Lazio, P. (2007). "Constraining network adjustments to OPUS-RS coordinate observations." *J. Surv. Eng.*, 133_3_, 106–113.

Mader, G. L., Weston, N. D., Morrison, M. L., and Milbert, D. G. (2003). "The on-line positioning user service _OPUS_." *Profess. Surv.*, 23(5), 26–30.

Martin, D. (2007). "Geodetic connections—OPUS rapid static." *The American Surveyor*, 4(3), 44–48.

Meade, M. E. (2007). "OPUS rapid static." *Point of Beginning*, 32(8), 60–63.
Mohino, E., Gende, M., and Brunini, C. (2007). "Improving long baseline (100–300 km) differential GPS positioning applying ionospheric corrections derived from multiple reference stations." *J. Surv. Eng.*, 133(1), 1–5.

Mostafa, M. M. R. (2005). "Precise airborne GPS positioning alternatives for the aerial mapping practice." *Proc., FIG Working Week 2005*, Fédération Internationale des Géometrès (FIG), Frederiksberg, Denmark, 1–9.

Musman, S., Mader, G., and Dutton, C. E. (1997). "Total electron content changes in the ionosphere during the January 10, 1997 disturbance." *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25(15), 3055–3058.

National Geodetic Survey (NGS). (2006). "Guidelines for new and existing continuous operating reference stations (CORS)." (http://www.noaa.gov/PUBS_LIB/CORS_guidelines.pdf) (May 10, 2007).

Newsome, G. G., and Harvey, B. R. (2003). "GPS coordinate transformation parameters for Jamaica." *Surv. Rev.*, 37(289), 218–234.

Park, K.-D., Nerem, R. S., Davis, J. L., Schenewerk, M. S., Milne, G. A., and Mitrovica, J. X. (2002). "Investigation of glacial isostatic adjustment in the northeast U.S. using GPS measurements." *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29(11), 1–4.

Park, K. D., Nerem, R. S., Schenewerk, M. S., and Davis, J. L. (2004). "Site-specific multipath characteristics of global IGS and CORS GPS sites." *J. Geodesy, Berlin*, 77(12), 799–803.

Ray, J., Crump, D., and Chin, M. (2007). "New global positioning system reference station in Brazil." *GPS Solutions*, 11(1), 1–10.

Schenewerk, M. S., Mader, G. L., Chin, M., Kass, W., Dulaney, R., MacKay, J. R., and Foote, R. H. (1990). "Status of CIGNET and orbit determination at the National Geodetic Survey." *Proc., 2nd Int. Symp. on Precise Positioning with the Global Positioning System*. Ottawa, 179–189.

Schutz, B. E., Ho, C. S., Abusali, P. A. M., and Tapley, B. D. (1990). "Casa Uno GPS orbit and baseline experiments." *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 17(5), 643–646.

Schwarz, C. R., ed. (1989). "North American Datum of 1983." *NOAA Professional Paper NOS2*, National Geodetic Survey, Rockville, Md.

Schwarz, C. R. (2006). "Statistics of range of a set of normally distributed numbers." *J. Surv. Eng.*, 132(4), 155–159.

Schwarz, C. R. (2008). "Heuristic weighting and data conditioning in the National Geodetic Survey Rapid Static GPS (RSGPS) software." *J. Surv. Eng.*, 134(3), 70–75.

Sella, G. F., Dixon, T. H., and Mao, A. (2002). "REVEL: A model for recent plate velocities from space geodesy." *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(ETG11), 1–30.

Sella, G. F., Stein, S., Dixon, T. H., Craymer, M., James, T. H., Mazzotti, H., and Dokka, R. K. (2007). "Observation of glacial isostatic adjustment in 'stable' North America with GPS." *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34(L02306), 1–6.

Smith, D. A. (2004). "Computing unambiguous TEC and ionospheric delays using only carrier phase data from NOAA's CORS network." *Proc., IEEE PLANS 2004*, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), Piscataway, N.J., 527–537.

Snay, R. A. (1989). "North American Datum of 1983." *NOAA Professional Paper NOS 2*, C. R. Schwarz, ed., National Geodetic Survey, Rockville, Md., 193–219.

Snay, R. A. (1999). "Using HTDP software to transform spatial coordinates across time and between reference frames." *Surv. Land Inf. Sys.*, 59(1), 15–25.

Snay, R. A. (2003). "Introducing two spatial reference frames for regions of the Pacific Ocean." *Surv. Land Inf. Sys.*, 63(1), 5–12.

Snay, R., Adams, G., Chin, M., Frakes, S., Soler, T., and Weston, N. (2002b). "The synergistic CORS program continues to evolve." *Proc., ION-GPS 2002*, Institute of Navigation (ION), Fairfax, Va., 2630–2639.

Snay, R., Cline, M., Dillinger, W., Foote, R., Hilla, S., Kass, W., Ray, J., Rohde, J., Sella, G., and Soler, T. (2007). "Using GPS-derived crustal velocities to estimate absolute sea level rates from North American tide gauge records." *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112(B04409), 1–11.

Snay, R. A., Soler, T., and Eckl, M. (2002a). "GPS precision with carrier phase observations: Does distance and/or time matter?" *Profess. Surv.*, 22(10), 20–24.

Soler, T., and Hernández-Navarro, A. (2006a). "OPUS becomes available in Mexico." *The American Surveyor*, 3(9), 46–50.

Soler, T., Michalak, P., Weston, N., Snay, R., and Foote, R. (2006b). "Accuracy of OPUS solutions for 1- to 4-h observing sessions." *GPS Solutions*, 10(1), 45–55.

