# National Enhanced Elevation Assessment

## – Introduction –

NOAA LiDAR Workshop August 18, 2011

Greg Snyder USGS Project Manger





#### National Enhanced Elevation Assessment About the Project

#### **Sponsor:**

National Digital Elevation Program (NDEP) member agencies

#### **Funding Partners:**

- U.S. Geological Survey (Managing Partner)
- National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency
- Federal Emergency Management Agency
- Natural Resources Conservation Service

#### **In-kind Partners:**

- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- Many Federal agencies, state agencies and other study participants



#### Federal Agencies Participating Business Requirements Assessment

**Bureau of Indian Affairs Bureau of Land Management** Bureau of Ocean Energy and Management **Bureau of Reclamation** Center for Disease Control and Prevention Department of Energy **Department of Homeland Security** Department of Housing and Urban Development Department of Justice **Department of State Department of Transportation** Environmental Protection Agency Farm Service Agency Federal Aviation Administration Federal Communications Commissions Federal Emergency Management Agency

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission National Aeronautics and Space Administration National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Park Service National Science Foundation National Telecommunications & Information Admin Natural Resources Conservation Services Office of Surface Mining Office of the Secretary of Defense Tennessee Valley Authority U. S. Nuclear Regulation Commission U.S. Army Corps of Engineers U.S. Bureau of Census U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service **U.S.** Forest Service U.S. Geological Survey



#### Enhanced Elevation Data Many Stakeholders

- Fifty States, local and Tribal governments
- Thirty plus Federal agencies
- Private sector Forest Products, Development, Energy and others
- Regional organizations
- Professional Organizations representing many interests
  - American Geophysical Union
  - American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing (ASPRS)
  - AmericaView
  - Association of American Geographers (AAG)
  - Association of American State Geologists (AASG)
  - Coastal States Organization (CSO)
  - Floodplain Management Association (FMA)
  - Management Association for Private Photogrammetric Surveyors (MAPPS)
  - National Association of Counties (NACo)
  - National Governors Association (NGA)
  - National States Geographic Information Council (NSGIC)
  - Association of State Floodplain Managers (ASFPM)
  - Urban and Regional Information Systems Association (URISA)



#### **Technologies of Choice**

#### Light detection and ranging (LiDAR)

- System with a laser and detector (range), scanning mirror (laser direction), GPS (location), and IMU (orientation)
- Output 300,000+ laser pulses per second
- Record laser reflection information
- Billions of recorded points create 3dimensional representation of bare earth, vegetation and structures at centimeter-level accuracy



- Cloud penetration
- Lower acquisition cost than LiDAR





#### **LiDAR Point Cloud**

Supports derived elevation products and geospatial data integration



**Concept for a National Enhanced Elevation Program** Supporting multiple operational and science needs



#### Elevation Data Must Scale to Address Large Area Requirements





#### Landscape

Plot





- Biomass and Carbon estimates
- Fire fuels models
- Geologic fault mapping
- Floodplain modeling
- Homeland security line of site analysis
- Hydrologic network improvements
- Landslide prone areas mapped
- Land cover assessment and mapping
- Precision agriculture
- Property valuation
- Species and habitat assessments
- Structures mapped in 3-D
- Three dimensional GIS enabled
- Urban area planning
- Wetland inventory improvements
- Wind and solar energy assessments

# **Enhanced Elevation Data Inventory**



9

#### National Enhanced Elevation Assessment Purpose

Develop and refine requirements for a national program.

- Identify program implementation alternatives, costs and benefits of meeting priority Federal, State and other national needs.
- Quantify answers to key questions:
  - Is it more cost effective for the Government to manage these activities within the context of a national program?
  - Are there additional national or agency benefits derived from such a strategy?
  - What does the optimized program look like?



