

Efforts to Monitor Geoid Change in Mexico

Towards a Significant Improvement for National and Regional Geoid Solution

David Avalos-Naranjo.

August 2009



Contents

- Current geoid solution: GGM06
- Gravimetric network, current state and perspective.
- Heights and its Variations
 - Re-construction of the geodetic vertical control network.
 - Magnitude of vertical movements detected.



Contents

• Current geoid solution: GGM06

- Gravimetric network, current state and perspective.
- Heights and its Variations
 - Re-construction
 of the geodetic vertical control network.
 - Magnitude of vertical movements detected.



 Geoid solutions with free access have been released since August 2004.

- Kind of solution from INEGI:
 - Constraints: pure gravimetric
 - Geoid fixed in time
 - Approach: Stokes-Helmert technique (UNB)



 Currently recommended: GGM06 software available at: <u>WWW.inegi.org.mx</u>





- Last geoid solution (GGM06) used three terrain gravity sources:
 - INEGI
 - DMA (1993)
 - PEMEX (1987)
 - Sea anomalies: derived from altimetry T/P



• Input coverage for GGM06





Weaknesses detected

- Extended data voids and lack of offshore data buffer prevent an exact determination of geoidal heights.
- Regional disagreement among gravity sources can be high (10 and 20 mGal).
- Geoid accuracy has been regionally affected in dm level by some biases in gravity anomaly.
- Offshore accuracy has not been determined.



- Perspective:
 - Strategy for improving accuracy
 - Analysis of input gravity
 - Removal of biases medium/long wavelength
 - Insertion of recent GRACE-derived g anomalies
 - Implementation of most recent software version (SHGeo, UNB)
 - For next solution:
 - Sought < 10 cm absolute accuracy point by point
 - Assessment by improved GNSS/BM



Contents

- Current geoid solution: GGM06
- Gravimetric network, current state and perspective.
- Heights and its Variations
 - Re-construction of the geodetic vertical control network.
 - Magnitude of vertical movements detected.



 Gravity surveys continue to densify homogeneously throughout the country.





- Current densification criteria:
 - 1 point per 2.5'x2.5' cell
 - all benchmarks observed





- Weaknesses detected
 - Offshore-buffer gravity values missing!
 - Regional and general accuracy is unknown!
 - Absolute g reference missing!
 - Calibration line for gravimeters missing!



- Solutions for near future
 - Diagnosis of INEGI's base network:
 - study robustness of the current base network,
 - design of reinforcement links,
 - evaluate a simultaneous adjustment.
 - Obtain new absolute g observations for:
 - assessment of network consistency,
 - detecting regional biases,
 - establishment of one calibration line,
 - regular monitoring of time variations.
 - Possible redefinition of g values for the whole net (if significant distortions were detected).



- Not implemented yet:
 - Airborne gravimetry
 - Shipborne gravimetry
 - Absolute g



Contents

- Current geoid solution: GGM06
- Gravimetric network, current state and perspective.
- Heights and its Variations
 - Re-construction
 of the geodetic vertical control network.
 - Magnitude of vertical movements detected.



- Datum adopted: NAVD88
- First order network is built in stages:

– Older network:

surveys 1949 – 2002 analog devises

 Recent observations (re-construction) surveys 2002 – to date. electronic devises



Accumulated levelling 1949 – 2002





Recent levelling, 2002 to date





Benchmarks re-observed:





Weaknesses detected:

 No rigorous modelling of some systematic errors has been applied to the network (field data is insufficient for this),

• Most of older benchmarks are destroyed.

• Reference NAVD88 heights are uncertain due to time variations.



EXPECTATIONS:

- To complete the construction of a recent network within next few years,
- To organize re-observed level differences,
- To estimate time-variations in level differences along the country.



Contents

- Current geoid solution: GGM06
- Gravimetric network, current state and perspective.
- Heights and its Variations
 - Re-construction of the geodetic vertical control network.
 - Magnitude of vertical movements detected.



- Mexico is mostly built on deformation areas.
- Current vertical displacements are studied.
- Existing estimates come from:
 - MSL tracking,
 - GPS time-series,
 - Re-levelling.



– Point velocity from MSL records:





- Point velocity from GPS time-series:
 - Network: INEGI-RGNA





– Point velocity from GPS time-series:

Network: SOPAC





– Point velocity from GPS time-series:

Network: UNAM-SSN





- Relative velocity from re-levelling

Detection of General Trends per Profile And unstable monitoring Sites





• Vertical Crustal Movements, Preliminary map:



– (excluding variations of local extent)



IMPLICATIONS:

- Current displacements are significant and should be accounted for in height determination,
- Geoidal heights from GNSS/leveling could be significantly improved considering local vertical motions to achieve epoch consistency,
- Actual VCM (medium wavelength features) seems to have potential to change the geoid at 1cm level only after accumulating 20 years of motion.



IMPLICATIONS:

- Point velocities can be highly deceiving for detecting VCM in Mexico,
- Re-leveling is potentially best (mitigation of systematic errors needs attention).



Remarks

- Ongoing studies aim to improve the input for geoid computation.
- An effort in monitoring time-variations for geodetic quantities is giving preliminary results.
- Rates of Geoid change might be obtained in the future as an implication of changes in heights and gravity field.