Soler, T., and Snay, R. A. (2004). "Transforming positions and velocities between the International Terrestrial Reference Frame of 2000 and North American Datum of 1983." *J. Surv. Eng.*, 130(2), 49–55.

Soler, T., Strange, W. E., and Hothem, L. D. (1992). "Accurate determination of Cartesian coordinates at geodetic stations using the Global Positioning System." *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 19_6_, 533–536.

Soler, T., Weston, N. D., Snay, R. A., Mader, G. L., and Foote, R. H. (2006c). "Precise georeferencing using on-line positioning user service (OPUS)." *Proc., XXIII FIG Congress*, Fédération Internationale des Géometrès (FIG), Frederiksberg, Denmark.

Spofford, P. R., and Weston, N. D. (1998). "National Geodetic Survey continuously operating reference station project. Status and plans." *ACSM Bulletin No. 172*, 20–25.

Stone, W. (2006). "The evolution of the National Geodetic Survey's continuously operating reference station network and online positioning user service." *Proc., 2006 ION-IEEE Position, Location, and Navigation Symp.*, Institute of Navigation (ION), Fairfax, Va., and Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), Piscataway, N.J., 653–663.

Strange, W. E. (1994). "A national spatial data system framework continuously operating GPS reference stations." *Proc., 1st Federal Geographic Technology Conf., GIS in Government*, GIS World, Fort Collins, Colo., 37–41, USA.

Strange, W. E., and Weston, N. D. (1995). "The establishment of a GPS continuously operating reference station system as a framework for the National Spatial Reference System." *Proc., ION Nat. Tech. Meeting*, Institute of Navigation _ION_, Fairfax, Va., 19–24.

Tsugawa, T., Saito, A., Otsuka, Y., and Yamamoto, M. (2003). "Damping of large-scale traveling ionospheric disturbances detected with GPS networks during the geomagnetic storm." *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(A3), SIA7/1–14.

Vorhauer, M. (2007). "National readjustment of 2007." *The American Surveyor*, 4(3), 48–54.

Weston, N. D., Soler, T., and Mader, G. L. (2007). "NOAA's online user positioning service (OPUS)." *GIM Int.*, 21(4), 23–25.

Wielgosz, P., Grejner-Brzezinska, D., and Kashani, I. (2005a). "High-accuracy DGPS and precise point positioning based on Ohio CORS network." *Navigation*, 52(1), 23–28.

Wielgosz, P., Kashani, I., and Grejner-Brzezinska, D. (2005b). "Analysis of long-range network RTK during a severe ionospheric storm." *J. Geodesy, Berlin*, 79(9), 524–531.

ANNEX B

CORS West Project Plan:

Parallel Operation of Essential Continuously Operating Reference Station Activities in Boulder, Colorado

FY2004—FY2009

1. CORS Program Background

1.1. The Continuously Operating Reference Station (CORS) program comprises a nationwide network of permanently operating Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) receivers. NOAA's National Geodetic Survey (NGS) provides access to GNSS data from this network free of charge via the Internet. The program's primary objective is to enable GNSS users to determine precise positional coordinates relative to the National Spatial Reference System. Users can achieve centimeter-level accuracy by post-processing their GNSS data with data from the CORS network. Users can also determine the travel path of a moving platform, such as an aircraft, boat or land vehicle, with decimeter-level accuracy by post-processing GNSS data from a receiver mounted on this platform with data from the CORS network. Other users of CORS data include; Earth scientists to monitor crustal motion, meteorologists to monitor the distribution of moisture in the atmosphere, and atmospheric scientists to monitor the distribution of free electrons in the ionosphere.

1.2. The CORS network currently (September 2008) consists of approximately 1,250 stations and is growing at a rate of about 200 stations per year. These stations are operated by a collection of more than 200 organizations representing various foreign, federal, state, and local government agencies, as well as various academic and commercial institutions.

1.3. The primary CORS operations facility is maintained by and located within NGS in Silver Spring, Maryland. At NGS's request, NOAA's National Geophysical Data Center (NGDC) hosts a parallel CORS operations facility in Boulder, Colorado. This facility is co-managed by NGS and NGDC. The Boulder CORS facility is referred to herein as CORS-West. The existence of a parallel CORS operation facility, located several hundred miles from the primary CORS operation facility, is a fundamental element of NOAA's continuity of operation plan (COOP) for the CORS program.

2. CORS-West Program Objectives

2.1. The following are the CORS-West program objectives to be addressed by NGDC:

a. Host and co-manage the CORS-West facility including the collection, processing, storage and distribution of CORS data in parallel with the primary CORS data facility. Provide uninterrupted operations of essential CORS capabilities during brief or sustained periods when the primary CORS facility is unavailable. Share the work of distributing CORS data with the primary facility when both locations are fully operational,

b. Review CORS data management procedures,

c. Review data collection, processing, storage and distribution procedures for CORS functions. Suggest updates and modifications to these procedures as deemed appropriate. Develop strategies to create, operate, maintain and manage the archival functions for CORS data. Jointly pursue with NGS new product developments as needed,

d. Enable those NOAA offices located in Boulder (NOAA's Space Weather Prediction Center (SWPC), NOAA's Environmental Systems Research Laboratory (ESRL), etc.) which have stringent requirements for CORS data to obtain these data locally, (per the current MOU among NGS, ESRL, SWPC and NGDC)
e. Host and help maintain a replication of the NGS Integrated Database (NGSIDB) in Boulder. Replication in Boulder means the contents of the NGSIDB will be readily available in the event that the primary NGSIDB server, located in Silver Spring, is lost. The NGSIDB contains information pertinent to the CORS program and all other major NGS activities.
f. Provide NGS with an annual report on the status of CORS West.