#### National Enhanced Elevation Program Idealized Goals and Schedule

#### • FY10

- Established project team and management oversight
- Initiated National Enhanced Elevation Assessment
- Built on ARRA success and partnerships with FEMA, NGA, states and others
- FY11
  - National Enhanced Elevation Assessment
  - Begin Developing Multi-year National Enhanced Elevation Plan
- FY12
  - Develop supporting documents alternatives analysis, design, implementation plan, etc.
  - Develop organizational approaches
- FY13
  - Implementation begins



National Enhanced Elevation Assessment – Status of Ongoing Tasks

> NOAA LiDAR Workshop August 18, 2011

David Maune, PhD, CP, CFM Project Manager





# **Project Management Plan**



Project Management Plan Assessment of the Business Requirements and Benefits of Enhanced National Elevation Data

November 3, 2010

Prepared by: Dewberry 8401 Arlington Blvd. Fairfax, VA 22031-4666

Dewberry

- Overview, goals & objectives
- Requirements, costs & benefits information collection methodology:
  - Questionnaire process
  - Interview/Workshop process
  - Validation process
  - Data aggregation/analyses

- Technology alternatives
- IT implementation scenarios
- Cost-benefit analyses
- Conclusions



# **Business Uses**

- **1.** Natural resources conservation
- **2.** Water supply and quality
- 3. River & stream resource management
- **4.** Coastal zone management
- **5.** Forest resources management
- 6. Rangeland management
- 7. Wildlife and habitat management
- 8. Agriculture and precision farming
- 9. Geologic resource assessment and hazard mitigation
- **10.** Resource mining
- **11.** Renewable energy resources
- **12.** Oil and gas resources
- 13. Cultural resources preservation and management
- **14.** Flood risk management



**15.** Sea level rise and subsidence **16.** Wildfire mgt, planning, response **17.** Homeland security, law enforcement, disaster response **18.** Land navigation and safety **19.** Marine navigation and safety **20.** Aviation navigation and safety **21.** Infrastructure and construction management 22. Urban and regional planning **23.** Health and human services **24.** Real estate, banking, mortgage, insurance **25.** Education K-12 and beyond **26.** Recreation

**27.** Telecommunications



# **Topographic Data Quality Levels**

Quality Levels	Flowetier	Horizo	ntal Resoluti	on Terms	Vertical Accuracy Terms	
	Source	Point Density	Nominal Pulse Spacing	DEM Post Spacing	RMSEz in Open Terrain *	Equivalent Contour Accuracy
QL 1	Lidar	8 pts/m <sup>2</sup>	0.35 m	1/27 arc-sec (~1 m)	9.25 cm	1-ft
QL 2	LIDAR	2 pts/m <sup>2</sup>	0.7 m	1/27 arc-sec (~1 m)	9.25 cm	1-ft
QL 3	Lidar	1 – 0.25 pts/m <sup>2</sup>	1 – 2 m	1/9 arc-sec (~3 m)	≤18.5 cm	2-ft
QL 4	Imagery	1 – 0.04 pts/m <sup>2</sup>	1 – 5 m	1/3 arc-sec (~10 m)	46.3 cm – 139 cm	5 – 15 ft
QL 5	IFSAR	0.04 pts/m²	5 m	1/3 arc-sec (~10 m)	92.7 cm – 185 cm	10 – 20 ft

Vertical accuracy is reduced in vegetated land cover categories



\*

# Bathymetric LiDAR Data Quality Levels

Three bathymetric LiDAR Quality Levels:

- Standard Quality Level (3-5 meter post spacing; RMSEz ~ 20 cm)
- Higher Quality Level (higher resolution/higher accuracy)
- Lower Quality Level (coarser resolution/lower accuracy)

Most bathymetric requirements submitted to Dewberry actually pertained to turbid waters for which bathymetric LiDAR is severely limited





# **Update Frequency**

- Annually
  2-3 years
  4-5 years
  6-10 years
  >10 years
- Event driven; needs not met by a cyclic data acquisition program





### NGA – Homeland Security and Disaster Response

#### Mission-Critical Requirements:

QL2 LiDAR of the 133 Urban Areas is required for disaster response, law enforcement, homeland security, research, and 3D modeling, simulation, and analyses.

#### Update frequency: 4-5 years

Business Use: Homeland Security, Law Enforcement, and Disaster Response, BU#17

#### Estimated program budget: Cannot provide

Quantifiable Benefits of Enhanced Elevation Data:

No credible cost savings can be placed on the dollar value of infrastructure or lives protected from acts of terrorism or natural disasters.



Dewberry

#### **≥USGS**

## NOAA – Advanced Hydrologic Prediction Service Static Inundation Mapping

#### Mission-Critical Requirements:

NOAA requires QL3 LiDAR for FEMA's high priority areas, QL4 DEMs from imagery for FEMA's mid priority areas, and QL5 IFSAR for FEMA's low priority areas. These data are required for hydrologic modeling, flood forecasting and warning, and flood inundation mapping of riverine areas nationwide for which NOAA provides advanced hydrologic prediction services.