3. CORS-West Major Activities

3.1 The following are the major activities needed to sustain CORS-West capabilities. These activities are prioritized from most essential to less essential:

a. Provide network and system administration support for the CORS computers and Cisco network equipment located in Boulder,
b. Collect, process, store and distribute CORS data in parallel with the primary CORS data facility,
c. Sustain operation of essential CORS activities for NGS COOP requirements,
d. Share the work of distributing CORS data,
e. Review CORS data collection, processing, storage and distribution procedures,
f. In conjunction with NGS, develop, operate, maintain and manage the archival functions for CORS data,
g. Enable NOAA offices that have a requirement for timely CORS data to obtain these data locally,
h. Host and help maintain a replication of the NGS Integrated Database (NGSIDB) in Boulder.

4. CORS-West Previous FY Milestones

4.1. The following is a list of CORS-West previous FY milestones with current status:

a. Establish methods to monitor and manage the CORS data collection activities at the Boulder site. **Completed**,
b. Make the FTP server operational in Boulder and begin distributing data collected there. **Completed**,
c. Fully populate the storage array and make operational via the Boulder FTP server. **Completed**,
d. Provide programming support for CORS data collection, analysis, online storage, and distribution. **Completed**,
e. Implement at CORS-West the collection of GNSS data for active CORS on an hourly or daily basis and in a manner that is identical of the operations of CORS-East. **Completed**,
f. Ensured that the CORS-West staff has the ability to fully run all data management scripts and can identify basic problems with data collection. **Completed**.

5. CORS-West FY09 Milestones

5.1. This section includes the list of FY09 milestones for CORS-West. Achieving these milestones requires a collaboration between personnel at CORS-East and CORS-West. Successful completion of a milestone is at the discretion of the CORS program manager. The following is the list of new FY09 milestones for CORS-West:

a. Complete development of the new CORS data collection software referred to as the Internet Collector,
b. Validate the new Internet Collector at CORS-West.
c. Create a web-server at CORS-West to facilitate the implementation of the following web utilities at CORS-West: the "user-friendly" CORS (UFCORS) , the Online Positioning User Service-Static (OPUS-S), and the OPUS-RS (Rapid Static),
d. Assist NGS in implementing UFCORS, OPUS-S and OPUS-RS at CORS-West,
e. Assist NGS to replicate the NGS Integrated database (NGSIDB) and TESTIDB2 at CORS-West; i.e. complete Submission Agreement.
f. Define and design a data archive system and procedure for handling all National CORS data; i.e. execute Submission Agreement.
g. Implement a data archive system and procedure for handling all National CORS data.

6. Provisions/Limitations:

6.1. Any activities undertaken by the parties pursuant to this Document are subject to the availability of appropriate funds and proper authorization.

6.2. Modifications to this agreement may be proposed at anytime during the period of performance by either party, and shall become effective upon approval by both parties.

6.3. Nothing herein is intended to conflict with current directives of any participating agency. If the terms of this Document are inconsistent with existing directives of any of the parties entering into this agreement, then those portions of the agreement which are determined to be inconsistent shall be invalid. The remaining terms and conditions that are not affected by inconsistency shall remain in full force and effect. At the first opportunity for the review of the agreement, such changes as deemed necessary will be accomplished by either an amendment to this agreement or by entering into a new agreement, whichever is deemed expedient and in the interest of all parties.

7. CORS-West Project Funding for FY09 with Outyear Estimates

7.1. To accomplish the CORS-West program objectives (Section 2), CORS-West Major Activities (Section 3) and CORS-West FY09 Milestones (Section 5), NGS will provide to NGDC funding in the amount of $275,000 in FY09.

7.2. A detailed fiscal/manpower breakout for FY09 is provided in the following table:

		FTE	Total Cost ($)	
	Joynt	.50	$95,605	Systems administrator
	Coloma	1.00	$73,750	CORS-West data manager
	Denig*	.14	$37,768	CORS-West program manager
	Prentice	.30	$43,415	Software engineer
	Travel		2,462	Approved travel
	NGDC Other (8%)		22,000	Budget, secretary, metadata
	Total		**275,000**	

7.3. The following table provides a fiscal overview for the CORS-West program, FY04-2011. The funding and manpower loading for FY04-08 are the actuals. The FY09 amount is the planned execution budget for the current year. The FY10-11 costs are estimates provided for planning purposes only:

Fiscal Year	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Federal FTE	*0.5*	*0.5*	*0.70*	*.35*	*0.15*	*0.15*	*1.15*	*1.15*
Other FTE	*0.5*	*1.0*	*1.30*	*1.65*	*1.80*	*2.00*	*1.00*	*1.00*
Funding	*$136 K*	*$187 K*	*$240 K*	*$250 K*	*$265 K*	*$275 K*	*$286 K*	*$298 K*

Approved:

Mr. David Zilkoski
Director, NGS

Dr. Christopher G. Fox
Director, NGDC

Date: ______________________________

Date: ______________________________

ANNEX C

Report on the 8th Annual CORS USERS FORUM Savannah, Georgia 16 September 2008



NOAA's National Geodetic Survey (NGS)--in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation and the U.S. Coast Guard--organized the 8th annual CORS (Continuously Operating Reference Station) Users Forum on 16 September 2008. This Forum was an integral part of the Civil GPS Service Interface Committee (CGSIC) meeting, held at the Marriott Riverfront Hotel in Savannah, GA, 15-16 September 2008. The Institute of Navigation's GNSS Conference convened 16-19 September 2008 in the Savannah Convention Center.