Update frequency: 4-5 years

Business Use: Flood Risk Management, BU#14

Estimated program budget: \$400,000/yr

Quantifiable Benefits of Enhanced Elevation Data:

NOAA has estimated that the flood loss reduction benefits of the AHPS program can be estimated at \$243M annually and 10% (\$24.3M/yr) can be directly attributed to LiDAR data.



#### Data Requirements

Quality Level Quality Level 1 Quality Level 2 Quality Level 3 Quality Level 4 Quality Level 5

#### Agency: NOAA

Functional Activity: Advanced Hydrologic Prediction Service Static Inundation Mapping

Dewberry



# NRCS – Conservation Engineering and Practices

#### Mission-Critical Requirements:

Enhanced elevation data (QL2 LiDAR and QL5 IFSAR) for non-Federal lands, and a modernized IT infrastructure are mission-critical for a broad array of conservation engineering and practices for diverse Conservation Technical Assistance (CTA) programs managed by NRCS.

Update frequency: 6-10 years

Business Use: Multiple, but primarily Natural Resources Conservation, BU#1

Estimated program budgets supported by elevation data: ~\$3B/yr

Quantifiable Benefits of Enhanced Elevation Data:

NRCS would save a minimum of \$60M/yr from LiDAR, and possibly as much as \$150M/yr if each Federal and state program was rigorously analyzed.



Dewberry



#### **Oregon – Forest Resources Management**

Program: Forest Management	Business Use: 5. Forest Resources Management
	Forest Management: Forest Management involves collecting and sharing
	information about the conditions of Oregon's forests, protecting forestlands and
	conserving forest resources. Intensity Image is also a required product.
	Estimated Annual Operational Benefits: Major; \$6,500,000
	The single biggest impact of lidar technology on the science of forestry is that of forest
10-1	inventory. Traditionally forest-wide inventories have been based upon samples
	taken within different vegetation strata across the landscape. Now forest managers
	are closer to being able to have a true inventory of the trees in any given area or
	ownership. Another benefit is that the design of new road layout is constrained by
	control points that the constructed road should avoid and areas of optimal grade and
	alignment. By using the bare earth hillshade it is possible to conduct preliminary
je	engineering before making a field visit.
	Estimated Annual Customer Service Benefits: Major; \$6,500,000
	The engineering uses of lidar data are impressive. In the past land managers used the
<pre></pre>	best available topographic information available which was typically the 1:24,000
	USGS topographic quadrangles. The contour lines on these maps were developed
	using photogrammetric methods, and due to the forest cover in western Oregon, the
	USGS was not able to certify that these maps met the national map accuracy
	standard of $+/-\frac{1}{2}$ a contour interval (typically 40 <sup>°</sup> ). The lidar bare earth model is an
	accurate representation of the ground surface under the vegetation and can be used in
	many ways
	Estimated Strategic Benefits: Major
Quality Level:	1. Landslide and unstable slope identification to avoid issues resulting from improper
1 2 3 4 5	road location.
Update Frequency: 6-10 years	2. Steep slope and operable lands identification.
Bathymetric Data: No	3. Determining tractor ground versus cable ground and optimal landing locations.
Tide-Coordinated: No	4. Koad design and layout including mass calculations for fills and cuts.
	5. Determine yarding profiles and blind leads for cable systems.
	o. Determination of landing placement.
	/. The canopy layer is an efficient tool to help ODF biologists quickly identify
Data Outside State Needed: No	potential marbled murrelet nabitat and candidate trees.
	o. The fidal derived minimade is an extremely valuable tool for the identification of
	potential cultural resource areas, and specific historical activity locations.