Field Code Changed

The CORS network is comprised of numerous subnetworks operated by almost 200 organizations. Collectively, these networks include more than 1,200 sites--each containing a geodetic quality, dual-frequency GPS receiver--and the CORS network is growing at a rate of about 15 sites per month. NGS and its partners collect, process, and distribute data from the CORS sites on a continuous basis in support of numerous activities including land surveying, navigation, GIS development, remote sensing, weather forecasting, satellite tracking, geophysics, and time transfer.

This year's Forum focused on the relationship between the CORS system and the rapidly growing number of real-time GNSS networks (RTN) in the United States.

The purpose of the Forum is to provide CORS users with the latest information about CORS, its partners, its tools and its support for real time positioning , while hearing from these users about their experiences and what NGS can do to improve its products and services.

Agenda

1:30 **Welcome**
Richard Snay, NOAA's National Geodetic Survey

1:35 **CORS/OPUS: Overview and Status**
Giovanni Sella, NOAA's National Geodetic Survey

1:50 **NGS Support for Real-Time GNSS Positioning**
William Henning, NOAA's National Geodetic Survey

2:05 **PANEL SESSION: Real-Time GNSS Networks**

Gavin Schrock, Washington State Reference Network
Art Andrew, Orange County, California
Lewis Lapine, South Carolina Geodetic Survey
Ken Bays, Oregon Department of Transportation
Jim Waters, Tennessee Department of Transportation

2:45 **Question & Answer Session with Panel of the Speakers**

3:30 **Break**

3:45 **Interactive Sessions within Small Discussion Groups**

5:00 **End of Forum**

The PowerPoint files for each of the formal presentations may be viewed and/or downloaded at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/CORS/CorsPP/PPT.html .

Welcome
Richard Snay
NOAA's National Geodetic Survey

This year's Forum is focused on the relationship between the CORS system and the rapidly growing number of real-time GNSS networks (RTN) in the United States. Some have estimated there to be about 75 RTN's in our country. CORS and RTN's are similar in that they both enable centimeter-level positioning accuracies. They are different in that CORS has traditionally addressed post-processing applications whereas RTN's address real-time applications. They are similar in that both rely on ground-based GNSS tracking stations. They are different in that CORS is a highly coordinated nationwide effort, whereas the existing RTN's are comprised of a somewhat disjoint collection of regional and local networks. In some instances, two or more RTN's may even compete for the same customer base. Some coordination, however, does exist among those RTN's that share the use of their GNSS tracking stations with each other.

To better coordinate the growth of RTN's across the United States, the administrators of five regional RTN's have been invited to participate in this Forum and share with us their experiences. Also, leaders from NOAA's National Geodetic Survey have been invited to report on the status of the CORS system and to describe what NGS is doing to support real-time GNSS positioning.



Vertical standard error achievable when a user submits 15 minutes of GPS data to OPUS-RS.

CORS/OPUS: Overview and Status
Giovanni Sella
NOAA's National Geodetic Survey

In January 2008, NGS became the Analysis Center Coordinator for the International GNSS Service (IGS) for the next four years. As such, NGS is now responsible for coordinating the generation of precise GPS and GLONASS products; including orbits, satellite clock parameters, Earth rotation parameters, and atmospheric refraction parameters.

In June 2008, NGS released version 3.0 of the Horizontal Time-Dependent Positing (HTDP) software for operational use. This new model contains a significantly improved model for predicting horizontal crustal velocities occurring in western CONUS as developed by Robert McCaffrey of Troy Geophysics. HTDP also introduces a model for the 3D displacements associated with the M7.9 Denali earthquake which devastated central Alaska in 2002. The Denali earthquake model was developed by Julie Elliot and her colleagues at the University of Alaska.

NGS has begun to reprocess all IGS and CORS data collected since 1994 to help develop a new realization of the International Terrestrial Reference System, as well as a new realization of the North American Datum of 1983.

NGS incorporated about 270 new sites into the National CORS network in FY2008.

NGS has begun to transition from using "relative" antenna calibration parameters to "absolute" antenna calibration parameters for processing GPS data.

Usage of the OPUS-RS (rapid static) utility increased by about 77% in FY2008 relative to FY2007.



NGS introduced the OPUS-DB utility as an operational prototype in FY2008. OPUS-DB allows OPUS users to share their results with others by storing the OPUS-computed coordinates with pertinent metadata in a NGS-maintained database.

With support from the U.S. Agency for International Development, NGS established four CORS in Ethiopia.

NGS is supporting the installation of new CORS in Iraq and Afganistan.

NGS will distribute GLONASS and L2C data from selected CORS starting in FY2009.



Red circles identify CORS sites that collect both GPS and GLONASS data.

NGS Support for Real-Time Positioning

William Henning
NOAA's National Geodetic Survey

NOAA's National Geodetic Survey (NGS) endorses the development of GNSS technology to provide accurate and reliable real-time positioning services that are consistent with the U.S. National Spatial Reference System (NSRS).