## Michigan – Wildlife Management

Program: Wildfire vulnerability analysis	Business Use: 16. Wildfire Management, Planning, Response
AN STREET	<ul> <li>Wildfire: Wildfire / Wildfire vulnerability analysis / Wildfire management planning and response. It is expected that these activities would be much improved by high- quality elevation and vegetative cover data.</li> <li>Estimated Annual Operational Benefits: Moderate; Dollar Value Not Reported</li> <li>1. Not certain that appropriate quality of required elevation data was selected - need a quality that allowed vegetative cover to be identified, ideally along with tree heights, as well as the identification of built structures in the area. 2. A statewide GIS analysis of that data could then allow wildfire risk areas to be identified, and wildfire vulnerabilities to be assessed, in order to identify and prioritize wildfire mitigation projects throughout the state.</li> <li>Estimated Annual Customer Service Benefits: Moderate; Dollar Value Not Reported</li> <li>Good elevation data, including forest types and tree height information as well as the identification of structure locations, would allow a great expansion of the quality and consistency of wildfire analyses throughout the state, both in local hazard mitigation plans and the state hazard mitigation plan. This would allow the identification and prioritization of wildfire mitigation projects to take place, and to justify the benefits of these projects for FEMA funding.</li> <li>Estimated Strategic Benefits: Moderate</li> <li>Good elevation data, including forest types and tree height information as well as the identification of structure locations, would allow the identification and prioritization of wildfire mitigation projects to take place, and to justify the benefits of these projects for FEMA funding.</li> <li>Estimated Strategic Benefits: Moderate</li> <li>Good elevation data, including forest types and tree height information as well as the identification of structure locations, would allow a great expansion of the quality and</li> </ul>
Quality Level:	consistency of wildfire analyses throughout the state, both in local hazard mitigation
Update Frequency: 6-10 years	prioritization of wildfire mitigation projects to take place and to justify the benefits
Bathymetric Data: Not Reported	of these projects for FEMA funding. This would be expected to include enhanced
Tide-Coordinated: No	life safety infrastructure protection, transportation/emergency access, and
Data Outside State Needed: Not Provided	economic/tourism benefits.

Dewberry



#### Maine – Geologic Resource Assessment and Hazard Mitigation







#### **BU#1 – Natural Resources Conservation**

Org.	Functional Activity	Qual. Level	Update
NRCS	Conservation Engineering & Practices (on non-Federal lands)	QL2 LiDAR QL5 IFSAR	6-10 years
NRCS	Specialized Mapping Applications nationwide	QL2/3 LiDAR QL5 IFSAR	6-10 years
USFS	Soil & Geology Inventory	QL2 LIDAR QL5 IFSAR	6-10 years
USFS	Wetlands Mapping and Characterization	QL2 LIDAR QL5 IFSAR	6-10 years
USGS	Mapping, Monitoring and Assessment of Biological Carbon Stocks	QL1/2 LiDAR	6-10 years
NOAA	Coastal and Marine Resources Conservation	QL2 LiDAR	4-5 years
EPA	Environmental Protection, Land Cover Characterization and Runoff Modeling	QL2 LIDAR QL5 IFSAR	4-5 years





#### **BU#1 – Natural Resources Conservation**

Org.	Functional Activity	Qual. Level	Update
FWS	Landscape Conservation Cooperatives (LCCs)	QL1 LiDAR	6-10 years
NPS	Preservation and Protection of Natural and Cultural Resources	QL1/3 LiDAR QL5 IFSAR	6-10 years
BIA	Protection and Enhancement of American Indian Trust (AIT) Assets	QL3 LIDAR	6-10 years
USACE	Protection and Management of the Natural Environment	QL3 LIDAR	6-10 years
TVA	Natural and Cultural Resource Management and Conservation	QL3 LIDAR	2-3 years
TNC	Healthy Watersheds	QL2 LIDAR	6-10 years
Agren	Agricultural and Environmental Services	QL2 LIDAR	6-10 years





#### **BU#1 – Natural Resources Conservation**

#### Low-Resolution NED

High Resolution LiDAR



Image from NRCS

Conservation Engineering Practices require high-resolution DEMs as well as DEM derivatives (slope, aspect, curvature)

Slope, aspect & curvature are the three principal parameters for LiDAR Enhanced Soil Surveys (LESS)

**Dewberry**<sup>®</sup>

#### **BU#2 – Water Supply and Quality**



Image from Georgia Geospatial Advisory Council



Withlacoochee River Wastewater Treatment Plant near Valdosta, GA, located outside the FEMA SFHA, had to be relocated after flood of 2009 at cost of \$94.5M

Elevations are critical for sewage treatment plants and water facilities **Dewberry** 

#### **BU#3 – River & Stream Resource Mgt**



Hydrologic modeling of watersheds, and hydraulic modeling of floodplains, are rightfully called "killer apps" for LiDAR

LiDAR is also seen as the solution to drainage problems nationwide **Dewberry**\*



#### **BU#4 – Coastal Zone Management**

LiDAR-derived official shoreline

Image from NOAA



JALBTCX (NOAA, USACE, USGS, USN) has Coastal Mapping & Charting Program for topo/bathy LiDAR

NOAA's *Digital Coast* addresses timely coastal issues, including land use, coastal conservation, coastal hazards, marine spatial planning



#### **BU#5 – Forest Resources Management**



LiDAR is vital for forest inventory and assessment, computation of forest metrics Also used for assessment of forest health



Images from USFS



#### **BU#6 – Rangeland Management**



LiDAR used by NRCS for assessment of rangeland vegetation type by Planning Land Unit (PLU)



Image from NRCS



#### **BU#7 – Wildlife & Habitat Management**



Craven County, NC, LiDARderived differences in Loblolly pine canopy heights of ±120 ft in 6year period, with major changes to wildlife habitat

#### Image from FWS





# **BU#8 – Agriculture & Precision Farming**

Org.	Functional Activity	Qual. Level	Update
JR Simplot Company	Precision Agriculture	QL3 LIDAR	6-10 years
Ellingson Drainage	Agricultural Drainage Solutions	QL3 LIDAR	6-10 years
Agren	Agricultural and Environmental Services	QL2 LIDAR	6-10 years





#### **BU#8 – Agriculture & Precision Farming**

LiDAR is required for all agricultural land areas of the U.S. for topographic analysis of slope, aspect, curvature and soil wetness (surface and subsurface), and resultant site-specific application of seed, fertilizer, lime, pesticides and water to optimize farm yields.

Also used to reduce farm and pasture runoff that pollutes streams



Image from University of Missouri Extension

**Dewberry**<sup>•</sup>



### BU#9 – Geologic Resource Assessment & Hazard Mitigation

Org.	Functional Activity	Qual. Level	Update
USGS	Geologic Mapping	QL1 LIDAR	>10 years
USGS	Seismic Hazards	QL1 LiDAR	6-10 years
USGS	Landslide Hazards	QL1 LiDAR	4-5 years
USGS	Volcano Hazards	QL1 LiDAR	4-5 years
USFS	Soils and Geology Inventory	QL2 LIDAR QL5 IFSAR	6-10 years
NRC	Nuclear Power Plant Site Natural Phenomena Hazard Assessment and Risk Mitigation	QL1 LiDAR	6-10 years

Also BIA, BLM, NPS, NRCS as part of other Functional Activities





## **BU#9 – Geologic Resource Assessment & Hazard Mitigation**



seismic faults near: \$12B nuclear waste treatment plant being built in **Washington State**  \$735M suspension bridge under construction across Tacoma Narrows

Image from USGS





#### **BU#10 – Resource Mining**



Image from Dewberry



**LiDAR cross**section in Pennsylvania after only one month of mining. LiDAR is ideal for evaluation of slopes for safe mining operations, environmental protection, and for comparisons between baseline surfaces (prior to mining) and final surfaces (after reclamation)



### **BU#11 – Renewable Energy Resources**

Org.	Functional Activity	Qual. Level	Update
TVA	Siting of Wind and Solar Generation	QL4 Imagery	2-3 years
NextEra Energy	Wind Farm Siting and Design	QL5 IFSAR	2-3 years
Wind Logics	Solar Resource and Energy Assessments	QL3 LIDAR	6-10 years

Also BIA, BLM and other government land owners if wind or solar farms are allowed on Indian or government lands





### **BU#11 – Renewable Energy Resources**



Image from Los Angeles County

Los Angeles and **New York City are** among major cities that use LiDAR data to enable home owners to print a report for their home/ property explaining its potential for solar energy and/or solar water heating, and potential cost savings and carbon savings

Dewberry



# **BU#12 – Oil and Gas Resources**



Image from Dewberry



LiDAR data is essential for pipeline routing across mountain ranges and beneath rivers, construction planning, encroachment control, estimation of timber removal, and asset inventories



## **BU#13 – Cultural Resources Preservation and Management**

#### High Resolution LIDAR mapping of the Sny Magill Mound Group Effigy Mounds National Monument, Iowa

Anne M. Wolley Vawser and Arlo McKee National Park Service, Midwest Archeological Center and University of Kansas, Lewrence

The Sny Magill Mound Group contains over 100 conical and effigy mounds dating to the Woodland period and numerically is the largest surviving group of prehistoric mounds in the United States.

High resolution LIDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) mapping of the mound group in 2007 has allowed for more detailed study of mound construction and has led to the discovery of previously undetected mounds.