Goals:
NGS will support real-time GNSS positioning by implementing an action plan to:

1) Provide low-latency access to GNSS data from selected Continuously Operating Reference Stations (CORS) via the Internet. All streaming data from these CORS will be provided free of charge, without correctors, in current Radio Technical Commission for Maritime Services (RTCM) formats.
2) Develop standards, specifications, and guidelines to help users obtain optimal results from real-time GNSS positioning technologies. This would include specific documents for users of single-base technology as well as for users of real-time GNSS networks (RTN).
3) Develop standards, specifications, and guidelines for administrating a RTN. This may include:
   a. Reference station siting and construction considerations
   b. Policy to promote the use of open source, generic data formats such as RTCM through the use of the most current Networked Transport of RTCM via Internet Protocol (NTRIP) programs
   c. Policy to encourage the RTN to support as many different GNSS hardware and firmware packages as possible
   d. Guidelines to enable RTN results to be consistent within the NSRS. This may include methods to archive and quality check RTN data
   e. Guidelines to determine accurate positional coordinates and velocities for RTN reference stations
4) Provide a service to RTN administrators and users to verify that the positional coordinates obtained from their RTN are consistent with the NSRS.
5) Maintain a strong participatory presence and seek leadership roles at various conferences, meetings and venues where real time positioning is addressed.
6) Participate in education and outreach to both disseminate relevant information as well as to acquire feedback regarding the suitability of guidelines promoted by NGS.
7) Research phenomena affecting accurate positioning, including but not limited to: satellite orbits, refraction, multipath, antenna calibration, and crustal motion.

With regard to Goal (1), NGS is now distributing GPS data from seven CORS (see following figure) as an operational prototype.

With regard to Goal (2), NGS has drafted guidelines for "single-base" real-time GNSS positioning. These guidelines are available at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/PUBS_LIB/NGSRealTimeUserGuidelines.v2.0.4.pdf .

With regard to Goal (3), NGS is now working with several people throughout the positioning community to develop guidelines for operating a real-time GNSS network (RTN).

Washington State Reference Network
Gavin Schrock
City of Seattle

The Washington State Reference Network (WSRN) is a cooperative RTN spanning Washington. This network involves sites operated by various federal, state, and local governments, as well as by academic and private institutions. 90 of the planned 95 stations have been established.



Users have opened over 600 accounts with the WSRN. Accounts for partners are free, as are accounts for academic users and trainer/dealers. Other subscribers pay $1,900/yr for a single account, $5,700/yr for 5 accounts, and $10,000/yr for 10 accounts.

Seattle Public Utilities funds and operates the central processing facility, and Central Washington University funds and operates a mirror processing facility.

Differential precisions are at the 1-cm level in the horizontal dimensions and at the 3-cm level in the (geometric) vertical dimension.

Station spacing ranges from 50km to 70km for the most part (lowest = 30 km, highest = 100km).

Coordinates are initially computed by performing at least 15 OPUS solutions, each involving 24 hours of data. Coordinates are referred to the CORS96 realization of the North American Datum of 1983. Coordinate values are constantly monitored in real-time to detect station motion and/or data problems.

60% of the sites are currently GNSS enabled. It is expected that all sites will become GNSS enabled by 2010.

Orange County Real Time Network
Arthur Andrew
County of Orange, California

The Orange County Real Time Network (OCRTN) consists of 10 real-time GPS stations. The real-time data are available to anyone at no cost. OCRTN is a subnetwork of the California Real Time Network (CRTN).



OCRTN currently provides three data streams:

- Single base station mode –standard RTK using RTCM 2.2 format. User has ability to select base station;
- Nearest base station mode – standard RTK using RTCM 2.2 format. Server selects the base station located closest to the rover's position;
- Network solution – requires user to have proprietary software.

The OCRTN server supports NAD 83 (1991) coordinates at the 1991.35 epoch. The CRTN supports NAD 83 (NSRS2007) coordinates at the 2007.00 epoch.

See www.ocgeomatics for additional information about the OCRTN. See http://sopac.ucsd.edu/projects/realtime for additional information about the CRTN.

South Carolina Real Time Network
Lewis Lapine
South Carolina Geodetic Survey

RTN concept at the South Carolina Geodetic Survey (SCGS):

- Started with a clean sheet of paper
- Determined an optimal spacing would be under 100km
- Required redundancy in case as many a 5 non-adjacent stations become inoperative
- Requirement to be operational during and after a hurricane event
- 31 stations will marginally cover the state, SCGS operates 38 and eventually 45
- Future activities include sharing RTN stations with North Carolina
- Involvement of the IT office is critical to our success
- RTN design accuracy is 2.4cm horizontal, 3.1cm vertical 95% of the time.





Motion of site (SCHG): September 3 – 10, 2008



Oregon Real-Time GPS Network
Ken Bays
Oregon Department of Transportation

Oregon is building the Oregon real-time network in cooperation with public and private partners.

Anyone who is not a partner and wants access to RTK correctors from the network must establish an account at www.TheORGN.net. There are no direct user fees at this time, but there may be a minimal fee in the future to cover operations, maintenance, and upgrades; but not to cover the cost of building the network infrastructure.

Plan for network coverage by July 2009:



Site criteria standards:

- 60km station spacing
- Clear view of the sky
- No electromagnetic interference
- Pre-installation data quality sets: 3 days of GPS data
- Continuous power with backup
- Internet connectivity.

Tennessee Real-Time GNSS Network
Jim Waters
Tennessee Department of Transportation

The Tennessee Real-Time GNSS network is one of the first to use both GPS and GLONASS. It currently contains 24 reference stations (green triangles in the following figure), with at least another 20 (red triangles) in the planning stages.



Each reference station has an Uninterrupted Power Supply and In-Line Lightning Protection.

The Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) is pursuing agreements with other TN State agencies to establish supplemental reference stations.

A public sector user agreement is under development, and public access is scheduled to begin in December 2008.

User fees will be charged to cover TDOT costs in support of public sector access.

For additional information, see http://tdotcors.state.tn.us:8080/TopNETweb/ .

Question & Answer Session with Speakers

Question (I. Leveson): Will a super RTN evolve in the United States, that is, a RTN that covers multiple states?