Previous mapping efforts from the 1980s involved interpretation rather than factual representation

This new data has allowed us to look at the layout of the entire mound group in perspectives that had not been previously possible and may provide new insights to the mound building culture of northeast lowa. Shallow depressions around some of the mounds (seen here in darker brown) suggest barrow areas for mound maintenance or possibly original construction.



This previously unrecorded mound remnant is barely visible on the ground. It appears to be all that



that has been impacted

As part of the project mounds were stabilized by filling old looters pits, depressions left from rotting tree stumps, and rodent burrows. A large portion of the bank was also stabilized to halt erosion of several large mounds,

Depressions and other anomalies observed in the

investigated at the site

unrecorded mounds.

before being stabilized or

LIDAR data were





NPS brochure



### **BU#14 – Flood Risk Management**

Org.	Functional Activity	Qual. Level	Update
FEMA	Flood Risk Analysis	QL3 LiDAR QL4 Imagery QL5 IFSAR	6-10 years
USACE	Flood Risk & Emergency Management	QL3 LIDAR	6-10 years
NOAA	Advanced Hydrologic Prediction Service Static Inundation Mapping	QL3 LIDAR	4-5 years
USGS	Flood Risk Management	QL3 LIDAR	4-5 years
IBWC	Flood Risk Management	QL2 LIDAR	4-5 years
USFS	Watershed Analyses	QL3 LIDAR	6-10 years
TVA	Navigation & Flood Risk Mitigation	QL1 LiDAR + bathy data	4-5 years

Also BIA, BLM, FERC, NPS, NRCS, USBR as part of other Functional Activities

Dewberry



#### **BU#14 – Flood Risk Management**

#### **Before FIRM Revision**



#### **After FIRM Revision**



#### Images from Georgia Geospatial Advisory Council

Whether structures are added to or removed from the Special Flood Hazard Area, it's critical that FIRMs accurately represent true flood risk, and LiDAR makes this possible.





#### **BU#15 – Sea Level Rise & Subsidence**

Org.	Functional Activity	Qual. Level	Update
NOAA	Coastal Mapping and Modeling	Topo/bathy LiDAR	4-5 years
USGS	Coastal Zone Management, Sea Level Rise and Subsidence	Topo/bathy LiDAR	4-5 years
USACE NOAA	Coastal Mapping and Charting (JALBTCX)	Topo/bathy LiDAR	4-5 years
EPA	Sea Level Rise Vulnerability Assessments	QL2 LIDAR	6-10 years
The Nature Conservancy	Coastal Stewardship & Resiliency	QL2 LIDAR	4-5 years





#### BU#15 – Sea Level Rise (SLR) and Subsidence



LiDAR for multiple SLR scenarios

1m SLR & subsidence predictions for Southern Louisiana

Gulf of Mexico

USA: Louisiana

50 years of subsidence in California

Images from USGS and NOAA





# BU#16 – Wildfire Management, Planning & Response



Imagery can track the progress of wildfires

...but elevation data, including slope and biomass (fuel), wind and weather models predict when & where the fire will spread

...vital for planning fire-fighting strategies

WV2 image from Digital Globe





#### BU#17 – Homeland Security, Law Enforcement & Disaster Response



LiDAR is used to determine number of floors and square footage of buildings **Census & other** data are used to determine average building occupancy for different building types **Evacuation** planning

Image from DOE





## **BU#18 – Land Navigation and Safety**

Org.	Functional Activity	Qual. Level	Update
TomTom	Location and Navigation Services	QL2 LiDAR QL5 IFSAR	4-5 years
FRA	Safety for Railroad Grade	QL3 LiDAR	2-3 years





#### **BU#18 – Land Navigation and Safety**





Combined use of LiDAR and imagery for road surveys saves costs and minimizes surveyor hazards from passing cars

New cars & trucks will use LiDAR for transmission control; reduce fuel & emissions and provide driver fatigue warnings

Images from Tuck Mapping Solutions





## **BU#19 – Marine Navigation and Safety**

Org.	Functional Activity	Qual. Level	Update
USACE	Development and Management of the Nation's Water Resources	QL2 LIDAR	6-10 years
TVA	Navigation & Flood Risk Mitigation	QL1 LiDAR + bathy data	4-5 years
NOAA	Coastal Mapping and Modeling	Topo/Bathy LiDAR	4-5 years
DHS	Coastal Search and Rescue	QL3 LIDAR	>10 years





#### **BU#19 – Marine Navigation & Safety**

I need a better picture to demonstrate elevation data used for Marine Navigation and Safety









#### **BU#20 – Aviation Navigation & Safety**





LiDAR identifies obstacles that penetrate Obstruction Identification Surfaces (OIS) Image from Fugro EarthData



LiDAR used for development of aviation instrument approach & departure procedures Image from NOAA **Dewberry**\*

#### **BU#20 – Aviation Navigation and Safety**



Pilots are trained on flight simulators using elevation data and imagery. This is especially critical in Alaska where there is an urgent need to reduce Controlled Flight Into Terrain (CFIT) accidents.