Answers:
(W. Henning) Some regional RTN's already extend beyond the borders of a single state. These larger RTN's exist in the private sector. Most public RTN's are confined to a single state or some part of a state.

(L. Lapine) IT infrastructure constitutes the biggest impediment to network growth. South Carolina and North Carolina are planning to share some RTN sites located near their common border, but for this to work, the IT system for South Carolina will need to treat the North Carolina sites in the same manner that it treats the South Carolina sites.

(A. Andrews) Does not foresee the existing RTN in California extending beyond California.

(G. Schrock) The NTRIP protocol has been developed to enable organizations to share real-time data among networks. Washington State shares data with Canada, for example. He does not foresee a common operator, but he proposes that all RTN's adopt a common reference system.

Question (L. Sears and J. Stowell) Are existing RTN's providing infrastructure for (1) machine control, (2) precision agriculture, and (3) positive train control?

Answers:
(L. Lapine) Some users of the South Carolina RTN are involved in machine control, but more often they operate their own GNSS base stations.

(A. Andrew) Organizations involved in machine control will often rebroadcast the correctors supplied by the California RTN.

(K. Bayes) Cell phones and Internet communications may not be sufficiently reliable for machine control applications. Hence, those involved in machine control will often rebroadcast the RTN correctors on FM radio frequencies.

Question (?) Will the CORS system adopt the RINEX 3.0 format?

Answer: (G. Sella) NGS has no plans to adopt RINEX 3.0 at this time. NGS will re-evaluate the situation in the future.

Question (C. Whitaker) Should there be restrictions as to who can publish spatial coordinates?

Answers:
(G. Schrock) Everyone who sets up a RTK base station implicitly provides coordinates, but these mostly involve a local set of relative coordinates.

(W. Henning) NGS is establishing guidelines for RTN administrators to help them promulgate coordinates that will be consistent with the National Spatial Reference System.

Question (G. Mader) Does GLONASS help RTN operations?

Answers:
(L. Lapine) Initiation times for RTN surveys are one-third as long when using GPS & GLONASS data as compared with initiation times when using GPS data alone.

(?) Because RTK surveys require a minimum of 5 visible satellites, these surveys can be performed with less interruptions when they use both GPS and GLONASS data than when they use only GPS data.

Comment (G. Mader): Regarding the plans of Washington State to use "bluebook" procedures to submit GPS survey results to NGS for archival purposes, Mader suggests that Washington State consider using OPUS-DB instead.

Question (?) Should RTN administrators be concerned with establishing RTN base stations on top of buildings that have metal roofs?

(G. Sella) Because a metal roof may increase the likelihood of multipath corrupting GNSS signals, NGS is not accepting GPS base stations located on metal roofs into the CORS network. The CORS network forms the foundation for accurate positioning in the United States, and therefore it should contain sites whose data we can trust to be of high quality. NGS, however, is planning to perform research to better quantify the effect of metal roofs on GNSS data.

(W. Henning) NGS has organized an inter-organizational committee to develop guidelines for RTN administrators. This committee is favoring the creation of a classification scheme for RTN base stations in which those located on metal roofs would be classified lower than what current standards allow for base stations being accepted into the CORS network.

(R. Snay) If a classification scheme is adopted, who would be responsible for classifying individual GPS base stations? NGS does not have the resources to perform this activity.

Question (C. Whitaker) What is the effect of using "absolute" antenna patterns rather than "relative" antenna patterns?

Answer (G. Mader) Relative antenna patterns are okay for short baselines, but absolute antenna patterns are more correct for those longer baselines where the curvature of the earth comes into play.

Discussion Group A: CORS and Real-Time Networks

Moderator: Ken Bays, Oregon DOT
Recorder: Sky Chaleff, NGS

Participants:

Christina Kempe	Lantmateriet, Sweden
Art Andrew	Orange County, California
Cecilia Whitaker	Metropolitan Water District, California
Brian Wiseman	Metropolitan Water District, California
James Stowell	Consultant
Richard Snay	NGS
Lew Lepine	South Carolina
Doug Brown	NGS

There were 4 main issues discussed:

1. Improving OPUS to support deformation studies
2. NGS real-time streaming and competition
3. CORS Funding
4. Radomes

Summary:

James Stowell requested that NGS upgrade OPUS with the options to select the epoch, the coordinate system, and obtain a running average of the estimated positions to support deformatiom studies. He would like to use the data for the last 30 days for such averaging. Richard Snay indicated that OPUS will soon be outputting OPUS results in XML format which will allow users to create software that meet some of these customized requirements.

Ken Bays wants to make sure that good coordinates are obtained for all RTN sites. The NGS RTN team can be of great value, by making sure that all states use the same method so that coordinates are compatible from one real-time network to another.

NGS said they will stream only the GPS observables. People expressed concern as to why they are not also streaming correctors. NGS will not provide correctors so as to not compete with private industry. James Stowell mentioned that NGS real-time streams save him money. There have been challenges at the state level between public and private real-time GNSS networks. Ken, playing the devils advocate; saying NGS does not want to compete, but OPUS seems to compete against private industry. Ken said that when there is competition; the state is cheaper, but the service is not on the same level as the more expensive private networks.

Doug Brown mentioned that he is trying to have the CORS program better connected in the NOAA funding process. CORS data are important to several areas of NOAA, but this fact has not been properly recognized. NOAA is a conglomeration of science missions, and CORS is connected to many of them.

A general discussion on antenna radomes ensued; though no consensus was reached on their benefit or harm.

Statements from Participants

Cecilia Whitaker explained that the Metropolitan Water District (MWD) send their real-time data streams to Scripps Institution of Oceanography who operates the California Real-time Network (CRTN). CRTN uses proprietary software to provide positioning services to users. MWD uses the real-time data for geodetic control, but not deformation studies. They use only post-processed CORS data for deformation studies.

Christina Kempe mentioned that Sweden has been running a national CORS for 15 years and a RTN for the past 5 years. They are the service providers, selling the services to the end users. They use Trimble VRS, with mainly JAVAD and ASHTEC receivers. In Sweden they are experiencing glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA). They use 21 stations set in bedrock to monitor the motion associated with GIA.

James Stowell has been performing deformation studies for geodetic projects and machine control projects occurring in NY. NY has legislated that their contractors use the RTN operated by the NY DOT for coarse grading. The contractor gets paid sooner if they do. NY can QA the results and save money on the processing. The NY DOT machine control budget is 4 to 5 times larger than their surveying budget.

Ken Bays mentioned that the Oregon DOT uses OPUS to compute coordinates for their RTN. According to Ken, the NGS RTN team can be of great value, by making sure that all states use the same method so there are no coordinate compatibility problems as existed with the old HARN networks.

Christina Kempe does not endorse performing land surveys with just a RTK solution. People typically do 5 seconds occupations, and she feels that the resulting coordinates are not accurate. If you use software that uses network least squares solutions, you will obtain more accurate coordinates. She also does not endorse using single baseline solutions.

NGS real-time streaming and competition

NGS said they will only stream the GPS observables without correctors so that NGS will not compete with private industry.

NGS operates the reference stations, and it is not much more work to stream the data for public access. Also, by streaming data, NGS gains valuable experience to help them develop guidelines for RTN administrators.

James Stowell mentioned that NGS real-time streams save him money, but only if the reference stations are located relatively near to where he is working. NGS data are helpful because the control is very stable.

In some European countries, the government owns the CORS infrastructure and sells the data to service providers like Trimble and Leica.

Ken noted that NGS does not want to compete, but OPUS seems to compete against private industry. He said NGS could use the argument that they are providing convenient and reliable access to the National Spatial Reference System.

There have been challenges at the state level between public and private RTN's. The Virginia government's idea of establishing a public RTN was knocked down because a private network is already operational in the Virginia.

Lew Lapine expressed the opinion that freely giving away RTN services is not good because government money streams are not guaranteed. A service fee helps guarantee the service level.

James Stowell said that some subscribers of RTN services discontinue their subscriptions after the first year.

INET in GA, AL, MS and TN has 180 RTN subscribers at $6,000 a year per rover. They provide excellent 24/7 service, including training. You get what you pay for.

Ken said that when there is the competition; the state is cheaper, but the service is not on the same level of quality as the private services.

OPUS improvement for deformation studies

Rather than rely on one OPUS solution, James Stowell wants a 30 day average. He wants to monitor the point moving around at the millimeter level. Then at the end of the 30 days he wants the average location of the point. This is for deformation studies. He would like a drop down menu in OPUS to allow this option.

Richard Snay responded that NGS is developing an XML output for OPUS to allow users to develop software that can manipulate the information and hence do 30-day averages themselves. The XML format is in the process of being reviewed. This will allow machines to talk to each other to automate processes. This will allow companies to build value added services for GIS and other applications.

Richard explained how RTN administrators, who operate reference stations for several years, will begin to see seasonal effects in the coordinates of these stations. One example is ground motion in sedimentary plains, both horizontally and vertically, which correlates with the occurrence of rainfall.

James Stowell addressed how people should manage vertical positioning in machine control; what you want to do is give the machines an average change and a separate corrector to surveyors. The second problem is how to fix the vertical in RTK since it is the worst problem. One of the ideas coming out at the ION conference, according to the abstracts he has read, is the master auxiliary concept where the rover feedbacks vertical to give a real-time tomography for just that rover.

CORS Funding

Doug Brown said that CORS data is important to many areas of NOAA, but this fact has not been properly recognized.

Lew Lapine said that RTK technology would help ships come into harbor with less help.

NOAA is a conglomeration of science missions, and CORS is connected to many of them. NGS has not made a good case for the applications of geodesy to societal benefits.

James Stowell mentioned that 4 or 5 years ago NGS hosted a group of scientists on how to write a justification for the CORS network and how the data was going to be used. Maybe it is time to do this again.

Radomes

A general discussion on radomes ensued. Someone said they were advised not to use radome, but someone else said that results were based on a test involving a much corroded radome. Someone says they still use radomes. Someone stated that the use of radomes is ok because coordinates are monitored over time.

DISCUSSION Group B: CORS and Real-Time Networks

Moderator: Jim Waters, Tennessee Department of Transportation
Recorder: Rick Foote, NGS

Participants:

Irv Leveson	Leveson Consulting
Gavin Schrock	Washington State Reference Network
Matt Wellslager	South Carolina Geodetic Survey
Seth Gutman	NOAA's Earth Systems Research Laboratory
Dmitry Kolosov	Topcon Positioning Service (Moscow, Russia)
Valery Lupovra	Topson Possitioning Service (Moscow, Russia)
Marc Cheves	American Surveyor Magazine
Gerry Mader	NGS

The group started out with introductions at 4:15. The two Topcon employees are software developers based in Moscow, and their main interest was in weather effects. Marc Cheves is the Editor of American Surveyor magazine. A list of possible questions compiled by Richard Snay, Bill Henning and others was passed around, and the discussion started with a question proposed by Gerry Mader "Would you rather OPUS use published positions or moving 30 day average positions?". Gavin suggested both choices should be available (using OPUS). Matt said that he would prefer to see accurate long term positions that are stable. He also said that some CORS elevations are as much as 7 cm off, and he notified NGS about two of them 6 months ago and one year ago, and neither one was corrected. Rick Foote suggested that Matt email him with the particulars and that he would check them out. Dmitry said possibly both positions should be made available, and Valery said current positions.