Image from E-Terra LLC



## BU#21 – Infrastructure & Construction Management





Grow-in vegetation growing into lines

an () () al al them to ()--

Fall-in vegetation falling into lines

Inside & outside of Right of Way

**Dewberry**<sup>•</sup>



#### **BU#22 – Urban and Regional Planning**

LiDAR model of Baltimore, MD used for multiple applications, including viewsheds



Image from ASPRS DEM Users Manual LiDAR of Richland County, SC site saved \$140K for development site planning



Image from ESRI's Measuring-Up : The Business Case for GIS





#### **BU#23 – Health and Human Services**



Image from ASPRS DEM Users Manual

LiDAR 3D model of Los Angeles

Can model cities for smog as well as populations at risk from chemical, biological or radiological hazards, aerosols, or air-borne diseases





## BU#24 – Real Estate, Banking, Mortgage, Insurance



Source: © 2011 Munich Re. NatCatSERVICE.



Chart from Munich Re



## **BU#25 – Education K-12 and Beyond**

	-	
	1	
-		

An Advanced Earth Science Mission Concept Study for LIDAR Surface Topography (LIST)

Final Report Prepared for NASA Headquarters, October 2007

We have much yet to learn about the Earth on which we live for which ground topography and canopy structure are important components.

The science objective of NASA's LIST is to develop a scientific understanding of Earth's system and its response to natural and human induced changes."

The LIST will pioneer new global environmental observations to improve the operational services they provide to the nation. These services include weather forecasting, climate prediction, natural hazard assessment, prediction, and response, and environmental management."



Image from NASA



#### **BU#26 – Recreation**





Images from 3D Golf Course web site

LiDAR is used for design of professional golf courses and for simulators of those golf courses





#### **BU#27 – Telecommunications**



Image from USGS



Viewshed analyses for towers simulated at various heights to achieve desired coverage. Also vital for Homeland Security, e.g.: Infrastructure **Protection Border Protection Special Security Events** 

Search & Rescue



# **Analysis - Part B of Study**

- For Federal, state and non-governmental users, aggregate, analyze, and validate geographic area requirements & benefits by Quality Level
- Estimate costs for candidate national datasets
- Evaluate technology trends, IFSAR, topo/bathy LiDAR
- Identify risks that could hamper consistent national implementation
- Develop/analyze enterprise IT infrastructure alternatives and program implementation scenarios
- Final report by December 15, 2011





# Analyze requirements, benefits and costs by 1-degree cells; vary QL and update/freq



\* Except for Hawaii & US Territories

QL1 LiDAR requirements are not satisfied by QL2 or QL3 LiDAR QL2 LiDAR requirements are not satisfied by QL3 LiDAR QL3 LiDAR requirements are satisfied by QL1, QL2 or QL3 LiDAR Source of the satisfied by QL1, QL2 or QL3 LiDAR

## Geodatabase-based Cost-Benefit Analysis Reduced Value Multipliers

	QL1	QL2	QL3	QL4	QL5
Annual					
2-3 years					
4-5 years					
6-10 years					
>10 years					

The biggest remaining issue is how to estimate dollar benefits when agencies say "Major Time/Cost Savings" but are unable to provide any values. Otherwise, their requirements and benefits won't count in analyses.





## **Elevation Products Used**

- Digital Terrain Model (DTM) 208
- Contours 193
- Slope Data (Derived) 153
- Hillshades (Derived) 147
- LiDAR Full Point Cloud 146
- Gridded DEM (Hydro-Enforced) –133
- Gridded DEM (No Hydro Processing) 131
- Aspect Data (Derived) 120
- Cross Sections –117
- Gridded DEM (Hydro Flattened) 110
- Triangulated Irregular Network (TIN) 109
- Breaklines 108
- Digital Surface Model (DSM) 106
- Curvature Data (Derived) 68
- LiDAR Full Waveform 45