Gavin Schrock said that Washington State is currently computing a velocity model. Presently, they are not using any velocity model to maintain their RTN coordinates. He said that periodic updates are needed for RTK, and older NAD83 realizations require calibrations (he doesn't trust any position unless it is from the most recent realization). He asked Seth Gutman about spacing stations for RTK in more humid areas of the state, and seemed very interested in talking to Seth outside of the group. Seth said dry flatter areas (less than 100 meter change in elevation) can be assumed to have similar tropo parameters up to a distance of 50 kilometers.

Valery mentioned that there are tropo models and asked if there is a humidity model. Seth emphatically said no - it is not possible. Dmitry said that Topcon's tests show that there are clear seasonal position changes, and Seth said that moisture measurements on the ground are not correlated to the upper atmosphere. Seth said that if you are within a 50-km and and a 100m elevation of a weather station, you can use their data (upper atmosphere). Seth volunteered to work with Gavin later. Seth said that there are some very good inexpensive (under $2k) weather sensors. Since there seemed to be a lot of interest in what Seth was talking about, business cards were passed around by Jim, Irv, and Dmitry.

Irv Leveson asked if anyone was interested in Grav-D. Gavin would like to use Grav-D data to determine orthometric heights. Most other attendees were not familiar with Grav-D, so Rick Foote referred them to the NGS homepage to read about this proposed project. Valery asked about NAVD88 accuracies right when Richard Snay happened to walk by, and Richard mentioned coast-to-coast accuracies of about 1 meter, and Grav-D could vastly improve upon this.

Discussion Group C: CORS and Real-Time Networks

Moderator: Giovanni Sella, NGS
Recorder: Renee Shields, NGS

Participants:

Gary Boyak,	National Parks Service (NPS) as proxy for Dick Karsky, NPS;
Ryan Leonard,	Kara Company Inc.;
DeLane R. Meier,	North Dakota DOT;
Francine Coloma,	NOAA's National Geophysical Data Center
Gerry Mader	NGS
Steve Briggs	Topcon Positioning Services

<u>Questions relayed from Dick Karsky who was unable to attend.</u>
What is the possibility of getting any CORS in the Midwest upgraded to GLONASS?

- ID, UT, AZ all have one. No Plate Boundary Observatory (PBO) sites are GLONASS capable, nor will PBO sites be L2C capable. There is a new CORS planned for MT, this might be GLONASS. Suggest contact Curt Smith or site operator.

Question about OPUS Mapper…

- designed for single frequency receivers; still in development stage; may be able to become a beta tester; contact Gerry Mader.
- May not be very good up north, in certain areas

Questions from Ryan Leonard
Re: coordinate systems/datums, where are we going in the future?

- We have been evaluating the processing system for CORS; have made some changes for deficiencies found, some minor, some more significant; a readjustment will be done to ensure consistency in process and results

How does NAD 83 (NSRS2007) relate to NAD 83 (CORS96)? – Dave Doyle and Giovanni Sella changed discussion groups here.

- From Denver to the east, horizontal coordinates are nearly identical for both realizations, very close in vertical; no velocities here.
- In the west, tectonic movement causes problems in NAD 83 with velocities; see modest deformations in NAD 83; NSRS2007 adjustment = snapshot in time; NAD 83 (NSRS2007) and NAD 83 (CORS96) are different realizations of NAD 83.
- When Ryan gets new datasheets, for CORS the coordinates are the same as they were before the NSRS2007 adjustment; however, these coordinates may be revised in 2 years after a new readjustment is performed.
- Ryan mentioned he'd been told he shouldn't use NAD 83 (CORS96) coordinates if he wants NAD 83 (NSRS2007), but in Illinois the two should be essentially the same.
- Discussed getting orthometric heights from GPS; talked about the future of height modernization.

Ryan has 2 stations, KAR1 and perhaps CALU(??), which were cooperative CORS and now becoming National CORS; coordinates are off by 2cm and 7cm; should he republish?

- recommend work with Mike Cline to evaluate velocities over the past years.
- Recommend he get Giovanni's work on isostatic rebound in Great Lakes area.

Gerry Mader came by and had a question: Should CORS coordinates stay fixed till they exceed the positional tolerance, as we do now, or should we publish new coordinates daily or monthly based on a monthly average?

- No real answer, but commented that more and more people are looking for new coordinates.

Ryan question again: He has 9 continuous GPS base stations that don't meet criteria for National CORS; is OPUS DB an option for that?

- Discussed OPUS DB – Dave described how it worked. Renee mentioned that multiple solutions will be published, as a history, so he could submit data monthly and publish new positions and see how stable the coordinates remain over time.

Giovanni and Dave Doyle changed groups back at this point…

Question about changing antennas.

- It can be done and he is free to do it, but if the phase center height changes (i.e. different offset or any of dozens of scenarios or situations that might result in this height being different) then the site is decommissioned and moved to the bottom of the queue.
- Since he has 9 of these, he might try it with one in a more remote area, then see what happens before changing others.

Discussion about whether it is better with or without a choke ring antenna – Gerry and Steve Briggs contributed to this discussion. No resolution.

How long is the waiting queue for new CORS now, and how long before NGS gets to the bottom of it?

- about 220 CORS are now in the waiting queue. Because of how the stations are processed and authorized, they can be done in big chunks, so it is hard to tell. When a problem is found the CORS team doesn't stop to find the problem, they move on to the next stations in the queue.